



# Primitive and Partial Recursive Functions

Robert M. Keller  
Harvey Mudd College  
10 November 2003

## What is this?

- An alternate approach to defining the computable functions, one based on composition of numeric functions.
- Sometimes having this alternate viewpoint will be helpful.
- The family of primitive recursive functions is first defined, then partial recursive functions are built on that.

## Primitive Recursive Functions

- The set of primitive recursive functions is given inductively.
- Every function is  $k$ -ary, for some  $k \geq 0$ .
- The domain and co-domain of each function is the set of natural numbers  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  or  $k$ -tuples thereof.

## Basis Functions (1 of 3)

- The **zero** functions are all primitive recursive:

$$Z^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = 0$$

for each arity  $k \geq 0$ .

## Basis Functions (2 of 3)

- The **projection** functions are all primitive recursive:

$$P_j^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = x_j$$

for each arity  $k \geq 0$  and each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ .

## Basis Functions (3 of 3)

- The **successor** function is primitive recursive:

$$S(x) = x + 1$$

## Induction Rules (1 of 2)

- The **composition** of primitive recursive functions is primitive recursive:

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f(g_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), \\ &g_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), \\ &\dots \\ &g_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)) \end{aligned}$$

for each pair of arities  $k, r \geq 0$ .

## Constant Functions

- A consequence of the rules up to this point is that **constant** functions are all primitive recursive:

$$C_c^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = c$$

for each natural number  $c$ .

This is so because is just a composition of the zero and successor functions:

$$C_c^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = S(\dots S(\text{zero}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)) \dots)$$

## Explicit Definition (ED)

- This is a convenience in lieu of showing stacks of compositions, projections, and constants, we can just use definitions such as:

$$f(x, y, z) = g(h(y, x), 5, k(z, z))$$

and know that if  $g, h$ , and  $k$  are primitive recursive, so is  $f$ .

## Induction Rules (2 of 2)

- A function defined from primitive recursive functions by the following **primitive recursion pattern** is primitive recursive :

$$h(0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

$$h(n+1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) =$$

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, n, h(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k))$$

- Here  $h$  is being defined from  $g$  and  $h$ , which are known to be primitive recursive.

## Examples of Primitive Recursive Functions

- $\text{add}(x, y)$ : addition
- $\text{mult}(x, y)$ : multiplication
- $\text{pred}(x)$ : predecessor
- $\text{sub}(x, y)$ : proper subtraction
- $\text{mod}(x, y)$ : modulus
- $\text{div}(x, y)$ : integer division (quotient)
- $\text{sqrt}(x)$ : integer square root

## rex implementations

- I will demonstrate some of these using rex rules. This allows the definitions to be tested readily.
- rex does not enforce the primitive recursive formalism, so we have to be careful not to "cheat".

## add implementation

- $S(n) = n + 1$ ; // pretend this is built in
- $\text{add}(0, y) \Rightarrow y$ ;
- $\text{add}(n+1, y) \Rightarrow S(\text{add}(n, y))$ ;
- For reference  
 $h(0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$   
 $h(n+1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) =$   
 $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, n, h(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k))$

## mult implementation

- $\text{mult}(0, y) \Rightarrow 0$ ;
- $\text{mult}(n+1, y) \Rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mult}(n, y))$ ;
- For reference  
 $h(0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$   
 $h(n+1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) =$   
 $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, n, h(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k))$

## pred implementation

- $\text{pred}(0, y) \Rightarrow$
- $\text{pred}(n+1, y) \Rightarrow$
- For reference  
 $h(0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$   
 $h(n+1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) =$   
 $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, n, h(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k))$

## sub implementation

- sub is **proper** subtraction (aka "monus"):  
If  $a < b$ , then  $\text{sub}(a, b) = 0$ .
- $\text{sub}(y, 0) \Rightarrow$
- $\text{sub}(y, n+1) \Rightarrow$
- For reference  
 $h(0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$   
 $h(n+1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) =$   
 $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, n, h(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k))$

## Primitive Recursive Predicates

- For some definitions we want to have predicates, which we can equate to functions return only values 0 (false) and 1 true.
- $\text{sgn}(0) \Rightarrow 0$ ;
- $\text{sgn}(n+1) \Rightarrow 1$ ;
- $\text{sgn}$  converts arbitrary values to  $\{0, 1\}$ .

## Negation

- $\text{not}(0) \Rightarrow 1$ ;
- $\text{not}(n+1) \Rightarrow 0$ ;

## Equality Predicate

- `eq(x, y) = not(add(sub(x, y), sub(y, x)));`

## if-then-else function

- `ifthenelse(0, x, y) => y;`
- `ifthenelse(n+1, x, y) => x;`
- This can be inefficient if all arguments must be computed to get a result (applicative order). It should be taken as an "academic" version, perhaps.

