

Equality and Copying

Context

- Although much of our discussion will use the `OpenList` class as an example, the concepts extend to a wide variety of other classes.

Equality

- Suppose we want to test two `OpenList`s for equality.
- From earlier discussions we could use the following rules, if in rex:
 - `equals([], []) => 1;`
 - `equals([], _) => 0;`
 - `equals(_, []) => 0;`
 - `equals([A | L], [B | M]) => A == B && equals(L, M);`

Transcription to Java

- We could easily transcribe those rules using recursion.
- So let's do it with iteration instead.

Iterative `OpenList` equals in Java

```
public static boolean equals(OpenList L1, OpenList L2)
{
    while( L1.nonEmpty() && L2.nonEmpty() )
    {
        if( !(L1.first().equals(L2.first())) )
        {
            return false;
        }

        L1 = L1.rest();
        L2 = L2.rest();
    }
    return L1.isEmpty() && L2.isEmpty();
}
```

Comments

- Our version of `equals` assumes that elements are to be compared using `.equals`, not `==`.
- Does it matter? YES!
 - `==` compares references only
 - `.equals` compares content
 - equal reference *implies* equal content, but NOT conversely

Defining instance .equals

- In order to properly over-ride the .equals that is found in the base class Object, we need the following form:
 equals(Object Ob)
- rather than the form
 equals(OpenList L)
- Otherwise, when comparing Objects that happen to be OpenLists, the wrong equals will get used, and we will end up with ==, which is not what we want.

Nomenclature

- == can be said to provide a "shallow" test for equality.
- The intent of .equals is to provide a "deep" test for equality.
- What actually happens is up to the programmer or library designer.
- More on this later.

Copying OpenLists: Related to Equality

- Is there ever really a need to copy an OpenList for sake of just having a copy?

OpenList elements

- Even if OpenList *structure* is immutable,
- OpenList *elements* can be any Object, immutable or mutable.
- We can't stop this, and sometimes it might be useful.

Choices in copying

- Suppose we want to copy an OpenList that contains some mutable Objects.
- There may be preferences involved:
 - We want to make new copies of the mutable objects.
- vs.
 - We want to keep the mutable objects the same in both the original and the copy.

Cloning

- A reasonable convention is that if a Class *wants* objects to be copiable, it provides a clone() method do so, and conversely.
- The class of things being copied should implement the **Cloneable** interface.

Recall the Interface Idea

- **Obligations:**
 - A class implementing the Cloneable interface must:
 - Declare that it is implementing the interface.
 - Provide a clone() method.
- **Privileges:**
 - Objects in a class implementing the Cloneable interface can be passed to any method declaring the argument type as Cloneable.

OpenLists of Cloneable's

- Assume for the moment that we are only going to construct OpenLists out of Cloneable objects.
- Then we can make a clone of an OpenList itself as follows:

Cloning an OpenList: 1st approx.

```
public Object clone()
{
    if( isEmpty() )
    {
        return nil;
    }
    return cons(first().clone(), (OpenList)rest().clone());
}
```

- Notes:
 - Object rather than OpenList is the **required** return type for clone()
 - Object is not publicly cloneable, so first().clone() is **illegal**.

Fixing the Illegality

- Regarding the first() of a list, we'd like to:
 - Return a clone if the Object is cloneable.
 - Return the next best thing if not:
 - i.e. return the object itself.
- This will help make our definition work.
- We still need to be careful when using it.

This Captures the Idea

```
public Object clone()
{
    if( isEmpty() )
    {
        return nil;
    }
    return cons(maybeClone(first()),
                (OpenList)rest().clone());
}
```

Java Difficulty

- Java provides a way to test if something is cloneable:
 - Ob instanceof Cloneable
- Unfortunately, Java provides **no** nice way to **cast** an object known to be Cloneable such the clone() method can be applied. The target of a cast is a class, not an interface.

