

More Quantum Mechanics and Linear Algebra: Neutrinos and Other Subatomic Particles

Itai Seggev
Mathematics Department
Knox College

Motivation

- * Quantum mechanics first formulated as “matrix mechanics”
- * Students enjoy tackling “real problems” even if they don’t know all the details
- * Can combine as many (or few) concepts as wanted in a single problem
- * Gives a concrete interpretation to evaluates

Truth in Advertising

- * The class taught was “mathematical physics”
- * All students were math or physics majors
- * Multivariable calculus and DEs are co-requisites; a few had taken linear algebra
- * First half of the course was on linear algebra
- * Material in this talk uses only linear algebra

Quantum Mechanics for the Ph.D. Mathematician

1. Physical states are represented by vectors in a complex Hilbert space
2. Physical observables are represented by self-adjoint operators, and measurements correspond to their spectral projectors
3. \exists 1-parameter unitary group of evolution operators. Its self-adjoint generator is called the Hamiltonian (eigenvectors are "energy levels"; eigenvalues are "energies")

Quantum Mechanics for Linear Algebra Students

1. Physical states are represented by vectors in a complex vector space
2. Physical observables are represented by Hermitian operators
3. Time evolution is given by the Schrödinger equation:

$$\psi(t) = e^{-\left(\frac{iHt}{\hbar}\right)} \psi(0)$$

H is called the Hamiltonian, and its eigenvalues are the energies of the system

Neutrino Oscillations

- * From late 60's to late 90's, many fewer neutrinos observed than predicted
- * "Solar Neutrino Problem"
- * "Atmospheric Neutrino Problem"
- * Finally resolved by several experiments (1998 to 2004)
 - * SuperKamiokande
 - * SNO

What's a Neutrino?

- * Fundamental particle related to electron, μ , τ
- * First hypothesized by Fermi in nuclear decay
- * 3 "Flavors" (sometimes "generations")
- * Originally believed massless

➡ Oscillations indicate non-zero mass!

A Model for Oscillations

- * Let $b_1=(1,0)$ represent the electron-type neutrino, $b_2=(0,1)$ the muon-type neutrino.
- * The system has an effective Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{1}{E_0} \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2(\theta)m_1^2 + \sin^2(\theta)m_2^2 & \cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)(m_1^2 - m_2^2) \\ \cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)(m_1^2 - m_2^2) & \sin^2(\theta)m_1^2 + \cos^2(\theta)m_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda \in \left\{ \frac{m_1^2}{E_0}, \frac{m_2^2}{E_0} \right\}, \quad v_\lambda \in \{(\cos \theta, \sin \theta), (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)\}$$

Observations

- * The probability that an electron neutrino be detected as a muon neutrino is

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \left| b_2^T \left(e^{-iHt/\hbar} \right) b_1 \right|^2 \\ &= \sin^2 \left(\frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2) t}{2E_0 \hbar} \right) \sin^2(2\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Example Problem

(20 points) Over the past few years, the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations has been experimentally established. This refers to the fact “electron-type neutrinos” can turn into “muon-type neutrinos”. One simple but very accurate model is as follows. Let $e_1 = (1, 0)$ represent the electron neutrino, and let $e_2 = (0, 1)$ represent the muon neutrino. The particles’ evolution is then given by the Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta & \Delta m^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta \\ \Delta m^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta & m_2^2 \cos^2 \theta + m_1^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the above m_1 and m_2 are two masses (the so-called “electroweak eigenstate masses”), $\Delta m^2 = m_1^2 - m_2^2$, and θ is the *mixing angle* which measures the tendency on one type of neutrino to turn into the other type. If at time $t = 0$ an electron neutrino is created, find the probability that it will be a muon neutrino at a later time t . According to the rules of quantum mechanics, this is given by $P(t) := |\langle e_2 | e^{-iHt} e_1 \rangle|^2$.

Similar Systems

- * Particle physics offers many similar systems of this type
 - * Cabibbo Angle/CKM Matrix
 - * Neutral Kaon system
 - * Isospin model of the nucleus
- * Why? Particles are idealizations, not fundamental

Group Theory: A Proposal

- * Symmetry plays a crucial role modern particle physics (also atomic/molecular)
- * Orthogonal and unitary groups most important
- * An advanced linear course could give students a systematic introduction.

Examples

- * Isospin ($SU(2)$ in fundamental rep)
- * The Eight-Fold Way ($SU(3)$ in adjoint and other reps)
- * QCD (strong force, $SU(3)$ in adjoint rep)
- * Also classical examples: $SO(3)$ in particle mechanics, $SO(3,1)$ in relativity, more.

Why Would You Do Such A Thing?

- * Attract more students to advanced linear
- * Transition to/reinforce abstract algebra
- * Give math students an introduction to continuous groups w/o all the hard topology/geometry
- * Service to physics students

Student Response

- * "[Schrödinger equation problems] are cool stuff"
- * **Students found the problems difficult at first but came to enjoy them**

Further Reading

- * Wikipedia
- * Griffiths, David. Introduction to Elementary Particles, Wiley, New York (1987)
- * iseggev@knox.edu