

---

---

# Boltzmann Machines

Learning by Correlation  
in a Hopfield-like Net

# Boltzmann Machine

---

---

- Proposed by Ackley, Hinton, and Sejnowski, 1985.
- Extends Hopfield model with learning.
- Based on **probabilistic operation**.
- Learning is by **correlation** (sort of Hebbian in character).

# Boltzmann Machine Structure

---


---

- The Boltzmann Machine is like a Hopfield network, in which
- the neurons are divided into two subsets:
  - **visible**, which are further divided into:
    - input
    - output
  - **hidden**
- As with the Hopfield model, the weights are symmetric.

# Boltzmann Machine Structure

---

---



# Boltzmann Machine Operation

---

---

- There are two modes of operation:
  - clamped mode (used only for learning)
  - free mode
- In **clamped** mode, the input and output of **visible neurons are held fixed**, while the hidden neurons are allowed to vary.
- In **free** mode, **only the inputs are held fixed** and all other neurons are allowed to vary.

# Probabilistic Firing

---

---


- All neurons have output in  $\{+1, -1\}$ .
- The activation function determines not the exact next input, but rather the **probability** of the neuron's output being 1:
  - $f(\text{net}) = \text{probability that output is 1}$
  - where  $\text{net}$  is the weighted sum input
  - where  $f(x) = 1/(1 + \exp(-2\beta x))$
  - where  $\beta$  is a parameter to be determined
  - so the higher the value of  $\text{net}$ , the more likely the neuron will be 1.

# Probabilistic Firing

---

---

- $f(x) = 1/(1 + \exp(-2\beta x))$
- Obviously this is a sigmoid:
  - With  $\beta = 0$ , the probability of output = 1 is 0.5, i.e. total randomness.
  - As  $\beta$  increases, the probability of output = 1 approaches 1 if  $x > 0$ , and 0 if  $x < 0$ .



# Controlling $\beta$

---

---

- In order to achieve a **stable** probability distribution for the network state,  $\beta$  is gradually increased from 0 over time.

# Controlling $\beta$

---

---

- We can think of the increase in  $\beta$  as “cooling” the network.
- Thus, we can govern beta as  $\beta = 1/T$  where  $T$  is the “temperature”, which decreases with time (according to a *schedule*).
- The overall process is known as “**simulated annealing**”.

# Annealing Schedule

---

---

- The annealing schedule determines the temperature  $T$  as a function of the step of the algorithm.

- Example:

$$T = T_0 / (1 + \log k)$$

where  $k$  is the step number and  $T_0$  is an initial temperature.

# History of Simulated Annealing

---

---

- SA was first proposed in 1983 as a method for optimizing wire-routing on VLSI chips (an NP-hard problem) by Kirkpatrick, Gelatt, and Vecchi.
- This was a widely-celebrated result.
- SA is now used as a way to avoid local minima in a number of computational problems.

# Stand-alone demo of Simulated Annealing: Minimizing a function

---

---

<http://www.taygeta.com/annealing/demo1.html>

1-D Function, called **func** to find minimum of (in ANSI [Forth](#)):

```
: func ( -- ) ( F: x -- z )      \ lots of local minima
                                \ f[x] = cos(14.5 x - 0.3 )
                                \          + (x + 0.2) * x
    FDUP 14.5E0 F* 0.3E0 F- FCOS
    FSWAP
    FDUP 0.2E0 F+ F*
    F+
;
```

You are encouraged to try your own function!

X Range, from:  to:


Initial X location:

# Simulated Annealing Result

Initial x: 1

Estimated minimum x: -0.195065

Boltzman constant: 1.000000 Learning rate: 0.500000 Jump value: 100.000000 Dwell: 10 Dimension: 1 Current temperature: 0.093204 Current state: -0.195065



# Role of Annealing in Stabilization

---


---

- Generally, move in direction of decreasing energy.
- **Occasionally, accept a move that increases energy.**
- This will be done with high probability at first, but **lower probability** as annealing progresses.

# Role of Annealing in Stabilization

---

---




The probability of making a contrary move is inversely proportional to the energy increase and to the temperature (higher probability earlier in the annealing schedule).

# How temperature affects transition probabilities (slide from Hinton)

$$p(A \rightarrow B) = 0.2$$

$$p(A \leftarrow B) = 0.1$$


High temperature  
transition  
probabilities



$$p(A \rightarrow B) = 0.001$$

$$p(A \leftarrow B) = 0.000001$$

Low temperature  
transition  
probabilities



# Annealing Advantage

---

---

- At high temperature the ***transition*** probabilities for uphill jumps are much greater.
- At low temperature the ***equilibrium*** probabilities of low energy states are much higher than the equilibrium probabilities of high energy ones.

