

# Computer Science 131, Spring 2001

## Assignment 5: Typechecking

Out: Thursday, October 25

**Due: Friday, November 2, 5:00pm**

### Instructions

For this assignment you must again use a special version of the SML/NJ compiler; the command on turing is `/cs/cs131/bin/sml-cs131-a5`.

Your code should be put in a file named `assign5.sml` file and submitted via `cs131submit` as usual. As always, your file should contain no syntax or type errors.

### Introduction

For this assignment, you are to implement a typechecker for a language which is very like a subset of Standard ML. The major differences are that the allowed grammar covers only a small but nontrivial subset of SML, there is no polymorphism, and there is no interesting type inference.

The syntax for allowed programs is shown in Figure 1; the `sml-cs131-a5` binary again includes a function

```
M.parse : string -> A.exp
```

which takes a filename and parses the contents of the file into an SML representation of the abstract syntax. The signature of the `A` structure, which includes the datatype representation and some useful helper functions, is shown in Figure 2.

This datatype should be mostly self-explanatory. You can also try running various pieces of source code through the parser to see what comes out. Alternatively, Figure 3 gives a formal definition of the translation between abstract syntax into the SML representation. The notation  $[e]$  will be used to denote the SML representation corresponding to the abstract syntax for  $e$ . Note that anonymous functions (`fn`) must have a type annotation on their argument variable, and that function declarations are annotated with the argument type and the return type. (Other annotations on variables declared by `val` or the return type of anonymous functions are not necessary; we can always determine these from the type of the definition or the function body respectively, which we'd have to compute anyway.)

The binary also contains a structure `Env` which implements environments; the signature of this structure is

```
sig
  type 'a env
  val empty  : 'a env
  val insert : 'a env * string * 'a -> 'a env
  val lookup : 'a env * string -> 'a
end
```

## Problem Statement (100%)

Write a function

```
typeof : (A.ty Env.env) * A.exp -> A.ty
```

which given a typing environment and an expression, returns the expression's type if one exists and raises the `Typeof` exception otherwise. (The SML code for this is `raise Typeof`)

You should use an auxiliary function

```
typeof_decl : (A.ty Env.env) * A.decl -> A.ty Env.env
```

for typechecking declarations. This should take a typing environment and a declaration, and if the declaration typechecks should return the given environment extended with the type of the variable or function defined in that declaration. In a sequence of declarations, each declaration can then be typechecked in an environment that includes the types of all previously-defined variables in the sequence.

Your typechecker should obey the following:

- Arithmetic and comparison operations can be performed on two integers and two reals, but not on one of each.
- The expression `real e` expects `e` to be of type `int` and returns the equivalent value of type `real`. There should be no subtyping or implicit conversions of any sort.
- Function definitions using `fun` can be recursive. (This means that to typecheck the definition you have to *assume* the function has the given argument and result type, and *assume* the argument has the given type, and check that under these assumptions the result has the specified type.) Definitions using `val` may not refer to themselves, so do not extend the environment in this case before typechecking the right-hand-side.

You do *not* have to write an evaluator for this language!

## Error Messages (10% EXTRA CREDIT)

In addition to raising an exception when an error is found, have your typechecker print a helpful error message explaining what the problem was.

# Subtyping (50% EXTRA CREDIT)

Write a function

```
stypeof : (A.ty Env.env) * A.exp -> A.ty
```

which returns the most-precise type of the given expression assuming a subtyping relationship that starts with `int`  $\preceq$  `real` and the standard rules for subtyping between pair types and between function types. A very good starting point is the typechecker without subtyping.

Although you may still do pattern-matching to check that certain expressions have pair types or have function types, the `stypeof` function should *never* compare two types for equality; checking that one type is a subtype of the other (via `A.subtype`) is always sufficient. The `A.lub` function is useful when typechecking conditional expressions.

