

CS 142/Math 167
Fall 2008
Homework 1
Due Wednesday, September 10

Please note that all assignment submissions should be typeset in \LaTeX . The \LaTeX source and pdf versions of the assignments are available from the course web page. If you wish to include figures in your solutions, you may include hand-drawn figures, you may use \LaTeX drawing packages, or embed pdf images into your documents.

1. **[20 Points] A Weird Language!** Describe a language L such that neither L nor \bar{L} are recursively enumerable. (You must *prove* that the languages that you give are not recursively enumerable.)
2. **[25 Points] To Infinity and Beyond!**
 - (a) Consider a set S of strings $S = \{0^{i_1}, 0^{i_2}, \dots\}$ representing the natural numbers i_1, i_2, \dots . This set may be finite or infinite. Is every such set recursively enumerable? Explain carefully.
 - (b) Consider a set $S = \{0^{i_1}, 0^{i_2}, \dots\}$ as above and assume that it is known to be recursively enumerable (either because you have shown that all such sets are recursively enumerable or, if they aren't, then this one was guaranteed to be recursively enumerable). Consider the infinite union of languages $L_{\text{union}} = \bigcup_{i \in S} L(M_i)$. Is this language L_{union} necessarily recursively enumerable? Explain carefully.
 - (c) Is the union of any infinite number of recursively enumerable languages always recursively enumerable? Explain carefully.
3. **[30 Points] The Busy Beaver!** So far we have looked at Turing Machines as acceptors of languages; a TM accepts a word if it eventually halts in the accept state and it rejects a word if it eventually halts in the reject state or runs forever.

Another view of a Turing Machine is as a device that computes a function. A **Function-Computing TM (FCTM)** is a 6-tuple $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{done})$ where Q is the finite set of states, $\Sigma = \{0\}$ is the input alphabet, Γ is the tape alphabet containing 0, the blank symbol, and a finite number of additional symbols that are used in the process of the computation, q_0 is the start state, and q_{done} is the “done computing” state. We say that a TM M computes the

function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ if whenever M starts in the start state with its tape head at the left end (“beginning”) of the tape with exactly k consecutive 0’s on the tape, it eventually enters the state q_{done} (where it is forced to halt) with exactly $f(k)$ consecutive 0’s on the tape. A function which is computable by a FCTM is said to be *Turing-computable*.

- (a) First, give a simple proof that shows that there exists at least one function from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} which is not Turing-computable. In the remainder of this problem, we will find an *actual* function which is not Turing-computable.
- (b) Next, show that every Turing-computable function is computable using only the tape alphabet $\{0, 1, B\}$ where B is the blank symbol. In other words, we may restrict the tape alphabet with no loss of generality.
- (c) Next, draw the state diagram for a FCTM that computes the function $f(n) = 2n$ and uses only the tape alphabet $\{0, 1, B\}$. *Alternatively, you can methodically explain in clear English how such a FCTM would operate. However, if you complete the state diagram, read on and earn a special little prize!* If you choose to draw the state diagram, please use the following notation on the arcs of your state diagram: Given tape alphabet Γ , an arc between two states may be labelled $r \rightarrow w, D$ where $r, w \in \Gamma$ and $D \in \{L, R\}$. This represents the transition in which on reading symbol r , the machine should write symbol w and move in direction D where D is either L (left) or R (right).

If you’re careful, this state diagram won’t be very large at all. For completing this task, you will receive the **IN**ternational **Tur**Ing **Ma**chine **ID**entific**AT**ion passp**OR**t (INTIMIDATOR). The INTIMIDATOR is recognized at participating institutions of higher learning, including some of the finest graduate programs, and exempts you from any subsequent Turing Machine construction in the future. “The INTIMIDATOR, don’t leave college without it!”

Note: If you are familiar with JFLAP, you may use it and print out the TM.

- (d) Now, let’s consider the famous **busy beaver** function. We’ll show that this function is not computable by any Turing Machine (also known simply as “uncomputable”).

Imagine that we’re told we can use only n states to build a TM, and we want to build a TM that will start with an empty tape and write down as many 0’s as possible and then halt. The TMs tape alphabet is

only $\{0, 1, B\}$. What's the largest number of consecutive 0's that our TM could write and then halt? Clearly, the more states you have, the more 0's you can write. The busy beaver function formalizes this idea. The busy beaver function $\beta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined as follows: For each $n \geq 0$, $\beta(n)$ is the largest number of 0's that a Turing Machine could write on its tape and halt if the TM has exactly n states, has the tape alphabet $\{0, 1, B\}$, and starts in the start state with an entirely blank tape. We're going to show that there does not exist a TM that computes the busy beaver function!

- i. First argue that for any n and m , $\beta(n) \geq \beta(m)$ if and only if $n \geq m$.
- ii. Now show that if $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is computed by a FCTM with k states and tape alphabet $\{0, 1, B\}$, then $\beta(n + k + 42) \geq f(n)$ for all n . In other words, there exists a TM with $n + k + 42$ states which writes at least $f(n)$ 0's and halts when started on a blank tape. (*Note:* The number 42 is overkill. A much smaller constant will work in its place, but the constants 0 or 1 might be too small.)
- iii. Finally argue that the function β is not computed by any FCTM. Start the proof as follows: "Assume that β is computed by some FCTM. Then the function $f(n) = \beta(2n)$ would also be computed by some FCTM (explain why!)." Now use the earlier results from this problem to obtain the desired contradiction. Pretty Slick!!