

## Low-Level Functional Programming

### What's "Low-Level" About?

- "low-level" refers to the construction of functions by explicitly composing and decomposing lists.
- Previously we used higher-order functions to do most of the non-trivial work in a functional decomposition.
- Now we are going to use pattern matching rules, recursion, etc.

### Fundamental List Dichotomy

- A list is either:
  - empty, `[]` or
  - non-empty, in which case it has both a
    - first
    - rest
- Most list definitions deal with these cases separately.
- Definitions are typically a form of inductive definition, in which `[]` is the basis.

### List Decomposition Notation

- When a list is non-empty, it has a first element and the rest of the elements form a list.
- The general *form* of a non-empty list will be represented:

$$[ F | R ]$$

Here F is a variable represents the first element, and R is a variable representing the rest of the elements

(Note: R has a list as its value, even though brackets aren't shown around R).

### List Decomposition Example

- Consider a defining equation:  
 $[ F | R ] = [ 1, 2, 3, 4 ]$   
F is a variable represents the first element, so:  
 $F == 1$   
R is a variable representing the rest of the elements, so:  
 $R == [ 2, 3, 4 ]$

### List Decomposition Clarified

- A defining equation:  
 $[ F | R ] = \textit{some list}$   
can only be valid when the RHS list is non-empty.  
  
Thus  
 $[ F | R ] = [ ]$  can *never* be a valid equation.

## Defining Functions by Rules

- Suppose we want to define a function taking an arbitrary list as an argument.
- It is sufficient to:
  - define the function on the empty list, and
  - define the function on a general non-empty list.

← called the "basis"

← called the "induction step" or "recursion"

## Example

- Define the function `halve_all`, which divides every element in a list by 2.
  - `halve_all([ ]) => [ ]`;
  - `halve_all([F | R]) => [F/2 | halve_all(R)]`;
- This can be read:
  - "halving all of the empty list is the empty list."
  - "halving all of a non-empty list is half of the first element *followed by* halving all of the rest."
- This is our first example of a recursive definition.

## Computation by "Rewriting"

- `halve_all([2, 4, 6]) =>`
- `[1 | halve_all([4, 6])] =>`
- `[1 | [2 | halve_all([6])] ] =>`
- `[1 | [2 | [3 | halve_all([ ]) ] ] ] =>`
- `[1 | [2 | [3 | [ ] ] ] ] ==`
- `[1 | [2 | [3] ] ] ==`
- `[1 | [2, 3] ] ==`
- `[1, 2, 3]`

## Extended Notation for Greater Readability

- The first so-many, rather than just the first, element, can be shown separated by commas:
  - `[a, b, c, d | R]` means a list with at least 4 elements, a, b, c, d, followed by the elements in list R (which could be empty).
- In the extended notation:
  - `halve_all([2, 4, 6]) =>`
  - `[1 | halve_all([4, 6])] =>`
  - `[1, 2 | halve_all([6])] =>`
  - `[1, 2, 3 | halve_all([ ]) ] =>`
  - `[1, 2, 3]`

## A Way of Remembering

- The combination  
`[ [ ... ]`  
inside a list "melts away" into  
`, ...`  
unless ... is empty, then it just melts away
- Examples:
  - `[1 | [2, 3, 4] ] == [1, 2, 3, 4]`
  - `[1, 2 | [3, 4] ] == [1, 2, 3, 4]`
  - `[1, 2, 3 | [4] ] == [1, 2, 3, 4]`
  - `[1, 2, 3, 4 | [ ] ] == [1, 2, 3, 4]`

## Alternate

- Of course, we could have just used *map* in this particular case:
  - `halve(A) = A/2`;
  - `halve_all(X) = map(halve, X)`;
- Use higher order functions such as *map* when possible; resort to lower-order ones when you think you need to.
- Higher-order functions can often tell the story more succinctly.

## Example

- Define the function member which tests whether the first argument is an element of the list in the second argument.

