

Computer Science 131

Programming Languages

October 26, 2000

Parametric Polymorphism

Complaints about Strong Typing

- Types get in the way
 - Too obtrusive: too many type annotations
 - Too restrictive: types inhibit code re-use

```
val compose =  
  fn (g : real->string) :  
      ((int->real)->(int->string)) =>  
  fn (f : int->real) : (int->string) =>  
    fn (x:int):string => g(f(x))
```

Improving Matters

- Polymorphism: generic functions

```
val compose =  
  fn (g : 'b->'c) : (('a->'b)->('a->'c)) =>  
    fn (f : 'a->'b) : 'a->'c =>  
      fn (x:'a):'c => g(f(x))
```

- Implicit typing: automatically inferred annotations

```
val compose =  
  fn g =>  
    fn f =>  
      fn x => g(f(x))
```

Brands of Polymorphism

- Parametric polymorphism (today's topic)
 - Generic code
 - Algorithm stays the same even when types differ.
- Ad-hoc polymorphism
 - Different code runs depending on types
 - Choice may be compile-time or run-time

NQSML + Polymorphism

```
v ::= n | tt | ff | ...  
    | fn (x:t) => e  
    | Fn a => e  
e ::= v | e1 + e2 | e1 < e2  
    | if e1 then e2 else e3  
    | x | let x be e1 in e2 | ...  
    | e1(e2) | e[t]  
t ::= Int | Bool | ...  
    | t1 -> t2  
    | a | "a.t"
```

Evaluation Rules

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e_1'}{e_1(e_2) \rightarrow e_1'(e_2)} \qquad \frac{e_2 \rightarrow e_2'}{v_1(e_2) \rightarrow v_1(e_2')}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{fn } (x:t) \Rightarrow e_1)v_2 \rightarrow e_1[x \rightarrow v_2]}$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{e(t) \rightarrow e'(t)}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{Fn } a \Rightarrow e)(t) \rightarrow e[a \rightarrow t]}$$

Examples

- Polymorphic Identity

Define `id` to be `Fn a => (fn (x:a) => x)`

- Then

`id` : " a.(a ->a)

`id[Int]` : `Int->Int`

`id[Int](3)` : `Int`

Examples

- Define **compose** by

```
Fn a => Fn b => Fn g =>  
  fn (g : b->g) =>  
    fn (f : a->b) =>  
      fn (x:a) => g(f(x))
```

- **Then**

```
compose : " a." " b." g. (b->g) -> (a->b) -> (a->g)  
compose[Int][Real][String] :  
  (Real->String) -> (Int->Real) ->  
    (Int->String)
```

Typing Rules

- Need a notion of "well-formed types"
 - Requires no unbound type variables
- Let T stand for set of active type variables.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{T \vdash \text{Int ok}} \qquad \frac{}{T \vdash \text{Bool ok}} \qquad \frac{a \hat{I} T}{T \vdash a ok} \\
 \\
 \frac{T \vdash t_1 ok \quad T \vdash t_2 ok}{T \vdash t_1 \rightarrow t_2 ok} \\
 \\
 \frac{T \hat{E}\{a\} \vdash t ok \quad a \hat{I} T}{T \vdash "a.t ok}
 \end{array}$$

Typing Rules

- Determining whether an expression is well-typed now requires
 - A typing context G
 - Set of active type variables T
- Rules from before just carry T around

$$\frac{}{G, T \vdash n : \mathbf{Int}}$$

$$\frac{G, T \vdash e_1 : t_2 \rightarrow t \quad G, T \vdash e_2 : t_2}{G, T \vdash e_1(e_2) : t}$$

New Typing Rules

$$\frac{G, T \setminus \{a\} \vdash e : t \quad a \in T}{G, T \vdash \mathbf{Fn} \ a \Rightarrow e : " a.t}$$

$$\frac{G, T \vdash e_1 : " a.t_1 \quad G, T \vdash t_2 \text{ ok}}{G, T \vdash e_1(t_2) : t_1[a \rightarrow t_2]}$$

Type Soundness

- Proofs of Type Preservation and Progress go through for this language
 - Even with refs, exceptions, continuations, ...

Polymorphism and Refs

- Suppose we have **ref** cells
- Consider the type " **a . ((a -> a) Ref)**"
 - Values of this type are polymorphic functions
 - Given **a**, return a ref cell (that can contain functions of type **a->a**)
- For example, **Fn a => ref (fn (x:a) => x)**
 - This is a value
 - When it is applied to a type, allocates *new* ref

Polymorphism and Refs

- Consider the type `(" a . (a - > a)) Ref`
 - Values of this type are ref cells containing a polymorphic function
 - Not a prenex type, but ok in NQSMML extension
- For example, `ref (Fn a => fn (x:a) => x)`
 - Single reference cell containing polymorphic identity function.

