

Un(i)typed λ -calculus

September 20, 2001
CS 131: Programming Languages

History

- In 1936,
 - Alan Turing invented Turing machines, defined a notion of computable functions
 - Alonzo Church invented λ -calculus, defined a notion of computable functions
 - Definitions of computability turn out to be the same.

Syntax

- Pure lambda calculus:

$M, N ::=$	x	<i>variables</i>
	$ \ \lambda x. M$	<i>functions</i>
	$ \ M N$	<i>applications</i>

- That's it!

Note on Syntax

- Anonymous function values $\lambda x. M$ show up under many different guises in functional languages.

$x \mapsto M$	(Math)
$(x) \Rightarrow M$	(rex)
$(\text{lambda } (x) M)$	(Scheme)
$\text{fn } x \Rightarrow M$	(SML)
$\backslash x \rightarrow M$	(Haskell)

Conventions

- Terms differing only in names of bound variables are considered the same term
 - In the term $\lambda x.M$, variable x is bound in M

- Application associates leftward

$$xyzw = ((xy)z)w$$

- Function bodies are as large as possible.

$$\lambda x.yx = \lambda x.(yx) \neq (\lambda x.y)x$$

One-step β -Reduction

- The relation \rightarrow_β is defined by:

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow_\beta M[x \rightarrow N]}$$

$$\frac{M \rightarrow_\beta M'}{MN \rightarrow_\beta M'N} \qquad \frac{N \rightarrow_\beta N'}{MN \rightarrow_\beta MN'}$$

$$\frac{M \rightarrow_\beta M'}{\lambda x.M \rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.M'}$$

β -Reduction Summarized

- Look for the pattern

$$(\lambda x.M)N$$

occurring anywhere, and replace it with

$$M[x \rightarrow N]$$

A Worked Example

$$\underline{(\lambda b.(\lambda x.(\lambda y.((b\ y)\ x))))(\lambda w.(\lambda z.w))}$$

$$\rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.(\lambda y.(((\lambda w.(\lambda z.w))\ y)\ x))$$

$$\rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.(\lambda y.((\lambda z.y)\ x))$$

$$\rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.(\lambda y.y)$$

$$\underline{(\lambda b.\lambda x.\lambda y.b\ y\ x)(\lambda w.\lambda z.w)}$$

$$\rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.\lambda y.(\lambda w.\lambda z.w)\ y\ x$$

$$\rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.\lambda y.(\lambda z.y)\ x$$

$$\rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.\lambda y.y$$

Exercise

- Reduce the following term:
 $(\lambda x. x \ x) ((\lambda y. y) (\lambda z. z))$

Other Relations

- The relation \rightarrow_{β}^* is defined to be the reflexive, transitive closure of \rightarrow_{β}
 - i.e., 0 or more \rightarrow_{β} steps.
- The relation $\leftrightarrow_{\beta}^*$ is defined to be the reflexive, transitive, *symmetric* closure of \rightarrow_{β} .
 - Often referred to as *conversion*
 - Provides a notion of program equivalence

Conversion Formalized

$$\frac{}{M \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M} \qquad \frac{M_1 \rightarrow_{\beta} M_2}{M_1 \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_2} \qquad \frac{M_2 \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_1}{M_1 \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_2}$$
$$\frac{M_1 \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_2 \quad M_2 \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_3}{M_1 \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_3}$$

Programming in λ -Calculus

- Want terms in the λ -calculus that "act like"
 - booleans
 - numbers
 - conditionals
 - arithmetic operations
 - pairs and projections
 - etc.
- Many different ways to do encodings
 - I'll just show one example of each

Encoding Booleans

- We use the following definition:

tt := $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$
ff := $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$
if := $\lambda z. z$

if tt $M N \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^*$
if ff $M N \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^*$

Exercises

- Find a term **not** such that
not tt $\leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \text{ff}$
not ff $\leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \text{tt}$
- Define **and** and **or**

Pairs

- We use the following definition:

$\langle M, N \rangle$:= $\lambda f. f M N$
fst := $\lambda p. (p (\lambda x. \lambda y. x))$
snd := $\lambda p. (p (\lambda x. \lambda y. y))$

- Show that
fst $\langle M, N \rangle \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M$
snd $\langle M, N \rangle \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* N$

Natural Numbers

- Church numerals:

0 := $\lambda f. \lambda b. b$
1 := $\lambda f. \lambda b. f(b)$
2 := $\lambda f. \lambda b. f(f(b))$

...

n := $\lambda f. \lambda b. f^n(b)$

$\langle \mathbf{n} \ x \ y \rangle \leftrightarrow_{\beta}^* x^n(y)$

succ := $\lambda m. \lambda f. \lambda b. f(m f b)$

Is this Reasonable?

- Yes!

```
succ 'n'  
= (λm.λf.λb.f(m f b)) 'n'  
↔β* λf.λb.f('n' f b)  
↔β* λf.λb.f(fn b)  
= λf.λb.fn+1 b  
= 'n+1'
```

Exercises

- Find an alternative definition for **succ**
– Hint: $1+n = n+1$
- Find terms **plus** and **times** such that
plus 'm' 'n' ↔_β* 'm+n'
times 'm' 'n' ↔_β* 'mn'
- Find a term **iszero** such that
iszero '0' ↔_β* tt
iszero 'n' ↔_β* ff for any $n > 0$