- `member(X, [ ]) => 0;`
- `member(X, [F | R]) =>`  
`(X == F) ? 1 : member(X, R);`  
conditional expression (as in C++, Java)

## Alternate

- Instead of using a conditional expression, use a third rule with pattern matching:

- `member(X, [ ]) => 0;`
- `member(X, [X | R]) => 1;`
- `member(X, [F | R]) => member(X, R);`

Note: X's must match

- The rule used is always the first (from top to bottom) applicable one.

## Rule Matching

- Consider evaluating
  - `member(3, [1, 2, 3, 4])` → rule 3 is the first to apply
  - `member(3, [2, 3, 4])` → rule 3 is the first to apply
  - `member(3, [3, 4])` → rule 2 is the first to apply
- 1

```
member(X, [ ]) => 0; // rule 1
member(X, [X | R]) => 1; // rule 2
member(X, [F | R]) => member(X, R); // rule 3
```

## Rule Matching

- Consider evaluating
  - `member(5, [1, 2, 3])` → rule 3 is the first to apply
  - `member(5, [2, 3])` → rule 3 is the first to apply
  - `member(5, [3])` → rule 3 is the first to apply
  - `member(5, [ ])` → rule 1 is the first to apply
- 0

## Second Alternate (less desirable)

- Use a conditional guard:
  - `member(X, [ ]) => 0;`
  - `member(X, [F | R]) =>`  
`(X == F) ? 1;`  
conditional guard
  - `member(X, [F | R]) => member(X, R);`
- The condition is tested after any other matching is applied.
- If the condition fails, then subsequent rules are tried.

## Matching with Two or More List Arguments

- Some functions have more than one list argument.
- Induction might, or might not, use rules that dichotomize both lists.

### Example: List Equality First Rule

- Two lists are equal if they both are empty:  
 $\text{equals}([], []) \Rightarrow 1;$

### List Equality: Second Rule

- Two lists are equal if they are both non-empty and
  - the first elements of each are the same, and
  - the lists of the rest of the elements of each are equal.

$\text{equals}([A | L], [A | M]) \Rightarrow \text{equals}(L, M);$

### List Equality: Third Rule

- Otherwise, the two lists are not equal:  
 $\text{equals}(X, Y) \Rightarrow 0;$

### Summary of Equality Rules

- 1  $\text{equals}([], []) \Rightarrow 1;$
- 2  $\text{equals}([A | L], [A | M]) \Rightarrow \text{equals}(L, M);$
- 3  $\text{equals}(X, Y) \Rightarrow 0;$

### Example of List Equality

- Revisit our earlier example:
  - Are these lists equal:  
 $[1, 2, 3]$  vs.  $[1, 2]$  ?
- Try the rules:
  - $\text{equals}([1, 2, 3], [1, 2]) \Rightarrow$  (rule 2)
  - $\text{equals}([2, 3], [2]) \Rightarrow$  (rule 2)
  - $\text{equals}([3], []) \Rightarrow$  (rule 3)
  - 0
- i.e. the lists are not equal.

### Mixed Functional Programming Examples

- Use low-level or high-level, whatever fits best
  - Maybe start with low-level, and then use high-level retrospectively
- Radix conversion
  - Tail recursion
- Tree searching

## Convert Number to Binary

- Example:
  - `toBinary(37) ⇔ [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1]`  
 $32 + 0*16 + 0*8 + 4 + 0*2 + 1$
- First try:
  - divide by 2, record remainder, continue with quotient
  - until 0

## Convert Number to Binary

- Rules:
  - `toBinary1(0) => [ ];`
  - `toBinary1(N) => [N%2 | toBinary1(N/2)];`  

remainder
quotient
- Problems with this definition?

## Convert Number to Binary

- Another try:
  - `toBinary(N) = toBinary2(N, [ ]);`
  - `toBinary2(0, Acc) => Acc;`
  - `toBinary2(N, Acc) =>`  
`toBinary2(N/2, [N%2 | Acc]);`
- Why is this definition better?

