

# NEWS

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES  
*Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine*

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES • NATIONAL ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING • INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE • NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

[Read Full Report](#)

Date: Sept. 18, 2006

Contacts: Vaneé Vines, Senior Media Relations Officer

Michelle Strikowsky, Media Relations Assistant

Office of News and Public Information

202-334-2138; e-mail <[news@nas.edu](mailto:news@nas.edu)>

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

## Broad National Effort Urgently Needed To Maximize Potential of Women Scientists and Engineers in Academia

WASHINGTON -- Women face barriers to hiring and promotion in research universities in many fields of science and engineering -- a situation that deprives the United States of an important source of talent as the country faces increasingly stiff global competition in higher education, science and technology, and the marketplace, says a new report from the National Academies. Eliminating gender bias in universities requires immediate, overarching reform and decisive action by university administrators, professional societies, government agencies, and Congress.

"Women are capable of contributing more to the nation's science and engineering research enterprise, but bias and outmoded practices governing academic success impede their progress almost every step of the way," said Donna E. Shalala, president of the University of Miami, former secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and chair of the committee that wrote the report. "Fundamental changes in the culture and opportunities at America's research universities are urgently needed. The United States should enhance its talent pool by making the most of its entire population."

The report offers a broad range of recommendations, including the following important steps. Trustees, university presidents, and provosts should provide clear leadership in changing the culture and structure of their institutions to recruit, retain, and promote more women -- including minority women -- into faculty and leadership positions. Specifically, university executives should require academic departments to show evidence of having conducted fair, broad, and aggressive talent searches before officials approve appointments. And departments should be held accountable for the equity of their search processes and outcomes, even if that means canceling a search or withholding a faculty position. The report also urges higher education organizations to consider forming a collaborative, self-monitoring body that would recommend standards for faculty recruitment, retention, and promotion; collect data; and track compliance across institutions.

University leaders, the report adds, should develop and implement hiring, tenure, and promotion policies that take into account the flexibility that faculty members may need as they pass through various life stages -- and that do not sacrifice quality to meet rigid timelines. Administrators, for example, should visibly and vigorously support campus programs that help faculty members who have children or other caregiving duties to maintain productive careers. At a minimum, the programs should include provisions for paid parental leave, facilities and subsidies for on-site and community-based child care, and more time to work on dissertations and obtain tenure.

Forty years ago, women made up only 3 percent of America's scientific and technical workers, but by 2003 they accounted for nearly one-fifth. In addition, women have earned more than half of the bachelor's degrees awarded in science and engineering since 2000. However, their representation on university and college faculties fails to reflect these gains. Among science and engineering Ph.D.s, four times more men than women hold full-time faculty positions. And minority women with doctorates are less likely than white women or men of any racial or ethnic group to be in tenure positions. Previous studies of female faculty have shed light on common characteristics of their workplace environments. In one survey of 1,000 university faculty members, for example, women were more likely than men to feel that colleagues devalued their research, that they had fewer opportunities to participate in collaborative projects, and that they were constantly under a microscope. In another study, exit interviews of female faculty who "voluntarily" left a large university indicated that one of their main reasons for leaving was colleagues' lack of respect for them.

If academic institutions are not transformed to tackle such barriers, the future vitality of the U.S. research base and economy is in jeopardy, the report says. The following are some of the committee's key findings that underscore its call to action:

- > Studies have not found any significant biological differences between men and women in performing science and mathematics that can account for the lower representation of women in academic faculty and leadership positions in S&T fields.

- > Compared with men, women faculty members are generally paid less and promoted more slowly, receive fewer honors, and hold fewer leadership positions. These discrepancies do not appear to be based on productivity, the significance of their work, or any other performance measures, the report says.

- > Measures of success underlying performance-evaluation systems are often arbitrary and frequently applied in ways that place women at a disadvantage. "Assertiveness," for example, may be viewed as a socially unacceptable trait for women but suitable for men. Also, structural constraints and expectations built into academic institutions assume that faculty members have substantial support from their spouses. Anyone lacking the career and family support traditionally provided by a "wife" is at a serious disadvantage in academe, evidence shows. Today about 90 percent of the spouses of women science and engineering faculty are employed full time. For the spouses of male faculty, it is nearly half.

If implemented and coordinated across public and private sectors as well as various institutions, the committee's nearly two dozen recommendations would improve workplace environments for all employees while strengthening the foundations of America's competitiveness. A brief overview of several recommendations follows.

### **Universities**

University leaders should incorporate the goal of counteracting bias against women in hiring, promotion, and treatment into campus strategic plans, the report says. And leaders, working with the monitoring body proposed by the report, should review the composition of their student enrollments and faculty ranks each year -- and publicize progress toward goals.

Universities also should examine evaluation practices, with the goal of focusing on the quality and impact of faculty contributions, the report says.

In the past decade, several universities and agencies have taken steps to increase the participation of women on faculties and their numbers in leadership positions. But such efforts have not transformed the fields, the report says. Now is the time for widespread reform, the committee emphasized.

