

## Adding Recursion

February 20, 2002  
CS 131: Programming Languages

## Recursion

- The following code won't work as input to eval:

```
let fact be
  (N) => ((N==0) ? 1 : N * fact(n - 1))
in
  fact(10)
end
```

## Recursive Function Values

- One fix (of many)
  - Let's just change the syntax for function values so that they can refer to themselves!
- Abstract syntax: `rec var(var) => exp`
  - Scope of both variables is just `exp`
- For example,  
`rec g(N) => (N==0 ? 1 : N*g(N-1))`
- Not a definition, still just a value!
  - A value that is allowed to refer to *itself* using the name `g`

## SML Comparison

- The SML equivalent to  
`rec g(N) => (N==0 ? 1 : N*g(N-1))`  
would be  

```
let
  fun g(N) = if N=0 then 1 else N * g(N-1)
in
  g
end
```
- Code outside is not allowed to refer to the name `g`

## Example Expressions

```
(rec f(x) => x+1) 3

(rec f(x) => f(x+1)) 3

let succ be (rec f(x) => x+1)
in let n be 4 in
  (succ n) + (succ (n+1))

let fib be
  rec g(x) => x == 0 ? 0 :
            x == 1 ? 1 :
            g(x-1) + g(x-2)
in
  fib(4)          (* not g(4) ! *)
```

## Formal Semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{exp}_1 \Downarrow ((\text{var}) \Rightarrow \text{exp}_3) \\ \text{exp}_2 \Downarrow \text{value}_2 \\ \text{exp}_3[\text{var} \leftarrow \text{value}_2] \Downarrow \text{value}_3 \end{array}}{\text{exp}_1 \text{ exp}_2 \Downarrow \text{value}_3}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{exp}_1 \Downarrow (\text{rec } \text{var}_1(\text{var}_2) \Rightarrow \text{exp}_3) \\ \text{exp}_2 \Downarrow \text{value}_2 \\ \text{exp}_3[\text{var}_2 \leftarrow \text{value}_2][\text{var}_1 \leftarrow \text{rec } \text{var}_1(\text{var}_2) \Rightarrow \text{exp}_3] \Downarrow \text{value}_3 \end{array}}{\text{exp}_1 \text{ exp}_2 \Downarrow \text{value}_3}$$

## Formal Semantics

- For simplicity, assume we start with the original substitution-based (eval) semantics
- Self-referential function values are still values and evaluate to themselves.
- Only interesting change is the application rule

## Example

- According to the semantics, to compute

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} (\text{rec } g(x) \Rightarrow x == 0 ? 0 : \\ \quad x == 1 ? 1 : \\ \quad \quad g(x-1) + g(x-2)) (3) \end{array}}{3 == 0 ? 0 : \\ 3 == 1 ? 1 : \\ ((\text{rec } g(x) \Rightarrow x == 0 ? 0 : \\ \quad x == 1 ? 1 : \\ \quad \quad g(x-1) + g(x-2)) (3-1)) (3-1) \\ + ((\text{rec } g(x) \Rightarrow x == 0 ? 0 : \\ \quad x == 1 ? 1 : \\ \quad \quad g(x-1) + g(x-2)) (3-2)) (3-2)}$$

is a value applied to a value, it suffices to compute

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} 3 == 0 ? 0 : \\ 3 == 1 ? 1 : \\ ((\text{rec } g(x) \Rightarrow x == 0 ? 0 : \\ \quad x == 1 ? 1 : \\ \quad \quad g(x-1) + g(x-2)) (3-1)) (3-1) \end{array}}{+ ((\text{rec } g(x) \Rightarrow x == 0 ? 0 : \\ \quad x == 1 ? 1 : \\ \quad \quad g(x-1) + g(x-2)) (3-2)) (3-2)}$$