# Energy-Based Simulation

---

---

- As we know from Hopfield theory, making a single transition according to the activation function will decrease the energy.
- So we can simply decide to “flip” a neuron based on whether the flip lowers the energy (defined as  $-\sum \sum w_{ij} y_i y_j$ ).
- The decrease in energy for flipping the  $i^{\text{th}}$  neuron is equivalent to:  
$$\Delta E_i = E_i(-1) - E_i(+1) = \sum_j w_{ij} y_j$$

# Energy-Based Simulation

---

---

- To include the annealing temperature:
  - If a flip **lowers** the energy, do it.
  - If a flip **raises** the energy by  $\Delta E$ , flip the output with probability  $1/(1 + \exp(\Delta E/T))$ .
    - This can be done by generating a random number  $\rho$  between 0 and 1, then setting the output of the neuron depending on whether

$$\rho < \exp(\Delta E/T)$$

the probability being greater or less than 1/2 depending on which case.

# Energy-Based Simulation

---

---

- A similar technique was originally used in the famous Metropolis, Rosenbluth, Teller equation of state calculations in statistical mechanics (“spin-glass” model).
- This is generally called the “**Metropolis algorithm**”.

# Simulation of a Boltzmann Machine

---

---

```
/* Change the value for only 1 node, on temperature t.  
   At this temperature accept the change if it increases  
   the energy, and accept it with some probability,  
   if it decreases it. Probability depends on t */
```

```
void anneal_1_step(double t)  
{  
    int node;  
  
    select_node(&node);  
    double dE = energy_change(node);  
  
    if( accept_change(dE, t) )  
        flip_state(node);  
}
```

# Simulation of a Boltzmann Machine

---

---

```
/* Is a change of dE acceptable at temperature t? */  
  
accept_change(double dE, double t)  
{  
    /* Always accept changes that decrease the energy *,  
       if( dE < 0 )  
           return 1;  
  
    /* If the change increases the energy, accept it  
       with a certain probability */  
  
    double prob = 1 / (1 + exp(dE/t) );  
    double rand = get_rand(0.0, 1.0);  
    return rand < prob;  
}
```

# Simulation of a Boltzmann Machine

---

---

```
/* Simulated annealing over machine p.  
   The temperature is constantly decreasing over a set of  
   values. For each temperature a set of state changes are  
   performed on randomly selected (non clamped!) nodes.  
   Each state change is selected with a certain probability.  
   If it decreases the total energy, it is selected;  
   if not it is selected with a probability that is a  
   function of the temperature.  
*/  
void anneal()  
{  
    double temp = t0;  
    int node;
```

# Simulation of a Boltzmann Machine

---

---

```
/* Vary the temperature */
while( temp >= tmin )
    { /* For each temperature, perform a number of
      operations which is a function of the
      temperature of annealing. */

      int n = get_num_changes(temp);
      for( int i =0; i < n; i++ )
          { select_node(&node);
            double dE = energy_change(layer, node);
            if( accept_change(dE, temp) )
                flip_state(node);
          }
      temp *= beta;
    }
}
```

# Boltzmann Distribution

---

---

- The name of the machine derives from the fact that, at steady state, if  $s$  and  $t$  are two states with energies  $E_s$  and  $E_t$  respectively, then the probabilities of being in those states  $P[s]$  vs.  $P[t]$  satisfy

$$P[s]/P[t] = \exp((E_t - E_s)/T)$$

where  $T$  is the temperature. This is known as the “Boltzmann distribution” or “Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution”.

---

---

# Learning in the Boltzmann Machine

# Multiple Simulations Per Sample

---

---

- Suppose we set the input and output neurons according to a specific **sample**.
- We then anneal the network.  
The final state reached is not necessarily unique, due to the probabilistic moves are made along the way.
- We can observe, over **several** simulation steps, which neurons' outputs are **correlated** at the ends, represented as a **correlation**  $\rho_{ij} = E[y_i y_j]$ , the expected (average) value of the product of the outputs of neurons  $i$  and  $j$ .

# Learning Rule

---

---

- Let  $\rho_{ij}^+$  be the correlation value when the network is run in **clamped** mode, and  $\rho_{ij}^-$  be the correlation value when the network is run in **free** mode.

- The Boltzmann learning rule is

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \eta(\rho_{ij}^+ - \rho_{ij}^-)$$

where  $\eta$  is the learning rate.