## Professional societies and higher education organizations

The American Council on Education should bring together other relevant groups -- such as the Association of American Universities and the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges -- to discuss the formation of the proposed monitoring body, the report says.

In addition, honorary societies should review their nomination and election procedures to address the underrepresentation of women in their memberships. The report also recommends that scholarly journals examine their processes for reviewing papers submitted for publication. To minimize any bias, they should consider keeping authors' identities hidden until reviews have been completed.

## Government agencies and Congress

Federal funding agencies and foundations, in collaboration with professional and scientific societies, should hold mandatory national meetings to educate university department chairs, agency program officers, and members of review panels on ways to minimize the effects of gender bias in performance evaluations, the report says. Furthermore, these agencies should come up with more ways to pay for interim technical or administrative support for researchers who are on leave because of caregiving responsibilities.

Federal enforcement agencies -- including the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC); U.S. departments of Education, Justice, and Labor; and various federal civil rights offices -- should provide technical assistance to help universities achieve diversity in their programs and employment, and encourage them to meet such goals. These agencies also should regularly conduct compliance reviews at higher education institutions to make sure that federal antidiscrimination laws are being upheld, the committee said. Discrimination complaints should be promptly and thoroughly investigated. Likewise, Congress should make sure that these laws are enforced, and routinely hold oversight hearings to investigate how well relevant laws are being upheld by the departments of Agriculture, Defense, Education, Energy, and Labor; EEOC; and science agencies, including the National Institutes of Health, the National Science Foundation, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and NASA.

The study was sponsored by the Office of Research on Women's Health at the National Institutes of Health; Eli Lilly and Co.; National Science Foundation; Ford Foundation; and the National Academies. The Academies comprise the National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, Institute of Medicine, and National Research Council. They are private, nonprofit institutions that provide science, technology, and health policy advice under a congressional charter. A committee roster follows.

Pre-publication copies of *Beyond Bias and Barriers: Fulfilling the Potential of Women in Academic Science and Engineering* are available from the National Academies Press; tel. 202-334-3313 or 1-800-624-6242 or on the Internet at <http://www.nap.edu>. The cost of the report is \$57.95 (prepaid) plus shipping charges of \$4.50 for the first copy and \$.95 for each additional copy. Reporters may obtain a copy from the Office of News and Public Information (contacts listed above).

[ This news release and report are available at <http://national-academies.org> ]

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
NATIONAL ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING  
and  
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE  
Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy

Committee on Maximizing the Potential of Women in Academic Science and Engineering

Donna E. Shalala<sup>1</sup> (chair)  
President  
University of Miami  
Miami

Alice M. Agogino<sup>2</sup>  
Roscoe and Elizabeth Hughes Professor of Mechanical Engineering  
University of California  
Berkeley

Lotte Bailyn  
Co-Director  
MIT Workplace Center, and  
Professor of Management  
Sloan School of Management  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge

Robert J. Birgeneau<sup>3</sup>  
Chancellor  
University of California  
Berkeley

Ana Mari Cauce  
Executive Vice Provost;  
Earl R. Carlson Professor of Psychology; and  
Professor of American Ethnic Studies  
University of Washington  
Seattle

Catherine D. DeAngelis<sup>1</sup>  
Editor in Chief  
Journal of the American Medical Association  
Chicago

Denice D. Denton (deceased)  
Chancellor  
University of California  
Santa Cruz

Barbara Grosz  
Higgins Professor of Natural Sciences  
Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, and  
Dean of Science  
Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study  
Harvard University  
Cambridge, Mass.

Jo Handelsman  
Howard Hughes Medical Institute Professor  
Department of Plant Pathology  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison

Nan Keohane  
Laurance S. Rockefeller Distinguished Visiting Professor of Public Affairs  
Princeton University, and  
Former President  
Duke University  
Durham, N.C.

Shirley Malcom<sup>3</sup>  
Head  
Directorate for Education and Human Resources Programs  
American Association for the Advancement of Science  
Washington, D.C.

Geraldine Richmond  
Richard M. and Patricia H. Noyes Professor  
Department of Chemistry and Materials Science Institute  
University of Oregon  
Eugene

Alice M. Rivlin  
Senior Fellow  
Economic Studies Program  
Brookings Institution  
Washington, D.C.

Ruth Simmons  
President  
Brown University  
Providence, R.I.

Elizabeth Spelke<sup>3</sup>  
Berkman Professor of Psychology, and  
Co-Director  
Mind, Brain, and Behavior Institute  
Harvard University  
Cambridge, Mass.

Joan Steitz<sup>1,3</sup>  
Sterling Professor of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry  
Howard Hughes Medical Institute  
Yale University School of Medicine  
New Haven, Conn.

Elaine Weyuker<sup>2</sup>  
Fellow  
AT&T Laboratories  
Florham Park, N.J.

Maria T. Zuber<sup>3</sup>  
E.A. Griswold Professor of Geophysics, and  
Head  
Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge

## STAFF

Laurel Haak  
Study Director

1 Member, Institute of Medicine  
2 Member, National Academy of Engineering  
3 Member, National Academy of Sciences