What We'd Like, But Can't Do

```
Object maybeClone (Object Ob)
{
    if( Ob instanceof Cloneable )
        return ((Cloneable)Ob).clone();
    else
        return Ob;
}
```

A Way I Devised: using java.lang.reflect magic

```
class Copier
{
    static Class[] noArgs = new Class[0];
    static Object[] args = new Object[0];

    static Object maybeClone (Object ob)
    {
        if( ob instanceof Cloneable )
        {
            try
            {
                // If Cloneable, clone it.
                return ob.getClass().getMethod("clone", noArgs).invoke(ob, args);
            }
            catch( Exception e)
            {
            }
        }
        return ob; // Otherwise just return the argument.
    }
}
```

Possible Approaches to Copying

- **clone()** method: returns copy of **this**
- **copy constructor**: constructs a copy from original
- **static copy method**: copies argument
- Only the first of these really extends robustly across the Java language. The others can be used on an ad hoc basis.

Copy constructor

- **Add constructor**

```
MyClass(MyClass orig)
{
    ...
}
```
- **Use constructor**

```
MyClass newObj = new MyClass(orig);
```

static copy method

- **Add method**

```
static MyClass copy(MyClass orig)
{
    ...
}
```
- **Use method**

```
MyClass newObj = MyClass.copy(orig);
```

Deep vs. Shallow Copying

- Think of object as a tree
 - The object is the root
 - The components are the children.
- **Deep copy**: Copy all the way down to the leaves.
- **Shallow copy**: Copy references to components only.
- A spectrum of copies lies in between deep and shallow.

Shallow Copy

- ```
class MyClass
{
 OpenList List1;
 OpenList List2;

 MyClass(MyClass orig)
 {
 List1 = orig.List1;
 List2 = orig.List2;
 }
}
```
- This copy **shares** the lists with the original.

## Deep Copy

- ```
class MyClass
{
  OpenList List1;
  OpenList List2;

  MyClass(MyClass orig)
  {
    List1 = orig.List1.clone();
    List2 = orig.List2.clone();
  }
}
```
- This copy **has copies** of the original lists, *provided* that clone() makes such copies.

Equality Revisited

- Defining equals() is at programmer's discretion
- By analogy with copying:
 - Equality checking can be deep, shallow, or in-between.
- *Semantic* equality may be taken into account:
 - e.g. allowing an integer value to be *equal* to a floating value.

Interning principle: Making == function as equals

- Suppose we could guarantee that two objects are semantically equal only if they are the *same* object.
- Then computing equals would be very fast: only need to compare references.
- Such a guarantee can be made if we **intern** all of the objects in the class.
- It is common to do this for strings.

Interning principle

- To *intern* objects in a class, we need a way to get to all objects in that class that were ever created.
- The client does not use the constructor, but rather uses a **factory method** that returns objects.
- The factory method checks to see whether the prescribed object has already been created
 - If so, it returns the existing object's reference.
 - If not, it creates a new object (using the constructor) and returns the reference to that object.

Interning Example: an Interning cons

```
OpenList cons(Object F, OpenList R)
{
  OpenList found = find(F, R); // exists already?
  if( found == null )
  {
    found = new OpenList(new Cell(F, R));
    remember(found);
  }
  return found;
}
```

Clearly, sharing is intended.

find and remember must be implemented.

Implementing `find` and `remember`

- We must store every `OpenList` ever produced (sharing tails, etc.)
- We must be able to find a list with equal `firsts` and `rests`.
- A naïve implementation would store an internal list of all `OpenLists` (not itself a `OpenList`) and search the list with `find`.
- This process can become slow.

Faster Implementation of `find` and `remember`

- Use the concept of **hashing**.
- Hashing computes a numeric signature for the proposed new item.
- It then uses the signature to access an **array** (called a **hash table**) of "equivalence classes" of items.
- Each equivalence class **ideally** has a relatively *small* number of items in it.
- The only searching needed is that of searching the small equivalence class, not the whole universe.

How Java Helps

- Java provides method `hashCode()` of `Object`.
- This gives a (generally large) integer value for any object.
- By **dividing** the hash code by the hash table size, equivalence classes are thereby formed.
- All objects with the same hash code *modulo* the table size are considered equivalent.
- Java also provides a class `HashSet` that can be used to implement `find` and `remember`.

Further Investigation

- Examine these classes/*interfaces* on the Java API web page:
 - `Exception`
 - `Object`
 - `Cloneable`
 - `HashSet`
 - `Collection`
 - `HashMap`