## mod and div

- `mod(0, y) => 0;`
- `mod(n+1, y) => ifthenelse(eq(S(mod(n, y)), y),  
0,  
S(mod(n, y)));`
- `div(0, y) => 0;`
- `div(n+1, y) => ifthenelse(eq(S(mod(n, y)), y),  
S(div(n, y)),  
div(n, y));`

## Perspective

- Primitive recursive functions are functions that can be defined using only **definite iteration** (e.g. for-loop with upper bound pre-determined) and not requiring indefinite iteration (while-loops) or the full power of recursion.
- Primitive recursion as given is not a special case of tail recursion, although there is an equivalent version that is.

## Primitive Recursion = Definite Iteration

- The function  $h$  defined in the primitive recursion scheme can be computed by the following for-loop:

```
acc = g(x1, x2, ... xk);  
for( j = 0; j < n; j++ )  
    acc = f(x1, x2, ... xk, j, acc);  
  
// acc == h(n, x1, x2, ... xk)
```

## Tail-Recursive Version

- The function  $h(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  can be computed as  $t(n, g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k))$  where  $t$  is defined in the following tail-recursion:

```
t(0, acc) = acc;  
  
t(n+1, acc) = f(x1, x2, ... xk, n, acc);
```

## Example: Factorial

- Primitive-recursive version (uses the primitive-recursion pattern):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fac}(0) &= 1 \\ \text{fac}(n+1) &= \text{mult}(n+1, \text{fac}(n)) \end{aligned}$$

- Tail-recursive version (doesn't use the pattern, but equivalent):

$$\text{fac\_tr}(n) = t(n, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} t(0, \text{acc}) &= \text{acc} \\ t(n+1, \text{acc}) &= t(n, \text{mult}(n+1, \text{acc})) \end{aligned}$$

## Tail-Recursion Theorem

- If  $h$  is defined from  $f$  and  $g$  using primitive recursion, then  $h$  can also be defined from  $f$  and  $g$  using tail recursion.

- The conversion is given on preceding slides.

- Claim:  $(\forall n) t(n, \text{acc}) = h(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  provided  $\text{acc}$  is initialized with  $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ .

- Proof is by induction on  $n$ .

Show that both

$$\begin{aligned} t(n, \text{acc}) &= f(X, n-1, f(X, n-2, \dots, f(X, 0, g(X))\dots)) \\ h(n, X) &= f(X, n-1, f(X, n-2, \dots, f(X, 0, g(X))\dots)) \end{aligned}$$

## Totality Theorem

- Every primitive recursive function is total.
- Two levels of induction are involved:
  - For each use of the primitive-recursion pattern, there is an induction to show that  $h$  is defined for all  $n$ , assuming that  $f$  and  $g$  are total.
  - There is induction on the number of uses of the induction rules in defining the top level function.

## Computability Theorem

- Every primitive-recursive function is computable by a Turing machine.
- This is more-or-less obvious, but it can be shown in significant detail by showing how a Turing machine can be constructed by composing functions using the basis functions and induction rules.
- Please consult the text for details.

## Diagonalization Theorem

- There is a total recursive function that is not primitive recursive.
- Proof: Using the computability theorem, we know that we can enumerate the primitive recursive functions of one argument:

$$p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots$$

They are just a subsequence of the ones computed by Turing machines in the ordering of all Turing machines.

Then define  $q(x) = p_x(x) + 1$ . This function is clearly total, since each  $p_x$  is, but  $q$  cannot appear in the list.

## The Ackermann Hierarchy

- We notice that add and mult have similar definitions.
  - add uses S as a base
  - mult uses add as a base
- We can go on to define exp analogously, using mult as a base.
- When does this stop?
- We quickly reach functions that have very large values for small arguments.
- It is possible to diagonalize over this hierarchy.

## The Ackermann Hierarchy

- $A_0(m) = S(m)$
- $A_{n+1}(0) = A_n(1)$
- $A_{n+1}(m+1) = A_n(A_{n+1}(m))$
- Each function in the list:  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots$  is clearly primitive-recursive.
- Define  $A(n, m) = A_n(m)$ .
- It can be proved that  $A$  **grows faster** than any single primitive-recursive function, hence is not primitive-recursive itself.

## $\lambda$ -Recursive Functions

- This is a family of partial functions, also known as “**the** partial recursive functions”.
- We have used that term to describe the partial computable functions, and the definitions turn out to be equivalent.

## $\lambda$ -Recursive Functions

- Start with the primitive-recursive functions as a base.
- Add one more induction rule: If  $f$  is a  $k+1$  ary  $\lambda$ -recursive function,  $h$  is a  $k+1$  ary one:

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}) =$$

$$\lambda x_k [f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = 0]$$

read “the least value of  $x_k$  such that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = 0$ .”

## $\lambda$ -Recursive Functions

- The definition of a function using the operator really only makes sense if the function  $f$  is **total**.
- Totality cannot be established syntactically (why?).
- We will adopt the convention that the values of for which  $f$  is computed are given sequentially, and that if  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  is divergent for any value before reaching a value  $x_k$  such that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = 0$ , then  $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1})$  also diverges for the given arguments.