- In other words, whether weights are changed depends on the difference between the correlations in clamped vs. free mode.

# Batch Learning Algorithm

---

---


- Clamped phase
  - For each data vector in the training set:
    - Clamp the data vector on the visible units.
    - Let the hidden units reach thermal equilibrium at a temperature of 1 (may use annealing to speed this up).
    - Accumulate  $\rho_{ij}^+$  by sampling  $y_i y_j$  for all pairs of units.
- Free phase
  - Repeat many times to get good estimates  
For each data vector in the training set:
    - Do not clamp any of the [output?] units.
    - Let the whole network reach thermal equilibrium at a temperature of 1.
    - Accumulate  $\rho_{ij}^-$  by sampling  $y_i y_j$  for all pairs of units.
- Weight updates
  - Update each weight by adding  $\eta(\rho_{ij}^+ - \rho_{ij}^-)$ .

# Boltzman Example

---

---

- Ackley, Hinton, and Sejnowski, 1985 presented the following example:
- 4 line one-hot encoder-decoder, 2 hidden units



# Boltzman Example

---

---

- To prevent weights from growing too large, used a “noisy” **clamping** technique: each *on* bit of a clamped vector is set to *off* with prob. 0.15 and each *off* bit set to *on* with prob. 0.05.
- Network was **unclamped** and allowed to reach equilibrium. Statistics were gathered for the same number of annealings as in the clamped case.
- Annealing schedule: (time units @ temperature) 2@20, 2@15, 2@12, 4@10.
- 1 time unit = interval giving each neuron a chance to flip.

# Additional Examples

---

---

- 4-2-4 encoder/decoder converged quickly
- 8-3-8: more difficult
- 40-10-40: converged in 98.6% of runs.

# Boltzmann Simulators

---

---

- `/cs/cs152/boltzmann`
  - Simulates only the distribution, not learning.
  - It is analogous to a spin-glass simulation.
  
- `/cs/cs152/boltz`
  - Learning, weight-saving, etc.
  - An example, `bxor`, provides a demo of training a Boltzmann machine to implement xor. Run the shell script `bxor.run` (may have to run more than once for convergence).

# Speedup Possibility

---

---

- Training of a Boltzmann machine is extremely slow.
- A possible speedup is to use the “mean-field” approximation to get the correlation values.
- This approach is due to Peterson and Anderson, 1987.

# Mean-Field Theory Machine

---

---

- If  $f$  is a function of two variables, then the expectation

$$E[f(x, y)]$$

can be *approximated* by

$$f(E[x], E[y])$$

- This idea can be applied to the weight change rule of the Boltzmann machine, which entails computing

$$\rho_{ij} = E[y_i y_j] \approx E[y_i] E[y_j]$$

# Mean-Field Theory

---

---

- For the Boltzmann distribution, the probability that node  $i$  takes value 1 at temperature  $T$  can be shown to be:

$$p_1 = 1/(1 + \exp(-\sum w_{ij} E[y_j]/T))$$

- So the *expected* output of node  $i$  is

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_i] &= 1 * p_1 + (-1) * (1 - p_1) \\ &= \tanh(\sum w_{ij} E[y_j] / 2T) \end{aligned}$$

# Mean-Field Theory

---

---

- We now have  $n$  non-linear equations in  $n$  unknowns  $E[y_j]$  which can be solved *deterministically* by using successive approximations (**without simulation!**, but we still have to anneal).
- We can then use these approximations to update the weights:

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \eta(E^+[y_i] E^+[y_j] - E^-[y_i] E^-[y_j])$$

where + and - designate clamped vs. free as before.

# Cauchy Machine (Szu, 1986)

---

---

- Same topology as Boltzmann machine
- Learns arbitrary spatial patterns by Hebbian encoding and fast simulated annealing
- Claimed to find min. energy with probability 1.
- Probability of neuron being 1 is  
 $p_1 = T/(T+(\Delta E)^2)$  vs.  
 $p_1 = 1/(1+\exp(-\Delta E/T))$  for Boltzmann.
- Annealing schedule is  
 $T = T_0/(1 + k)$  vs.  
 $T = T_0/(1 + \log k)$  (typical) for Boltzmann.

# Other Hopfield Machines

---

---

- BAM (Bi-directional Associative Memory)  
(Bart Kosko, USC)
- Stores input-output patterns
- Can retrieve either direction
  - Given input, find output
  - Given output, find input
- Hopfield net divided into two tiers with behavior activating one tier then the other.