

## Side-Effects: Exceptions

February 21, 2001  
CS 131: Programming Languages

## Two Syntactic Classes

- Many languages distinguish between *expressions* and *statements/commands*.
  - Expressions are evaluated to compute a value
  - Statements are executed to change machine state
    - E.g., assignments or I/O or control flow
- Sometimes an analogous distinction between *functions* and *procedures/subroutines*
  - Whether a value is returned, or not.
  - That is, whether a call is an expression or a statement.

## Side-effects

- If an expression does anything other than return a value, it is said to have a *side-effect*.
  - Assignment, I/O, raising exceptions, ...
- Some people argue effects should be avoided
  - Either just in expressions, or entirely
  - Why?
    - Easier to reason about program changes
    - More scope for compiler to optimize/parallelize
    - Smart compiler can do just as well

## Arguments for Side-Effects

- Sometimes simply more convenient
- Don't have to depend upon smart compiler to recognize simulated side-effects
- Example: Haskell compiler
  - Type inference speedup
  - Inliner convolutions

## Side-Effects in SML

- Sequencing
- `print`
- Exceptions
- Continuations
- References (assignment)

## Sequencing in SML

- As part of an *expression*, semicolon acts like the comma operator in C.
  - The expression `(expr1 ; expr2)` evaluates *expr*<sub>1</sub>, then throws away the result and evaluates *expr*<sub>2</sub>.

## Printing

- Canonical side-effecting function

```
print : string -> unit
```

- Can tell just by looking at its type that it probably has a side-effect
  - Returns no useful value

```
fun f () = (print "hello "; print "world\n")
```

## Exceptions Summarized

- Way to gracefully abort a computation
- Languages supporting exceptions normally have
  - Way to create exceptions
  - Way to raise/throw an exception
  - Way to handle/catch exceptions

## Exceptions in SML

- Way to create exceptions
  - An exception in SML is a value of type `exn`
  - This type is sometimes called an *extensible datatype*
    - Has constructors like an SML datatype
    - But unlike a normal datatype, we can add new cases whenever we want
    - New exceptions declared with `exception`

## Exceptions in SML

- Way to create exceptions
  - For example, after

```
exception Oops
exception Ouch of string
```

we have

```
Oops                : exn
(Ouch "Slipped disk") : exn
```

## Exceptions in SML

- Way to raise/throw an exception
  - In SML, the keyword is `raise`
  - For example,

```
raise Oops
```

or

```
raise (Ouch "Something broke")
```
  - What should the type of `raise` be?

```
raise : exn -> ???
```

## Exceptions in SML

- Way to handle/catch an exception
  - SML uses the `handle` keyword

```
<expr> handle <pattern1> => <handler1>
              | ...
              | <patternn> => <handlern>
```
  - Meaning:
    - Evaluate `<expr>`. If it returns a value ignore the handlers and return this value.
    - Otherwise, evaluate the first handler matching the exception that was raised
    - If no handler matches, the exception keeps going.

## Examples

```
print (Int.toString (compute 0))
  handle Div => print "Divide by zero"

print (Int.toString (compute 0))
  handle Div => print "Divide by zero"
  | Overflow => print "Overflow"

print (Int.toString (compute 0))
  handle Div => print "Divide by zero"
  | Ouch s => print s
```

## Examples

```
print (Int.toString (compute 0))
  handle _ => ()

print (Int.toString (compute 0))
  handle Div => print "Divide by zero"
  | _ => print "Caught exception"

print (Int.toString (compute 0))
  handle Div => print "Divide by zero"
  | e => (print "Saw an exception";
        raise e)
```

## A Fancy Example

- Choosing coins with a given sum
  - For example, assume you have 5-cent and 2-cent coins; how to make 8 cents?
- Problem: define the function

```
coins : int list * int -> int list
```

so that, for example,

```
coins ([5,2], 8)
```

yields

```
[2,2,2,2].
```

## A Fancy Example

- A greedy algorithm

```
exception Impossible
fun coins (_,0) = []
  | coins ([],_) = raise Impossible
  | coins (c::cs,n) =
    if (c <= n) then
      c :: (coins(c::cs,n-c))
    else
      coins(cs,n)
```

## A Fancy Example

- A greedy algorithm

```
exception Impossible
fun coins (_,0) = []
  | coins ([],_) = raise Impossible
  | coins (c::cs,n) =
    if (c <= n) then
      (c :: (coins(c::cs,n-c)))
    else
      coins(cs,n)
```

- Problem: this doesn't work for the input ([5,2],8).

