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Rule and Sub-Goal Ordering
Suppose the goal is `knows(john, Y, R)`.

This rule is tried first.
\[\text{knows}(X, Y, \text{living}) :- \]
\[\text{lives}(X, Z), \text{lives}(Y, Z).\]

This rule is tried after the first rule is exhausted.
\[\text{knows}(X, Y, \text{tutoring}) :- \]
\[\text{canTutor}(Y, X).\]

In effect, we have disjunction (or) among rules, and conjunction (and) within rules. Remember that Prolog execution is depth-first search.

And-Or Trees
- In AI, problem-solving trees are typically “And-Or” trees.
- This applies to Prolog’s goals.

“Logical Variables” in Prolog
- A variable in Prolog is like an object that can have one of two states:
  - unbound
  - bound, to some Prolog term, e.g. an individual

- Once the variable is bound, it only gets re-bound in backtracking, which results in removing the former binding first.
Lists in Prolog

• The rex list notation was derived from Prolog’s list notation.

• A list can contain logical variables, which are already bound, or may get bound later.

• The process of binding is known as unification (which means “make the same”).

Unification (1)

• Unification causes two logical variables to denote the same thing.
  - The symbol for unification in Prolog is =
  - Note: this is a symmetric operation!

• Examples:
  \[ X = a \] Variable unified with constant
  \[ X = [a,b,c] \] Variable unified with a list
  \[ [X,b,c] = [a|Y] \] \[ X = a. \]
  \[ Y = [b,c] \]
  \[ [X,b] = [a,c] \] NOT UNIFIABLE

Unification (2)

• Unification takes place implicitly when a rule is used for a goal:

  \[ \text{knows}(\text{john}, U, R). \]
  \[ \text{knows}(X, Y, \text{living}) :- \]
  \[ \text{lives}(X, Z), \]
  \[ \text{lives}(Y, Z). \]

• The rule is usable iff the goal and the rule consequent variables unify.

Special handling of _ in Prolog

• The variable _ is special.

• It is called a “throw-away” variable.

• _ unifies with anything, but different occurrences of _ are not identified, unlike other variables.
Other variables beginning with _

• A variable that occurs only once in a clause is called a “singleton variable”.

• Often singleton variables are the result of a typing error, and certain compilers will warn about them.

• To prevent the warning, when this is the intention, use a variable that begins with _, such as _Name rather than Name.

Example: Towers of Hanoi

Move only one disk at a time.
Never place a larger disk on a smaller one.

Solving Towers of Hanoi

• Some approaches:
  - Pre-programmed solution
    • Recursive solution is easy in most languages
  - Let Prolog find solution using depth-first search
    • Trickier, but shows off Prolog’s capabilities
    • May not find shortest solution
  - Program breadth-first search in Prolog
    • Still trickier

Pre-Programmed Solution

• To move N disks from stack From to stack To:
  - Move N-1 disks from stack From to stack Other (the stack other than From and To)

A key point throughout is that the N-1 disk moves can be done without violating the constraint that a larger disk not be put atop a smaller one.
Pre-Programmed Solution

- To move N disks from stack \textit{From} to stack \textit{To}:
  - Move N-1 disks from stack \textit{From} to stack \textit{Other} (the stack other than \textit{From} and \textit{To})
  - Move 1 disk from stack \textit{From} to stack \textit{To}.

The \texttt{is} operator in Prolog

- Unlike a functional language, expressions that look like arithmetic are not automatically evaluated.
  - Example: \texttt{X - 1} is kept as its syntax tree \texttt{- (X, 1)}

- The \texttt{is} operator is used to force evaluation.

\texttt{Y is X-1} means unify \texttt{Y} with the arithmetic \texttt{value} of \texttt{X-1}
Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (1)

Does not require a human to solve the puzzle first.

First characterize the possible moves.

This is a move from stack 1 to stack 2:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\text{from} / \text{to} & \text{state before} & \text{state after} \\
\hline
\text{stack 1} & \text{stack 2} & \text{condition} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{move}([1, 2], [[F1 | R1], S2, S3], [R1, [F1 | S2], S3]) :- ok(F1, S2).
\]

provided that it is ok to move disk F1 onto stack S2.

Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (2)

All the possible moves in six rules:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{move}([1, 2], [[F1 | R1], S2, S3], [R1, [F1 | S2], S3]) &: \text{ok}(F1, S2). \\
\text{move}([1, 3], [[F1 | R1], S2, S3], [R1, S2, [F1 | S3]]) &: \text{ok}(F1, S3). \\
\text{move}([2, 1], [S1, [F2 | R2], S3], [[F2 | S1], R2, S3]) &: \text{ok}(F2, S1). \\
\text{move}([2, 3], [S1, [F2 | R2], S3], [S1, R2, [F2 | S3]]) &: \text{ok}(F2, S3). \\
\text{move}([3, 1], [S1, S2, [F3 | R3]], [[F3 | S1], S2, R3]) &: \text{ok}(F3, S1). \\
\text{move}([3, 2], [S1, S2, [F3 | R3]], [S1, [F3 | S2], R3]) &: \text{ok}(F3, S2).
\end{align*}
\]

Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (3)

When is it ok to move a disk onto a stack?
Assume the disks are represented by numbers 1, 2, 3, ... with smaller numbers representing smaller disks.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ok}(_, [ ]). & \quad \text{empty target stack} \\
\text{ok}(A, [B | _]) &: \text{smaller}(A, B). \\
\text{smaller}(A, B) &: A < B.
\end{align*}
\]

Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (4)

towers([S1, S2, S3], Moves) will mean that Moves is a valid move sequence that results in S1 and S2 being empty (so all disks are on S3).

towers([S1, S2, S3], Seen, Moves) means the same, except that Seen will be a list of all previous states (to prevent infinite looping).

towers(InitialState, Moves) :- towers(InitialState, [ ], Moves).

towers(InitialState, Moves) :- towers(InitialState, Seen, Moves).

towers([S1, S2, S3], Moves) :- towers(After, [Before | Seen], Moves).

