

## CS 81 Assignment 2 for Wed., Feb. 2 Solutions

Exercises in Hein book:

1. Ex. 10 on p 383

**Proof of  $(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \rightarrow C) \vdash (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge C)$ :**

1.	$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \rightarrow C)$	Premise
2.	$(A \rightarrow B)$	$\wedge e_1$
3.	$(\neg A \rightarrow C)$	$\wedge e_2$
4.	$(A \vee \neg A)$	LEM
5.	$A$	Assumption
6.	$B$	$\rightarrow e$ 5, 2
7.	$A \wedge B$	$\wedge i$ 5, 6
8.	$(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge C)$	$\vee i_1$ 7
9.	$\neg A$	Assumption
10.	$C$	$\rightarrow e$ 9, 3
11.	$\neg A \wedge C$	$\wedge i$ 9, 10
12.	$(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge C)$	$\vee i_1$ 11
13.	$(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge C)$	$\vee e$ 4, 5-8, 9-12

**Proof of  $(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge C) \vdash (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \rightarrow C)$ :**

1.	$(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge C)$	Premise
2.	$A \wedge B$	Assumption
3.	$A$	Assumption
4.	$B$	$\wedge e_2$ 2
5.	$A \rightarrow B$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-4
6.	$\neg A$	Assumption
7.	$A$	$\wedge e_1$ 2
8.	$\perp$	$\neg e$ 7, 6
9.	$C$	$\perp e$ 8
10.	$\neg A \rightarrow C$	$\rightarrow i$ 6-9
11.	$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \rightarrow C)$	$\wedge i$ 5, 10
12.	$\neg A \wedge C$	Assumption
13.	$A$	Assumption
14.	$\neg A$	$\wedge e_1$ 12
15.	$\perp$	$\neg e$ 13, 14
16.	$B$	$\perp e$ 15
17.	$A \rightarrow B$	$\rightarrow i$ 13-16
18.	$\neg A$	Assumption
19.	$C$	$\wedge e_2$ 12
20.	$\neg A \rightarrow C$	$\rightarrow i$ 18-19
21.	$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \rightarrow C)$	$\wedge i$ 17, 20
22.	$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \rightarrow C)$	$\vee e$ 1, 2-11, 12-21

2. Ex. 8.d on p 367

Use Quine's method to show that this is a tautology:

$$(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$$

Substitute for A:

$$A = F: (F \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((F \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (F \rightarrow C))$$

which simplifies to  $T \rightarrow (T \rightarrow T)$

which evaluates to T

$$A = T: (T \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((T \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (T \rightarrow C))$$

which simplifies to  $(B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$

which evaluates to T regardless of the value of  $(B \rightarrow C)$ .

3. Ex. 8.f on p 367

Use Quine's method to show that this is a tautology:

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((C \vee A) \rightarrow (C \vee B))$$

Substitute for C:

$$C = F: (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((F \vee A) \rightarrow (F \vee B))$$

which simplifies to  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$

which evaluates to T regardless of the value of  $(A \rightarrow B)$ .

$$C = T: (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((T \vee A) \rightarrow (T \vee B))$$

which simplifies to  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (T \rightarrow T)$

which simplifies to  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow T$

which simplifies to T

4. Which of these are tautologies?

- a.  $((\neg p) \rightarrow p)$       **Not a tautology.** Assignment  $p = F$  induces F.
- b.  $p \rightarrow ((\neg p) \rightarrow p)$       **A tautology.** Both  $p = F$  and  $p = T$  induce T.
- c.  $((\neg p) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$       **A tautology.** Both  $p = F$  and  $p = T$  induce T.
- d.  $p \vee (\neg p)$       **A tautology.** Both  $p = F$  and  $p = T$  induce T.
- e.  $(p \wedge (\neg p)) \rightarrow p$       **A tautology,** since  $(p \wedge (\neg p))$  is F for any assignment
- f.  $(p \vee (\neg p)) \rightarrow p$       **Not a tautology.** Assignment  $p = F$  induces F.
- g.  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$       **A tautology.** All 4 combinations induce T.
- h.  $((\neg p) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$       **A tautology.** For  $p = F$ , simplifies to  $q \rightarrow q$  which is a tautology. For  $p = T$ , simplifies to  $T \rightarrow T$  which is a tautology.