Comparison with SML

- SML Polymorphism is...
 - *Implicit*: All type functions and applications are automatically filled in during type inference.
 - *Predicative*: Cannot apply a polymorphic function to a polymorphic type
 - *Shallow/Prenex*: Universal quantifiers in a type must come first. (Hence cannot pass polymorphic functions as arguments.)
 - *Nonrecursive*: Permits polymorphic (recursive functions) but not recursive (polymorphic functions)

SML Restrictions Formalized

$u ::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Bool} \mid \dots$ (Monotypes)
 $\mid u_1 \rightarrow u_2$
 $\mid a$
 $t ::= u \mid "a.t"$ (Polytypes)

- The type of terms are polytypes.
- Polymorphic functions can only be applied to monotypes

Polymorphism and Refs

- Consider the following SML code

```
let
  val succ = (fn n => n+1)
  val r : ('a->'a) ref = ref (fn x=>x)
in
  r := succ;
  (!r)(true)
end
```

- Accepted by early ML, but gets stuck

Polymorphism and Refs

- If you give SML either of the definitions

```
val empty : ('a list) ref = ref []
```

```
val r : ('a->'a) ref = ref (fn x=>x)
```

the compiler will complain. Why?

The SML Value Restriction

- A variable definition may not be polymorphic unless the definition is a syntactic value.

```
val x : 'a list = []           ok
```

```
val y : int list ref = ref []  ok
```

```
val y : 'a list ref = ref []   not ok
```

- Why?
 - This would make the type system unsound

Polymorphism and Refs

- Consider the code

```
let
  val succ = (fn n => n+1)
  val r : ('a->'a) ref = (fn x =>x)
in
  r := succ;
  (!r)(true)
end
```

What's Going On?

- Code "looks ok" but would increment **true**
 - And didn't we say polymorphism + references was sound?
 - Confusing because polymorphic operations are all implicit.
- Let's try filling in the type functions and applications. There are two possibilities:
 - **r** is a polymorphic function returning a ref cell
 - **r** is a ref cell containing a polymorphic function

Version 1

```
let
  val succ = (fn n => n+1)
  val r = Fn 'a => ref (fn (x:'a)=>x)
in
  r[Int] := succ;
  (!(r[Bool]))(true)
end
```

- Fresh ref cell for each use of r!

Version 2

```
let
  val succ = (fn n => n+1)
  val r = ref (Fn 'a => fn (x:'a)=>x)
in
  r := succ;
  ((!r)[Bool])(true)
end
```

- Now the assignment is type incorrect!

Polymorphism and Refs

- Summary of the problem:
 - Naive typechecking assumes Version 1
 - Naive running implements Version 2
 - Could typecheck and run Version 1, but it yields unexpected behavior.
 - Version 2 is outside the SML type system
- Value restriction:
 - Compiler will insert type functions only around *values*
 - Allow Version 1 only when it acts like Version 2.

Parametricity

- Consider NSQML without effects or recursion.
- Suppose \mathbf{f} has type " $a \rightarrow a$ "
 - What function could it be?

Parametricity

- Suppose \mathbf{f} has type " $a \rightarrow a$ "
 - \mathbf{f} *must* act like the polymorphic identity
 $\mathbf{Fn} \ a \Rightarrow (\mathbf{fn} \ (x:a) \Rightarrow x)$

Parametricity

- Suppose f has type " `a.(a list -> a list)`"
 - What can f be?

Parametricity

- Suppose **f** has type " **a.(a list -> a list)**"
 - **f** could be an identity function
 - **f** could always return **nil[a]**
 - **f** could return a fixed sublist of its argument
 - **f** could return a permutation of its argument

Parametricity

- Suppose f has type " `a.(a list -> a list)`"
 - The function *cannot* depend on the elements of the list, only on its structure.
 - The function *cannot* return elements in the output list that were not in the input list.
 - The function *cannot* work differently depending on the type argument `a`.

Parametricity

- Informally, a polymorphic function is said to be *parametric* if its behavior is independent of its type argument.
 - i.e., same algorithm for all type instances.
- This can be elegantly formalized
 - "Related arguments yield related results"
 - But not in this class.
 - Application: TAL and callee-save registers