

Procedure Calls

March 25, 2002

CS 131: Programming Languages

Example 2

- What is the result of the following code?

```
fun f() = f()
fun zero _ = 0
val x = zero(f())
```

Example 1

- Consider the following SML definition:

```
fun optimizedMult (0,m) = 0
  | optimizedMult (1,m) = m
  | optimizedMult (2,m) = m+m
  | optimizedMult (n,m) = n*m
```

- How many additions happen in:

`optimizedMult(0,1+2) ?`

`optimizedMult(2,1+2) ?`

Evaluating Calls

- Recall from our simple functional language:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{exp}_1 \Downarrow ((\text{var}) \Rightarrow \text{exp}_3) \\ \text{exp}_2 \Downarrow \text{value}_2 \\ \text{exp}_3[\text{var} \leftarrow \text{value}_2] \Downarrow \text{value}_3 \end{array}}{\text{exp}_1 \text{ exp}_2 \Downarrow \text{value}_3}$$

Alternate Design

- Why bother evaluating an argument that might not be needed?

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{exp}_1 \Downarrow ((\text{var}) \Rightarrow \text{exp}_3) \\ \text{exp}_3[\text{var} \leftarrow \text{exp}_2] \Downarrow \text{value}_3 \end{array}}{\text{exp}_1 \text{ exp}_2 \Downarrow \text{value}_3}$$

Example 1

- Consider the following SML definition:

```
fun optimizedMult (0,m) = 0
  | optimizedMult (1,m) = m
  | optimizedMult (2,m) = m+m
  | optimizedMult (n,m) = n*m
```

- How many additions happen in:

optimizedMult(0,1+2) ?

optimizedMult(2,1+2) ?

3 Alternatives for Parameters

(more to come)

- Call-by-Value
- Call-by-Name
- Call-by-Need (Lazy)

ALGOL 60 was Call-by-Name

- Evaluate function calls by (capture-avoiding!) substituting in arguments: "copy rule"

```
real procedure double(n);
  integer n;
begin
  integer i;
  i := 7;
  id := n+n;
end id;
...
i := 2;
m := double(3);
n := double(A[i+i]);
```

Jensen's Device

```
real procedure sum(expr,i,low,high);
  real expr;
  integer i, low, high;
begin
  real rtn;
  rtn := 0;
  for i := low step 1 until high do
    rtn := rtn + expr;
  sum := rtn;
end sum

...
y := sum(x*x,x,1,10)
w := sum(sum(B[j,k],j,1,20),k,1,20)
```

Evaluation in ALGOL 60

- The following code looks ok.

```
procedure swap(a,b);
  real a, b;
begin
  real temp;
  temp := a;
  a := b;
  b := temp;
end swap;

...
swap(n,m);
swap(A[1],A[2]);
```

Evaluation in ALGOL 60

- But the code doesn't work for all inputs
 - One *cannot* write swap to work for all inputs!
- What's the problem?
- Common (but not universal) conclusion:
 - Having call-by-name in languages with assignment and other side-effects is too confusing.
 - Also carries implementation overhead.

Evaluation in Haskell

- The Haskell language is very like SML, but delays evaluating arguments.
 - No side-effects
 - Slightly different concrete syntax: compare with Example 2.

```
loop() = loop()
f _ = 3
x = f (loop())
```

Call by name
or
call by need?

Other Alternatives (for L-value arguments)

- Call-by-reference
- Call-by-value/result

Lazy Languages

- Haskell is a *lazy* functional language: no expression is evaluated until its value is really needed
 - Some very bright people *define* functional to imply pure and call-by-name/need
 - Has some nice advantages:
 - Definitions of infinite data structures
 - Programs really do behave mathematically

```
nats = 0 : map (\x -> x+1) nats
```

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{exp}) + f(\text{exp}) &\equiv 2 * f(\text{exp}) \\ 0 * f(\text{exp}) &\equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

Call-By-Reference

- Used by FORTRAN, optional in Pascal and C++
 - *Implicitly* passing pointers to the arguments
 - Formal parameters are aliases of the actual parameters.

```
procedure p(a : integer, var b : integer);
begin
  writeln (a, b); { prints variables }
  a := 34;
  b := 34;
end;
```

```
n := 17; m := 18; { Initial values }
p(n,m);
p(n,m);
```