## Accumulators and Tail Recursion

- From previous slide:
  - `toBinary2(0, Acc) => Acc;`
  - `toBinary2(N, Acc) =>`  
`toBinary2(N/2, [N%2 | Acc]);`
- Acc is called an "accumulator" argument:
  - It "accumulates" the result until the basis case is reached, the "unloads" it.
- This type of recursion is called "tail recursion":
  - There is no "cleanup" to be done after the recursive call to `toBinary2`, and therefore no need to "stack" calls.
  - We can effectively "turn over control" to the subordinate call, giving a form of iteration.

## Accumulators and Tail Recursion

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| ● <code>toBinary2(37, [ ])</code> ⇨               | ● <code>toBinary1(37)</code> ⇨                     |
| ● <code>toBinary2(18, [1])</code> ⇨               | ● <code>[1   toBinary1(18)]</code> ⇨               |
| ● <code>toBinary2(9, [0, 1])</code> ⇨             | ● <code>[1, 0   toBinary1(9)]</code> ⇨             |
| ● <code>toBinary2(4, [1, 0, 1])</code> ⇨          | ● <code>[1, 0, 1   toBinary1(4)]</code> ⇨          |
| ● <code>toBinary2(2, [0, 1, 0, 1])</code> ⇨       | ● <code>[1, 0, 1, 0   toBinary1(2)]</code> ⇨       |
| ● <code>toBinary2(1, [0, 0, 1, 0, 1])</code> ⇨    | ● <code>[1, 0, 1, 0, 0   toBinary1(1)]</code> ⇨    |
| ● <code>toBinary2(0, [1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1])</code> ⇨ | ● <code>[1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1   toBinary1(0)]</code> ⇨ |
| ● <code>[1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1]</code>                 | ● <code>[1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1   [ ]]</code> ⇨          |
|   | ● <code>[1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1]</code>                  |

## Notes:

- Can similarly convert to any given base.
- Can pass the base as an argument.
- Can convert back (from numeral list to number).

## Exercise

- Construct fromBinary, e.g.
  - fromBinary([1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1]) ⇔ 37
- Considerations:
  - Do we need an accumulator?
  - Can it be done with tail-recursion?
  - Try it and see.

## An Approach

- Write iterative pseudo-code, then construct recursive equivalent.
- L = ... list to be converted ...
- Result = 0;
- while(L != [ ])
- {
- Result = 2\*Result + first(L);
- L = rest(L);
- }
- ... answer is in Result ...
- Defining fromBinary3(L, Result):
- fromBinary3([], Result) => Result;
- fromBinary3([F | R], Result) => fromBinary3(R, 2\*Result+F);
- fromBinary(L) = fromBinary3(L, 0);
- fromBinary3([1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1], 0) →
- fromBinary3([0, 0, 1, 0, 1], 1) →
- fromBinary3([0, 1, 0, 1], 2) →
- fromBinary3([1, 0, 1], 9) →
- fromBinary3([0, 1], 9) →
- fromBinary3([1], 18) →
- fromBinary3([], 37) →
- 37

## Exercise

- What if the list were least-significant bit first?
  - Can you do construct the function?
  - Can you construct a tail-recursive implementation?

## Exercises

- Compare "obvious" and tail-recursive forms of:
  - factorial function ( $\text{fac}(n) = 1*2*3*...*n$ )
  - length function
  - sum of a list
  - reduce
  - reverse

## Essential Non-Tail Recursions

- Some functions don't admit a tail-recursive version (unless *reverse* is used before or after):
  - Examples:
    - map, keep, drop
    - append

## appendctomy

- When maximum efficiency is desired, uses of append should be avoided.
- It is often possible to get rid of append by defining versions of functions with an extra accumulator argument.
- Example:

```
nodes(Graph) =  
  remove_duplicates(append(map(first, Graph),  
                             map(second, Graph)));
```
- Show how to avoid append by generalizing map to take an accumulator.