## Computability Theorem for $\lambda$ -Recursive Functions

- We can extend to the partial recursive functions the proof that the primitive recursive functions are Turing computable.
- Consult the text for details.

## Converse of the Computability Theorem

- Every Turing computable partial function is computable by a  $\lambda$ -recursive partial function.
- Moreover, the  $\lambda$  operator needs to be used only **once** to achieve any partial-recursive function.

## Importance of the Computability Thorem and its Converse

- Turing-computable partial functions and  $\lambda$ -recursive partial functions are established as being the same thing.
- One was defined using **strings**, the other using **numbers**.

## Establishing the Converse

- The converse shows that any Turing-computable partial function is a  $\lambda$ -recursive partial function.
- To do this involves **encoding** TM tapes and configurations as numbers.
- Then it can be shown that there are primitive recursive functions that:
  - Simulate a single step of a Turing machine.
  - Tell whether an encoded configuration is halting.

## Primitive Recursive TM equivalents

- $R(x)$  is the configuration resulting after 1 step from  $x$ .
- $T(i, x)$  is the configuration resulting from configuration  $x$  after  $i$  steps.
- $P(x)$  indicates whether or not a configuration is halting (0 or 1).

## Recursive TM equivalents

- Halting in  $i$  steps is expressed by:

$$\exists i [P(T(i, x_0)) = 0]$$

- The halting configuration is:

$$T(\exists i [P(T(i, x_0)) = 0], x_0)$$

## Encodings

- Using **primitive** recursive functions to encode and decode tapes and configurations requires a lengthy, but interesting, excursion.
- One way (but not the only way) to encode arbitrary sequences of numbers is to use "Gödel numbering":  
Any sequence of natural numbers  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  can be encoded as a **single** natural number:  
$$p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \dots p_k^{x_k}$$

## Universal $\lambda$ -Recursive Functions

- Most results for Turing machines have parallels for the  $\lambda$ -Recursive Functions
- The  $\lambda$ -recursive functions are programs that can be coded and enumerated just like Turing machines can:  
$$\lambda_{0r}^k, \lambda_{1r}^k, \lambda_{2r}^k, \lambda_{3r}^k, \dots$$
are the  $k$ -ary  $\lambda$ -recursive functions for any fixed  $k$ .

## Kleene's Normal Form Theorem

- For each  $k \geq 1$ , there exists a 1-ary primitive recursive function  $U$  and a  $(k+2)$ -ary primitive recursive predicate  $T_k$  such that
  - $\exists z (T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, z)) \iff (\exists z) T(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, z)$
  - $\exists z (T_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, z)) = U(\exists z (T(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, z) = 0))$
- Essentially,  $T$  is like the function that tells whether the  $n^{\text{th}}$  configuration of a TM computation is halting, while  $U$  gives the result from that halting configuration.
- The numbers  $z$  code both the program **and** the number of steps.

## Universal $\lambda$ -Recursive Functions

- For each  $k$ , there is a  $\lambda$ -recursive function  $\lambda$  of  $k+1$  variables such that

$$\lambda(n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \lambda_n^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

- $\lambda$  is a universal function for  $k$  arguments.

## Recursive and R.E. Sets

- Languages are now sets of numbers.
- A set is recursive if its characteristic function is total recursive.
- A set is recursively-enumerable if there is a total recursive function that enumerates it.
- Equivalently, a set is recursively-enumerable if it is the domain of some partial recursive function.

## Halting and Divergence

- $\lambda(x)\downarrow$  is used to mean that  $\lambda$  has a value for argument  $x$ .
- $\lambda(x)\uparrow$  is used to mean that  $\lambda$  diverges on argument  $x$ .
- The set  $\{j \mid \lambda_j(j)\downarrow\}$  is not recursively-enumerable: this is the **halting problem**.
- The set  $\{j \mid \lambda_j(j)\uparrow\}$  is recursively-enumerable, but not recursive.
- The set  $\{j \mid \lambda_j(0)\uparrow\}$  is not R.E. either, analogous to the blank-tape halting problem.

Problem: Exhibit a total function that is not computable.

- Define

$$f(j) = \begin{cases} \lambda_j(j) + 1 & \text{if } \lambda_j(j)\downarrow \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$f$  is evidently total.  $f$  is not computable, since if it were, say  $\lambda_k$ , then  $f(k)$  would give a contradiction.

## Hilbert's Tenth Problem

- This is an unsolvable problem of practical interest.
  - Give an algorithm that will determine whether a multi-variate polynomial equation has an **integer** solution.
  - Example of an equation:  $x^2 + 3y^2 + 13 = 0$
- The problem of whether this is possible was posed by Hilbert in 1900.
- This problem was not proved unsolvable until 1970, when it was established that **every** recursively-enumerable set of  $k$ -tuples is the set of solutions of some such equation.