

Computer Science 131, Spring 2001

Assignment 9: λ -Calculus

Out: Wednesday, April 4

Due: Wednesday, April 11, 11:00am

This assignment involves no programming. Your written answers must be given directly to the professor (or put under his office door, 1253 Olin). Typewritten solutions (e.g., using L^AT_EX or Framemaker) are strongly preferred. You may submit handwritten work only if it is clearly legible; *the graders have been instructed to mark as wrong any part they have trouble reading*. If you submit your solution late, be sure to mark it with the date and time that it was handed in.

1 Fixed Points (10%)

In class you saw the Y combinator for finding fixed points, which satisfies $YM \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M(YM)$ for any term M . There are in fact many terms other than Y which also compute fixed points. For example, the *Turing fixed-point combinator* is defined by

$$\Theta := (\lambda x. \lambda y. y(xy))(\lambda x. \lambda y. y(xy))$$

Prove that for any term M we have $\Theta M \rightarrow_{\beta}^* M(\Theta M)$.

2 Predecessor (30%)

Prove that the definition of predecessor that you saw in class is correct:

1. Show that $\ulcorner 0 \urcorner M_1 M_2 \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_2$ and that $\ulcorner n+1 \urcorner M_1 M_2 \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_1(\ulcorner n \urcorner M_1 M_2)$.
2. In class the predecessor function was defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pred} &= \lambda n. \mathbf{fst}(\mathbf{pred}' n) \\ \mathbf{pred}' &= \lambda m. m (\lambda p. \langle \mathbf{snd} p, \mathbf{succ}(\mathbf{snd} p) \rangle) \langle \ulcorner 0 \urcorner, \ulcorner 0 \urcorner \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Prove that $\forall m \geq 0. \mathbf{pred}' \ulcorner m+1 \urcorner \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \langle \ulcorner m \urcorner, \ulcorner m+1 \urcorner \rangle$.

3. Prove that $\forall m \geq 0. \mathbf{pred} \ulcorner m+1 \urcorner \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \ulcorner m \urcorner$.

3 Encodings (40%)

For this problem you will devise an encoding for lists within the untyped λ -calculus. Recall that a list is either empty or it has a head (first element) and a tail (a list containing the rest of the elements, possibly empty).

1. Find a lambda term **nil** to represent the empty list and a lambda term **cons** such that **cons** M N represents the non-empty list with head M and with tail N . Then a finite list $[M_1, \dots, M_n]$ would be represented as **cons** M_1 (**cons** M_2 (\dots (**cons** M_n **nil**) \dots)). Explain how to define the following functions for your encoding:
 - The function **isnil** that returns **tt** if given **nil** and returns **ff** if given a non-empty list.
 - The function **hd** that returns the head of a non-empty list.
 - The function **tl** that returns the tail of a non-empty list.

Verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{isnil\ nil} &\longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \mathbf{tt} \\ \mathbf{isnil\ (cons\ } M_1\ M_2) &\longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \mathbf{ff} \\ \mathbf{hd\ (cons\ } M_1\ M_2) &\longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_1 \\ \mathbf{tl\ (cons\ } M_1\ M_2) &\longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_2 \end{aligned}$$

2. Find a lambda term **length** such that **length** $M \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \ulcorner n \urcorner$ when M represents a finite list with n elements.
3. Find a lambda term **zeros** that represents an infinite list whose elements are all $\ulcorner 0 \urcorner$. Show that it satisfies **hd**(**tl**^(n) **zeros**) $\longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \ulcorner 0 \urcorner$ for every $n \geq 0$.

4 λ -Definability [20%]

The following problems are key steps in proving that λ -calculus can define any function on natural numbers that is computable by a Turing machine.

1. **Primitive recursion:** Let two λ -terms M_1 and M_2 be given. In terms of these, find a λ -term F such that $F \ulcorner 0 \urcorner \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* M_1$, and $F \ulcorner n \urcorner \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* (M_2 (F \ulcorner n-1 \urcorner) \ulcorner n \urcorner)$ for any $n \geq 1$.
(You should be able to do this in general without knowing what M_1 and M_2 are. As a special case, if $M_1 = \ulcorner 1 \urcorner$ and $M_2 = \mathbf{mult}$ then the resulting expression F would compute factorial.)
2. **Minimization:** Find a term **mu** in the λ -calculus with the following property:

If $M \ulcorner n \urcorner \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \ulcorner 0 \urcorner$ for at least one Church numeral $\ulcorner n \urcorner$, then **mu** M is convertible to the *least* Church numeral $\ulcorner m \urcorner$ such that $M \ulcorner m \urcorner \longleftrightarrow_{\beta}^* \ulcorner 0 \urcorner$.