

Introduction to Types

February 25, 2002
CS 131: Programming Languages

Static Semantic Rules

$\overline{n : \text{int}}$ $\overline{tt : \text{bool}}$ $\overline{ff : \text{bool}}$

$\frac{}{exp_1 + exp_2 :}$

$\frac{}{exp_1 == exp_2 :}$

$\frac{}{(exp_1 ? exp_2 : exp_3) :}$

A Simple Static Semantics

- We start with just two types

$type ::= \text{int} \mid \text{bool}$

- We will define a typing relation

$exp : type$

- A type system is frequently called the "static semantics" of the language.

Fill in the Proof Tree

$\frac{}{((3 > 4) ? 5 + 6 : 7 + 8) :}$

Pairs

$type ::= int \mid bool \mid type * type$

$$\frac{}{\langle exp_1, exp_2 \rangle :}$$
$$\frac{}{fst\ exp :}$$
$$\frac{}{snd\ exp :}$$

But...

- Some programs wouldn't get stuck but still don't typecheck

```
(ff ? tt : 4) + 1
```

- For any interesting language, a type system preventing all bad programs also rejects programs that would run without problems.
- Research topic: type systems that catch as many errors as possible, but don't reject useful programs

Languages with Variables

- Well-typedness is *context-sensitive*
 - What is the type of x ?
 - Is $x+3$ well-typed?

```
let x be 4 in x+3
```

```
let x be tt in x+3
```

- To determine if an expression is well-typed we need to know the types of the *free* variables

Conditional Judgments

- The typing judgments are now *conditional*
 - "If $x : int$ then $x+3 : int$ "
 - "If $x : bool$ then $(x ? 3 : 4) : int$ "
- Given assumptions about the types of variables, we can conclude code is well-typed

```
x:int ⊢ x+3 : int
```

```
x:bool ⊢ (x ? 3 : 4) : int
```

Typing Environments

- An environment is a lookup table for variables
 - A *type environment* associates variables with types
- Notation
 - We use $tenv$ to denote an arbitrary type environment.
 - Type environments can be written as a list
 - e.g., $x:int, y:bool, z:int$
 - The notation $tenv(x)$ gives the type of x
 - The notation $tenv, x:int$ is the extension of $tenv$ that maps x to int

More Interesting Rules

$$tenv \vdash var :$$

$$tenv \vdash \mathbf{let\ } var \mathbf{\ be\ } exp_1 \mathbf{\ in\ } exp_2 :$$

Updated Static Semantics

$$tenv \vdash n : \mathbf{int} \quad tenv \vdash tt : \mathbf{bool} \quad tenv \vdash ff : \mathbf{bool}$$

$$\frac{tenv \vdash exp_1 : \mathbf{int} \quad tenv \vdash exp_2 : \mathbf{int}}{tenv \vdash exp_1 + exp_2 : \mathbf{int}}$$

$$\frac{tenv \vdash exp_1 : \mathbf{int} \quad tenv \vdash exp_2 : \mathbf{int}}{tenv \vdash exp_1 == exp_2 : \mathbf{bool}}$$

$$\frac{tenv \vdash exp_1 : \mathbf{bool} \quad tenv \vdash exp_2 : \mathbf{type} \quad tenv \vdash exp_3 : \mathbf{type}}{tenv \vdash (exp_1 ? exp_2 : exp_3) : \mathbf{type}}$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{let\ } x \mathbf{\ be\ } 3 \mathbf{\ in} \\ \quad (\mathbf{let\ } y \mathbf{\ be\ } 4 \mathbf{\ in} \quad : \\ \quad \quad ((x+y)<6))$$

Functions

$type ::= \mathbf{int} \mid \mathbf{bool} \mid$
 $\mid type * type \mid type \rightarrow type$

$\Gamma \vdash exp_1 exp_2 :$

$\Gamma \vdash ((var) \Rightarrow exp) :$

$\Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{rec} var_1(var_2) \Rightarrow exp) :$

\vdash let f be (rec g(x) => x==0 ? 0 : x+g(x-1)) in f(f(4))	:
---	---

Are These Rules Right?