

## Variables and Definitions

October 9, 2001  
CS 131: Programming Languages

## Introductory Demo

- Background facts about Emacs Lisp (Elisp)
  - Much of the functionality of Emacs (and XEmacs) editors comes from code written in LISP
    - Functions defined to do various things (like indent code)
    - Each keypress runs an associated command
  - In XEmacs, the function `mapcar*` is a predefined function which acts like `map` in rex.
    - That is, it applies a given function to the elements of a list (or the corresponding components of multiple lists)

## Some Elisp Code

```
;; An example of mapcar*
(defvar succ (lambda (x) (+ x 1)))
(mapcar* succ '(1 2 3))

;; Another example of mapcar*
(let* ((y 5)
      (f (lambda (x) (list x y))))
  (mapcar* f '(1 2 3)))

;; Renaming local variables
(let* ((cl-y 5)
      (f (lambda (x) (list x cl-y))))
  (mapcar* f '(1 2 3)))

;; Renaming local variables again
(let* ((cl-x 5)
      (f (lambda (x) (list x cl-x))))
  (mapcar* f '(1 2 3)))
```

What's going on?

## An Interpreter Optimization

- Concern: applying substitutions in an interpreter is slow.

```
let x1 = 1
in let x2 = 2
  in let x3 = 3
    in ...
      in let xn = n
        in x1+x2+x3+ ... +xn
```

## An Interpreter Optimization

- Fix: instead of replacing variables by their values (substitution) just keep a lookup table
  - This table is called an *environment*
  - I will denote an environments with  $\rho$  because it's traditional
    - (And, I already use  $\mathbb{E}$  and  $e$  for other things)

```
let x1 = 1
in let x2 = 2
  in let x3 = 3
    in ...
      in let xn = n
        in x1+x2+x3+ ... +xn
```

## Environment Interface

- Formal Semantics: Environments as finite functions
  - $\emptyset$  the empty environment
  - $\rho(x)$  the value that  $\rho$  associates with the variable  $x$
  - $\rho, x=v$  the environment just like  $\rho$  except that it gives  $v$  as the value for  $x$ .
- ML implementation
 

```
type env
val empty : env
val lookup : env * string -> absyn
val extend : env * string * absyn -> env
```

## Revised Interpreter

```
exception Error

fun eval expr =
  (case expr of
   Num n => Num n
  | Bool b => Bool b
  | Nil => Nil
  | Lam _ => expr

  | Var _ => raise Error)
```

```
exception Error

fun deval(env,expr) =
  (case expr of
   Num n => Num n
  | Bool b => Bool b
  | Nil => Nil
  | Lam _ => expr
  | Var v =>lookup(env,v))
```

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{}{(\rho, v) \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{}{(\rho, x) \Downarrow \rho(x)}$$

## Revised Interpreter

```
| Plus(e1,e2) =>
  let
    val v1 = eval e1
    val v2 = eval e2
  in
    (case (v1,v2) of
     (Num m1, Num m2)
     => Num(m1+m2)
    | _ => raise Error)
  end
```

```
| Plus(e1,e2) =>
  let
    val v1 = deval (env,e1)
    val v2 = deval (env,e2)
  in
    (case (v1,v2) of
     (Num m1, Num m2)
     => Num (m1+m2)
    | _ => raise Error)
  end
```

$$\frac{M_1 \Downarrow n_1 \quad M_2 \Downarrow n_2}{M_1+M_2 \Downarrow n_1 \oplus n_2}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M_1) \Downarrow n_1 \quad (\rho, M_2) \Downarrow n_2}{(\rho, M_1+M_2) \Downarrow n_1 \oplus n_2}$$

## Revised Interpreter

```

| Equal(e1,e2) =>
  let
    val v1 = eval e1
    val v2 = eval e2
  in
    (case (v1,v2) of
      (Num m1, Num m2)
        => Bool(m1=m2)
    | _ => raise Error)
  end

```

```

| Equal(e1,e2) =>
  let
    val v1 = deval (env,e1)
    val v2 = deval (env,e2)
  in
    (case (v1,v2) of
      (Num m1, Num m2)
        => Bool(m1=m2)
    | _ => raise Error)
  end

