## CS 147:

Computer Systems Performance Analysis
Higher Designs and Other Considerations
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The Pice of More Levels

- Using more levels increases no. of runs
- $50 \%$ for raising a single parameter from 2 to 3
- $125 \%$ for two parameters
- Extra runs could be used in other ways
- Examine more parameters
- Reduce variance (more replications)
- Extra levels complicate experimentation


## Deciding to Use More Levels
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- Must balance cost of extra runs against extra information gained
- Is response likely to be nonlinear?
- Are extreme responses sufficient?
- Does curve have minimum/maximum between extremes?


## Extending the Algebra of Confounding
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- Standard 2-level confounding algebra is based on exponentiation modulo 2: $A^{2}=A^{0}=I$
- This is trivial to extend to level $n: A^{x} A^{y}=A^{(x+y)} \bmod n$


# Larger Designs 

Extending Confounding
$\llcorner$ Rules of Extended Confounding Algebra

- First letter should have unit exponent
- Achieved by raising to powers
- Example $(\bmod 3): A^{2} B=\left(A^{2} B\right)^{2}=A^{4} B^{2}=A B^{2}$
- This works because of fractionating method
- Sum of exponents modulo $n$ is constant
- Choose a confounding
- Divide experiment into blocks based on confounding
- Choose a particular block at random
- Execute experiments in random order
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- Levels of each factor chosen from $\{0,1,2\}$
- Confounding exponents indicate multipliers
- Sum of multiplied levels modulo $3^{p}$ gives block number
- Example: $A B^{2}$ converts to $a+2 b \bmod 3=i$ where $i$ is block number


## Example of a $3^{2-1}$ Fraction
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- Choose confounding: $I=A B^{2}$
- Divide combinations into blocks:

| $a$ | $b$ | $a+2 b \bmod 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 |

- Choose block 2 by rolling dice
- Run combinations (a,b) $=(0,1) ;(1,2) ;(2,0)$
- Complete confoundings:
- $I=A B^{2}$
- $A=A B=B$
- Calculating effects is beyond scope of lecture
- Even in this simple case


## Higher Prime Levels
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- Same algebra can be used for higher numbers of levels
- So long as prime
- Complexity rapidly becomes prohibitive
- Normally use computers to do hard stuff
- Often simpler to use 2-level experiments
- Figure out which effects are major
- Then use 1 -factor tests to examine closely

- Factors may have different numbers of levels
- E.g., 2 CPUs, 3 disk drives, 4 memory sizes
- Possible to do fractional experiments here, too
- Complexity is remarkable
- No simple way to select fraction
- Consult catalogs or software for fraction tables

- Causes of blocking
- Example of blocking
- Confounding between blocks
- Special types of blocks


## Causes of Blocking
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- Physical constraints
- $n$ probes, $m>n$ signals to measure
- Time constraints
- Only $n$ experiments per day, with other activity between
- Subject constraints
- Need to install new hardware between runs
- Multiple disks on each machine


## Example of Blocking
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- Need to run 2 benchmarks under 2 network loads
- Can only do 2 runs per day
- Other conditions may vary from day to day
- Which pair to do first?

Example of the problem:

- Three different ways to divide runs:

Day 1: 00 | 01 | 00 | 10 | 00 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Day 2: 10 | 11 | 01 | 11 | 10 | 01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Each choice confounds something with the day effect:
- Factor a (level takes a day to change)
- Factor b (same)
- Interaction (equal levels one day, unequal other)


## Special Types of Blocks
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- Split plot
- Group by one factor, vary others randomly
- Useful when expensive to change that factor
- Nested or hierarchical
- One factor varies within another
- E.g., 5 computers, each with one NIC from each of 3 manufacturers
- ANOVA is different here: watch out!

\section*{Informal Methods}
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- Often only want best performance
- Can simply pick combination that does best
- Better choice: sort by performance
- Identify which factors are common to top entries
- Eliminate any that aren't consistent

\section*{Record-Keeping Principles}
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- Never throw away data
- Be able to reproduce any experiment
- Parameterize your software
- Don't create experiments by editing source
- Leads to irreproducibility
- Use version control!

\section*{The Need for Randomization}
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- Uncontrollable parameters may vary during experimentation
- Plotting error vs. experiment number detects systematic variation
- But doesn't control it
- Randomization controls the problem
- Turns it into error parameter

\section*{Example of External Trends}
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Slide contains animations.
- Consider measuring disk performance:
- Benchmark creates 1000 small files, 10 large ones, writes them, then deletes them
- File size is varied as experimental parameter
- One run takes several hours
- Other people use system daily

\section*{Example of External Trends}

\author{
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}

Slide contains animations.
- Consider measuring disk performance:
- Benchmark creates 1000 small files, 10 large ones, writes them, then deletes them
- File size is varied as experimental parameter
- One run takes several hours
- Other people use system daily
- Disk fragmentation may increase over time, changing results

\section*{(Pseudo-)Random Number Generation}
- Not all PRNGs are equal
- Most common is linear congruential
- E.g., rand, random, drand48
- Don't use low bits (modulo) to adjust range
- Best to use floating result in \([0,1\) ) and multiply by range
- Prefer longer periods
- E.g., Mersenne Twist (see Google)

\section*{Random Seeding}
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- Risky to use "random" seeds (e.g., / dev/random)
- Irreproducible (uncheckable) results
- Risk of getting into middle of sequence from different experiment
- Produces correlation
- Especially with linear congruential
- Better to use different parts of long sequence
- Note that many PRNGs only take a 32-bit seed
\(\Rightarrow\) Only \(2^{32}\) different sequences
- If period is \(2^{32}\), then you're always diving into the middle of a sequence
- In any case, remember your seeds
- Plan experiment first
- Levels of each parameter
- Number of replications
- List experiments by levels and replication number
- Choose experiments from list randomly
- Use selection without replacement
- Equivalently, shuffle list and use shuffled order Constrained Randomization
- Complete randomization sometimes impossible
- One experiment might destroy others
- Lengthy setup times
- Need to send computer back to manufacturer
- Must divide experiments into blocks
- Randomize within each block
- Block effect confounded with true effect```