i.  $(\neg(p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow ((\neg p) \rightarrow q)$  **A tautology.**

Using Quine's method:  $q = F$  simplifies to  $\neg\neg p \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ , which is T.  $q = T$  simplifies to  $F \rightarrow T$ , which is T.

5. When something is not a tautology, there must be at least one assignment (of truth values to propositions) that induces the value false.  
For each non-tautology above, show such an assignment.

**Already done in the solution to 4.**

6. Logical equivalence is expressed by the connective  $\equiv$ , where  $A \equiv B$  is viewed as an abbreviation for  $(A \rightarrow B)$  and  $(B \rightarrow A)$ .  
Which of the following are tautologies? Justify your answers.

j.  $(p \rightarrow q) \equiv ((\neg p) \vee q)$

**is a tautology.** For  $p = F$ , simplifies to  $T \equiv T$ . For  $p = T$ , simplifies to  $q \equiv q$ , both of which are always T.

k.  $(\neg(p \wedge q)) \equiv ((\neg p) \wedge (\neg q))$

**is not a tautology.** Consider the assignment  $p = F$ ,  $q = T$ , which simplifies to  $T \equiv F$ , which is F.

l.  $(\neg(p \vee q)) \equiv ((\neg p) \wedge (\neg q))$

**is a tautology.** For  $p = F$ , simplifies to  $\neg q \equiv \neg q$ . For  $p = T$ , simplifies to  $F \equiv F$ , both of which are always T.

m.  $(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (r \rightarrow s))) \equiv ((p \wedge (q \wedge r)) \rightarrow s)$

**is a tautology.** Using Quine's method, for  $p = F$ , simplifies to  $T \equiv T$ .

For  $p = T$ , simplifies to  $(q \rightarrow (r \rightarrow s)) \equiv ((q \wedge r) \rightarrow s)$ .

For  $q = F$ , simplifies to  $T \equiv T$ .

For  $q = T$ , simplifies to  $(r \rightarrow s) \equiv (r \rightarrow s)$ , both of which are T.

n.  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow s \equiv (((p \wedge q) \wedge r) \rightarrow s)$

**is not a tautology.** For  $p = T$ ,  $q = F$ ,  $r = F$ ,  $s = F$ , becomes  $F \equiv T$ , which is F.

o.  $(p \rightarrow q) \equiv ((\neg q) \rightarrow (\neg p))$

**is a tautology.** For  $p = T$ , simplifies to  $q \equiv \neg\neg q$  which is T.

For  $p = F$ , simplifies to  $T \equiv T$ .

7. Devise a natural deduction proof rules for  $\equiv$  introduction and elimination.

Since  $A \equiv B$  is the same as  $(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)$ , we can adapt the rules for  $\rightarrow$ :

**$\equiv$  introduction rule:** This rule says that to prove we can do sub proofs of B assuming A and of A assuming B. Since the first of these proves  $A \rightarrow B$ , while the second proves  $B \rightarrow A$ , it is clear that rule is justified.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{|l} A \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|l} B \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ A \end{array}}{A \equiv B} \quad \equiv i$$

**$\equiv$  elimination rules:** The first rule says that if we have A and also  $A \equiv B$ , then we can conclude B. This is justified since it is the conclusion of the  $\rightarrow e$  rule, with  $A \rightarrow B$  in place of  $A \equiv B$ . The other rule is like the  $\rightarrow e$  rule, with  $B \rightarrow A$  in place of  $A \equiv B$ .

$$\frac{A \quad A \equiv B}{B} \quad \equiv e_1$$

$$\frac{B \quad A \equiv B}{A} \quad \equiv e_2$$