```

$$\frac{M_1 \Downarrow n_1 \quad M_2 \Downarrow n_2}{M_1 == M_2 \Downarrow n_1 == n_2}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M_1) \Downarrow n_1 \quad (\rho, M_2) \Downarrow n_2}{(\rho, M_1 == M_2) \Downarrow n_1 == n_2}$$

## Conditional

```

| If(M,N1,N2) =>
  (case eval M of
    Bool true => eval N1
  | Bool false => eval N2
  | _ => raise Error)

```

```

| If(M,N1,N2) =>
  (case deval (env,M) of
    Bool true => deval (env,N1)
  | Bool false => deval (env,N2)
  | _ => raise Error)

```

$$\frac{M \Downarrow tt \quad N_1 \Downarrow v}{\text{if } M \text{ then } N_1 \text{ else } N_2 \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M) \Downarrow tt \quad (\rho, N_1) \Downarrow v}{(\rho, \text{if } M \text{ then } N_1 \text{ else } N_2) \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{M \Downarrow ff \quad N_2 \Downarrow v}{\text{if } M \text{ then } N_1 \text{ else } N_2 \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M) \Downarrow ff \quad (\rho, N_2) \Downarrow v}{(\rho, \text{if } M \text{ then } N_1 \text{ else } N_2) \Downarrow v}$$

## Local Definitions

```

| Let(x,M,N) =>
  let
    val v1 = eval M
    val N' = subst(N,x,v1)
    val v2 = eval N'
  in
    v2
  end

```

```

| Let(x,M,N) =>
  let
    val v1 = deval (env, M)
    val env' = extend(env,x,v1)
    val v2 = deval (env', N)
  in
    v2
  end

```

$$\frac{M \Downarrow v_1 \quad N[x \rightarrow v_1] \Downarrow v_2}{\text{let } x=M \text{ in } N \Downarrow v_2}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M) \Downarrow v_1 \quad ((\rho, x=v_1), N) \Downarrow v_2}{(\rho, \text{let } x=M \text{ in } N) \Downarrow v_2}$$

## Application

```

| Apply(M,N) =>
  let
    val v1 = eval M
    val v2 = eval N
  in
    (case v1 of
      Lam(x,M') =>
        eval(subst(M',x,v2))
    | _ => raise Error)
  end

```

```

| Apply(M,N) =>
  let
    val v1 = deval (env,M)
    val v2 = deval (env,N)
  in
    (case v1 of
      Lam(x,M') =>
        let
          val env' = extend(env,x,v2)
        in
          deval (env',M')
        end
    | _ => raise Error)
  end

```

$$\frac{M \Downarrow \lambda x.M' \quad N \Downarrow v_2}{M' [x \rightarrow v_2] \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M) \Downarrow \lambda x.M' \quad (\rho, N) \Downarrow v_2 \quad ((\rho, x=v_2), M') \Downarrow v}{(\rho, M(N)) \Downarrow v}$$

## Pairs and Projections

```

| Pair(e1,e2) =>
  Pair(eval e1,
        eval e2)
| Fst M => (case (eval M) of
            Pair(v1,_) => v1
            | _ => raise Error)
| Snd M => (case (eval M) of
            Pair(_,v2) => v2
            | _ => raise Error)

```

```

| Pair(e1,e2) =>
  Pair(deval (env,e1),
        deval (env,e2))
| Fst M => (case deval(env,M) of
            Pair(v1,_) => v1
            | _ => raise Error)
| Snd M => (case deval(env,M) of
            Pair(_,v2) => v2
            | _ => raise Error)

```

$$\frac{M_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad M_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M_1) \Downarrow v_1 \quad (\rho, M_2) \Downarrow v_2}{(\rho, \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle) \Downarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{M \Downarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle}{\text{fst}(M) \Downarrow v_1}$$

$$\frac{M \Downarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle}{\text{snd}(M) \Downarrow v_2}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M) \Downarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle}{(\rho, \text{fst}(M)) \Downarrow v_1}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M) \Downarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle}{(\rho, \text{snd}(M)) \Downarrow v_2}$$

test\_input0

```

let x = 1
in let y = x+1
   in let x = y+2
      in x+y

```

What should the output be?

test\_input1

```

let x = 0
in let f = λy.x+y
   in let g = λz.f(2+z)
      in g(1)

```

What should the output be?

test\_input2

```

let x = 0
in let f = λy.x+y
   in let g = λz.(let q = 2
                  in f(q+z))
      in g(1)

```

What should the output be?