---

```
e ::= n                (integers)
    | r                (real numbers)
    | f, x, ...        (variables)
    | true | false
    | fn x:t => e
    | (e1, e2)
    | e1 + e2
    | e1 - e2
    | e1 * e2
    | e1 < e2
    | e1 <= e2
    | e1 = e2
    | if e1 then e2 else e3
    | let d1 ... dn in e
    | e1 e2
    | #1 e
    | #2 e

d ::= val x:t = e
    | fun f(x:t1):t2 = e

t ::= int
    | bool
    | real
    | t1->t2
    | t1*t2
```

Figure 1: ML Subset for this Assignment

---

---

```

sig
  type var = string
  datatype ty = Int_t
              | Real_t
              | Bool_t
              | Arrow_t of ty * ty
              | Times_t of ty * ty
  datatype aop = Plus | Minus | Times
  datatype cop = Less | Equal | LessEq
  datatype exp = Int      of int
               | Real     of real
               | Bool     of bool
               | Var      of var
               | ToReal   of exp
               | Arith    of exp * aop * exp
               | Compare  of exp * cop * exp
               | If       of exp * exp * exp
               | Let      of decl list * exp
               | Fn       of var * ty * exp
               | Apply    of exp * exp
               | Pair     of exp * exp
               | Fst      of exp
               | Snd      of exp
  and decl = Val_d of var * exp
           | Fun_d of var * var * ty * ty * exp

  val toString      : exp -> string
  val ty_toString   : ty  -> string
  val decl_toString : decl -> string
  val decls_toString : decl list -> string

  val subtype : ty * ty -> bool (* based on Int_t  $\preceq$  Real_t *)
  val lub     : ty * ty -> ty option (* least upper bound, if any *)
  val glb     : ty * ty -> ty option (* greatest lower bound, if any *)
end

```

Figure 2: Signature of the structure A

---

---

<code>[int]</code>	<code>= A.Int_t</code>
<code>[bool]</code>	<code>= A.Bool_t</code>
<code>[real]</code>	<code>= A.Real_t</code>
<code>[t<sub>1</sub>-&gt;t<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Arrow_t([t<sub>1</sub>],[t<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[t<sub>1</sub>*t<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Times_t([t<sub>1</sub>],[t<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[n]</code>	<code>= A.Int(n)</code>
<code>[r]</code>	<code>= A.Real(r)</code>
<code>[true]</code>	<code>= A.Bool(true)</code>
<code>[false]</code>	<code>= A.Bool(false)</code>
<code>[x]</code>	<code>= A.Var("x")</code>
<code>[real e]</code>	<code>= A.ToReal([e])</code>
<code>[e<sub>1</sub> + e<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Arith([e<sub>1</sub>],A.Plus,[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[e<sub>1</sub> - e<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Arith([e<sub>1</sub>],A.Minus,[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[e<sub>1</sub> * e<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Arith([e<sub>1</sub>],A.Times,[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[e<sub>1</sub> &lt; e<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Compare([e<sub>1</sub>],A.Less,[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[e<sub>1</sub> &lt;= e<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Compare([e<sub>1</sub>],A.LessEq,[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[e<sub>1</sub> = e<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Compare([e<sub>1</sub>],A.Equal,[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[if e<sub>1</sub> then e<sub>2</sub> else e<sub>3</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.If([e<sub>1</sub>],[e<sub>2</sub>],[e<sub>3</sub>])</code>
<code>[let d<sub>1</sub> ... d<sub>n</sub> in e]</code>	<code>= A.Let([[d<sub>1</sub>], ..., [d<sub>n</sub>]], [e])</code>
<code>[fn x:t =&gt; e]</code>	<code>= A.Fn("x",[t],[e])</code>
<code>[e<sub>1</sub> e<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Apply([e<sub>1</sub>],[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[(e<sub>1</sub>,e<sub>2</sub>)]</code>	<code>= A.Pair([e<sub>1</sub>],[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>
<code>[#1 e]</code>	<code>= A.Fst([e])</code>
<code>[#2 e]</code>	<code>= A.Snd([e])</code>
<code>[val x:t = e]</code>	<code>= A.Val_d("x",[t],[e])</code>
<code>[fun f(x:t<sub>1</sub>):t<sub>2</sub> = e<sub>2</sub>]</code>	<code>= A.Fun_d("f","x",[t<sub>1</sub>],[t<sub>2</sub>],[e<sub>2</sub>])</code>

Figure 3: Datatype Representation of Syntax

---