## A Fancy Example

- A *backtracking* algorithm

```
exception Impossible
fun coins (_,0) = []
  | coins ([],_) = raise Impossible
  | coins (c::cs,n) =
    if (c <= n) then
      ((c :: (coins(c::cs,n-c)))
       handle Impossible => coins(cs,n))
    else
      coins(cs,n)
```

## Formal Semantics for Exceptions

- We consider the case where there is exactly one exception in the language.

```
e ::= ...
    | fail
    | catch e1 with e2
```

## Formal Semantics for Exceptions

- We consider the case where there is exactly one exception in the language.

```
e ::= ...
    | fail
    | catch e1 with e2
```

↑ Raise the exception

↑ Return value of  $e_1$  unless it fails, in which case evaluate  $e_2$

## Static Semantics

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fail} : t}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : t \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : t}{\Gamma \vdash \text{catch } e_1 \text{ with } e_2 : t}$$

## Dynamic Semantics

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e_1'}{\text{catch } e_1 \text{ with } e_2 \rightarrow \text{catch } e_1' \text{ with } e_2}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{catch } v \text{ with } e_2 \rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{catch fail with } e_2 \rightarrow e_2}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{fail} + e_2 \rightarrow \text{fail}} \quad \frac{}{v_1 + \text{fail} \rightarrow \text{fail}}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{if fail then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \rightarrow \text{fail}} \quad \text{etc.}$$

## Handling Division

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e_1'}{e_1 \text{ div } e_2 \rightarrow e_1' \text{ div } e_2}$$

$$\frac{e_2 \rightarrow e_2'}{v_1 \text{ div } e_2 \rightarrow v_1 \text{ div } e_2'}$$

$$\frac{n_2 \neq 0}{n_1 \text{ div } n_2 \rightarrow n_1 \div n_2}$$

$$\frac{}{n_1 \text{ div } 0 \rightarrow \text{fail}}$$

## Example Evaluation 1

- `catch (3 + (2 + (6 div (3 - 3)))) with (4 + 7)`
- `catch (3 + (2 + (6 div 0))) with (4 + 7)`
- `catch (3 + (2 + fail)) with (4 + 7)`
- `catch (3 + fail) with (4 + 7)`
- `catch fail with (4 + 7)`
- `(4 + 7)`
- `11`

## Example Evaluation 2

- `catch (3 + (2 + (6 div (3 - 1))))`  
`with (4 + 7)`
- `catch (3 + (2 + (6 div 2))) with (4 + 7)`
- `catch (3 + (2 + 3)) with (4 + 7)`
- `catch (3 + 5) with (4 + 7)`
- `catch 8 with (4 + 7)`
- `8`

## Proving Type Soundness

- Which, if any, are no longer true?
  - Inversion
    - if  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : t$  then  $t = \text{Int}$  and  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Int}$   
and  $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}$
  - Type Preservation
    - if  $\vdash e : t$  and  $e \rightarrow e'$  then  $\vdash e' : t$
  - Canonical Forms
    - if  $\vdash v : \text{Int}$  then  $v$  is an integer constant.
  - Progress
    - if  $\vdash e : t$  then either  $e$  is a value or else  
 $e \rightarrow e'$  for some  $e' : t$

## Proving Type Soundness

- The following variant of Progress can be proved:

If  $\vdash e : t$  then either  
 $e$  is a value  
or else  $e \rightarrow e'$  for some  $e'$   
or else  $e = \text{fail}$ .

- Hence if  $\vdash e : t$ , one of the following is true
  - $e \rightarrow^* v$  (normal termination)
  - $e \rightarrow^* \text{fail}$  (uncaught exception)
  - $e \rightarrow e' \rightarrow e'' \rightarrow e''' \rightarrow \dots$  (nontermination)

## Scoping of Handlers

- In some texts you will find exception handlers as being dynamically scoped.
  - Why?
  - What would statically scoped handlers look like?

## Problem

- Given SML expressions  $e1 : t$  and  $e2 : \text{unit}$ , give a piece of SML code (abbreviated `try e1 finally e2`) satisfying the following:
  - `try e1 finally e2` should have type  $t$ , the same type as  $e1$ .
  - This code should evaluate  $e1$  and then regardless of exceptions evaluate  $e2$ .
  - If  $e2$  raises an exception then the whole code should raise that exception; otherwise, after  $e2$  finishes the code should either return the result of  $e1$  or raise the exception raised by  $e1$ , as appropriate.
- Extra credit:
  - The expressions  $e1$  and  $e2$  could be large, and it is generally bad style to duplicate large pieces of source code; find a solution that mentions  $e1$  and  $e2$  exactly once each.