### test\_input3

```
let x = 0
in let f = λy.x+y
   in let g = λz.(let x = 2
                  in f(x+z))
   in g(1)
```

What should the output be?

### Same Code in SML

```
val x = 0
fun f(y:int) = x + y
fun g(z:int) = let
                val x = 2
                in
                  f(x + z)
                end
val _ = print (Int.toString (g 1))
```

### Same Code in Emacs Lisp

```
(defvar x 0)
(defun f (y) (+ x y))
(defun g (z) (let ((x 2))
              (f (+ x z))))
(print (g 1))
```

### Why the Difference?

## What's going on?

```
val x = 0 ←
fun f(y) = x + y
↑
Defines f to be the function
which multiplies its
argument by this variable
```

```
fun g(z) =
  let val x = 2
  in (f (x + z))
end
```

```
(defvar x 0)
(defun f (y) (+ x y))
↑
Defines f to be the function
which multiplies its
argument by "x"
```

```
(defun g (z)
  (let ((x 2))
    (f (+ x z))
  )
)
```

## More Precisely...

```
val x = 0
fun f(y) = x + y
```

f refers to the x in scope  
when f was *defined*.  
(*Static* or *Lexical* Scope)

```
fun g(z) =
  let val x = 4
  in (f z)
end
```

```
(defvar x 0)
(defun f (y) (+ x y))
```

f refers to the most-recently  
defined x when f is *called*.  
(*Dynamic* Scope)

```
(defun g (z)
  (let ((x 4))
    (f z)
  )
)
```

## Even More Precisely...

```
val x = 0
fun f(y) = x + y
```

When evaluating a call to f, free  
variables are looked up in the  
environment in place when  
the function was *defined*

```
fun g(z) =
  let val x = 4
  in (f z)
end
```

```
(defvar x 0)
(defun f (y) (+ x y))
```

When evaluating a call to f, free  
variables are looked up in the  
environment in place where  
the function is *called*

```
(defun g (z)
  (let ((x 4))
    (f z)
  )
)
```

## Scoping in Languages

- Lexical
  - Fortran, Pascal, C, C++, Java, SML, Scheme, ...
- Dynamic
  - APL, Snobol, Original LISP, Emacs LISP, Perl 4, ...
- Both
  - Perl 5, Common LISP
- What characteristics do these groups have in common?

## Same Example in Perl (twice)

```
$x = 0;
sub f {
  local ($y) = @_;
  return ($x + $y);
}
sub g {
  local ($z) = @_;
  local $x = 2;
  return (f($x + $z));
}
print (g(1));
```

```
$x = 0;
sub f {
  local ($y) = @_;
  return ($x + $y);
}
sub g {
  local ($z) = @_;
  my $x = 2;
  return (f($x + $z));
}
print (g(1));
```

## Interpreting Dynamic Scope

```
(defvar base 10)
(defun print_int (n)
  (... print the number n in base base ...))
```

```
(let ((base 8)) (print_int 42))
(print_int 100)
```

When execution has reached this point, `base` is bound to 10 while `print_int` is bound to a function value.

## Interpreting Dynamic Scope

```
(defvar base 10)
(defun print_int (n)
  (... print the number n in base base ...))
```

```
(let ((base 8)) (print_int 42))
(print_int 100)
```

Here the environment has been updated to give `base` the value 8. Next the program calls `print_int`

## Interpreting Dynamic Scope

```
(defvar base 10)
(defun print_int (n)
  (... print the number n in base base ...))
```

```
(let ((base 8)) (print_int 42))
(print_int 100)
```

The function `print_int` looks up `base` in the environment and finds the value 8.