% final state, no more moves

only consider if Before not already seen

only consider if Before not already seen

only consider if Before not already seen
Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (5)

Auxiliary Predicates:

\[ \text{nonMember}(X, L) :- \neg \text{member}(X, L). \]
\[ \text{member}(X, [X \mid _]). \]
\[ \text{member}(X, [_ \mid L]) :- \text{member}(X, L). \]

Exercise

Reverse the pegs by moving peg “forward” or jumping forward over a peg of either color.

Work out a depth-first solution in Prolog.
(You don’t have to check for cycles, because there can’t be any.)

Bi-Directional Execution (1)

Consider:

\[ \text{member}(X, [X \mid _]). \]
\[ \text{member}(X, [_ \mid L]) :- \text{member}(X, L). \]

This predicate can be viewed as a member **test**.

It can also be viewed as a member **generator**.

Bi-Directional Execution (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>test</th>
<th>generate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( ?- \text{member}(3,[1,2,3,4,5]). )</td>
<td>( ?- \text{member}(X,[1,2,3,4,5]). )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>( X = 1 ; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ?- \text{member}(6,[1,2,3,4,5]). )</td>
<td>( X = 2 ; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>( X = 3 ; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( X = 4 ; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( X = 5 ; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generating with append

append([],M,M).
append([H|L],M,[A|N]) :-
    append(L,M,N).

Map Coloring

A map

Corresponding graph

Map Coloring (2)

Prolog Clause

map([A,B,C,D,E,F,G]) :-
    next(A,B),
    next(A,C),
    next(A,D),
    next(A,E),
    next(B,D),
    next(B,F),
    next(C,D),
    next(C,E),
    next(C,F),
    next(D,F),
    next(E,F),
    next(E,G),
    next(F,G).

Map Coloring (3): Color Constraints

color(red).
color(blue).

next(X,Y) :- color(X), color(Y), X \= Y.

These and the preceding clause are the entire program.

Map Coloring (3):

Color Constraints

next(X,Y) :- color(X), color(Y), X \= Y.

These and the preceding clause are the entire program.

| ?- append([1,2,3],[4,5]),Z. |
|---|---|
| Z = [1,2,3,4,5]; no |

| ?- append([1,2,3],[4,5],Z). |
|---|---|
| X = [ ]; Y = [1,2,3,4,5]; |
| X = [1]; Y = [2,3,4,5]; |
| X = [1,2,3,4,5]; Y = [ ]; |
| no |
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Constraint Solving with Generate & Test:
"Zebra" Problem

There are five consecutive houses, each of a different color and inhabited by men of different nationalities. They each own a different pet, have a different favorite drink and drive a different car.

1. The Englishman lives in the red house.
2. The Spaniard owns the dog.
3. Coffee is drunk in the green house.
4. The Ukrainian drinks tea.
5. The green house is immediately to the right of the ivory house.
6. The Porsche driver owns snails.
7. The Masserati is driven by the man who lives in the yellow house.
8. The German lives in the middle house.
9. The Norwegian lives in the first house on the left.
10. The man who drives a Saab lives in the house next to the man with the fox.
11. The Masserati is driven by the man in the house next to the house where the horse is kept.
12. The Honda driver drinks orange juice.
14. The Norwegian lives next to the blue house.

The problem is: Who owns the Zebra? Who drinks water?

Prolog Solution to "Zebra" (1)

```prolog
left_right(L,R,[L,R,_,_,_]).
left_right(L,R,[_,L,R,_,_]).
left_right(L,R,[_,_,L,R,_,_]).
left_right(L,R,[_,_,_,L,R,_,_]).

next_to(X,Y,L) :-
    left_right(X,Y,L).
next_to(X,Y,L) :-
    left_right(Y,X,L).
```

Prolog Solution to "Zebra" (2)

```prolog
zebra(S) :-
    S = [[_,norwegian,_,_,_], [yellow,honda,orange_juice,_,_],
         next_to([_,norwegian,_,_,_], [blue,milk,_,_], S),
         member([green,_,coffee,_,_], S),
         left_right([ivory,_,_,_], [green,_,_,_], S),
         member([red,englishman,_,_], S),
         member([_,ukranian,tea,_,_], S),
         member([yellow,maserati,_,_], S),
         member([_,honda,orange_juice,_,_], S),
         member([_,japanese,jaguar,_,_], S),
         member([_,spaniard,_,_,dog], S),
         next_to([_,maserati,_,_], [_,_,_,horse], S),
         member([_,porche,_,snails], S),
         next_to([_,_,saab,_,_], [_,_,_,fox], S).
```

Warning:
Builtin Arithmetic is Not Reversible

- Consider a clause
  \[ p(X, Y) :- Y \text{ is } X+1. \]

- A possible use of this clause is:
  \[ ?- p(5, Z). \]
  \[ Z = 6 \]

- This clause cannot be used in reverse because the is predicate is not reversible:
  \[ ?- p(X, 6). \]
  will not give \( X = 5 \); it will fail.