## Interpreting Dynamic Scope

```
(defvar base 10)
(defun print_int (n)
  (... print the number n in base base ...))
```

```
(let ((base 8)) (print_int 42))
(print_int 100)
```

After exiting the scope of the local variable `base`, we discard the "local" environment; `base` again refers to the global variable, which has value 10.

## Interpreting Dynamic Scope

```
(defvar base 10)
(defun print_int (n)
  (... print the number n in base base ...))
```

```
(let ((base 8)) (print_int 42))
(print_int 100)
```

Thus this call to `print_int` will look up the variable `base` and find the value 10.

## Arguments for Dynamic Scope

- Easier to implement in an interpreter
- Customization of subroutines (implicit arguments)
  - What is the alternative?

```
(defvar base 10)
(defun print_int (n)
  (... print the number n in base base ...))
(defun foo (y)
  (... do computation then call print_int ...))

(let ((base 8)) (print_int 42))
(print_int 100)
(let ((base 2)) (foo 7))
(print_int 100)
```

## Arguments for Dynamic Scoping

"Dynamic binding is especially useful for elements of the command dispatch table. For example, the RMAIL command for composing a reply to a message temporarily defines the character Control--Meta--Y to insert the text of the original message into the reply. The function which implements this command is always defined, but Control--Meta--Y does not call that function except while a reply is being edited. The reply command does this by dynamically binding the dispatch table entry for Control--Meta--Y and then calling the editor as a subroutine. When the recursive invocation of the editor returns, the text as edited by the user is sent as a reply"

Richard Stallman

*EMACS: The Extensible, Customizable Display Editor*

## Back To Our Example

```
;; Renaming local variables again
(let* ((cl-x 5)
      (f (lambda (x) (list x cl-x))))
  (mapcar* f '(1 2 3)))
```

## Arguments for Lexical Scope

- Names of local variables and function arguments shouldn't matter
  - Avoids accidental clashes between separate pieces of code without having to choose obscure variable names
    - e.g., `verylongatomunlikelytobeusedbyprogrammer1`
- Easier to typecheck
  - Otherwise, what is the type of `fn(y:int)=>x*y` ?
- Easier to implement efficiently in compilers

## Interpreting Static Scope

- We need a way of associating function values with the environments where they were defined.
  - The typical approach is a closure: a package that contains both the function code and information about its free variables (here, represented as an environment)
 
$$\llbracket \lambda x.M, \rho \rrbracket \quad \text{Closure of } \text{absyn} * \text{env}$$
- Only two evaluation rules change:

$$\frac{}{(\rho, \lambda x.M) \Downarrow \lambda x.M}$$

$$\frac{}{(\rho, \lambda x.M) \Downarrow \llbracket \lambda x.M, \rho \rrbracket}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M) \Downarrow \lambda x.M' \quad (\rho, N) \Downarrow v_2 \quad ((\rho, x=v_2), M') \Downarrow v}{M(N) \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{(\rho, M) \Downarrow \llbracket \lambda x.M, \rho_1 \rrbracket \quad (\rho, N) \Downarrow v_2 \quad ((\rho_1, x=v_2), M') \Downarrow v}{M(N) \Downarrow v}$$

## deval -> seval

```
| Lam(x,M) => Lam(x,M)
| Apply(M,N) =>
  let
    val v1 = deval(env,M)
    val v2 = deval(env,N)
  in
    (case v1 of
     Lam(x,M') =>
       let
         val env' = extend(env,x,v2)
       in
         deval(env',M')
       end
     | _ => raise Error)
end
| Closure(M,env) => raise Error
```

```
| Lam(x,M) => Closure(Lam(x,M),env)
| Apply(M,N) =>
  let
    val v1 = seval(env,M)
    val v2 = seval(env,N)
  in
    (case v1 of
     Closure(Lam(x,M'),env1) =>
       let
         val env' = extend(env1,x,v2)
       in
         seval(env',M')
       end
     | _ => raise Error)
  end
| Closure(M,env) => Closure(M,env)
```