Logic Simplification using Maps

Logic Expression **Simplification**

- Often it is possible to simplify function expressions from, say, their minterm form.

- This may be done by using various identities, as we know. But more systematic methods will be shown.

Simplification Example

3-argument majority function

v	w	x	f
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

minterm form: majority(v, w, x) =

Notice Certain "Adjacencies"

3-argument
majority function

v	w	x	f
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

These rows are "adjacent": their variable combinations differ in only 1 bit

v'wx

vwx

= wx

simplified majority(v, w, x) = wx + vw'x + vwx'

unsimplified majority(v, w, x) = v'wx + vw'x + vwx' + vwx

Any other Adjacencies?

(ok to use a row more than once)



v	w	x	f
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

Adjacencies can Overlap

3-argument
majority function



v	w	x	f
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

simplified majority(v, w, x) = wx + vx + vwx'

unsimplified majority(v, w, x) = v'wx + vw'x + vwx' + vwx

Any More Adjacencies?

3-argument
majority function



v	w	x	f
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

simplified majority(v, w, x) = wx + vx + vwx'

unsimplified majority(v, w, x) = v'wx + vw'x + vwx' + vwx

Any More Adjacencies?

3-argument
majority function

v	w	x	f
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1



simplified majority(v, w, x) = wx + vx + vw

unsimplified majority(v, w, x) = v'wx + vw'x + vwx' + vwx

unsimplified majority

majority(v, w, x) = v'wx + vw'x + vwx' + vwx



simplified majority

majority(v, w, x) = wx + vx + vw



Simplified/Unsimplified Comparison for Majority

- Draw the diagrams
- Unsimplified:
  4 and-gates, 3 inputs each,
  some with negation,
  1(one) 4-input or-gate
- Simplified:
  3 and-gates, 2 inputs each,
  none with negation,
  1(one) 3-input or-gate

Implications for Simplified Functions

- If communicated by human, easier to understand, transfer
- If implemented as hardware, fewer gates, wires
- If implemented as software, fewer tests, execution steps

Visualizing Adjacencies

- Hypercube representation of truth table
- Karnaugh map representation

Hypercubes
(connected vertices = adjacent)

1-dimension =

1 logical variable



2-dimensions =

2 logical variables



Hypercubes

3-dimensions =

3 logical variables



Sub-cubes

- An n-dimensional hypercube, for n > 0, has embedded in it a set of m-dimensional hypercubes, for each m < n.
- These are call the ***sub-cubes*** of the original hypercube.

Sub-cubes



Which sets are subcubes?



"Plotting" Functions on Hypercubes

majority(v, w, x) = v'wx + vw'x + vwx' + vwx

each minterm is a vertex



Simplified Functions on Hypercubes

majority(v, w, x) = wx + vx + vw

each term is a ***sub-cube***



Sub-Cube Dimensions

- The **dimension of a sub-cube** is

  n minus (number of variables in the corresponding product term)
- where n is the total number of variables.
- Examples: 3 variables total:
  - dimension 0: 3 variables
  - dimension 1: 2 variables
  - dimension 2: 1 variables
  - dimension 3: 0 variables (= constant 1)

- **"More is less"** (Greater dimension, fewer variables)

SOP Circuits
(sum-of-products)

- An SOP will always correspond to
  - a set of **sub-cubes**
  - Collectively the vertices in the sub-cubes *cover* the "on" vertices.
  - The vertices in the sub-cubes don't cover any "off" vertices.
- Such a set is called a **cover** for the function.
- Each cover corresponds to a different gate implementation.

Simplified Covers

- In a fully-simplified SOP, each sub-cube will be ***maximal***, in that it is not contained within another sub-cube.
- If instead it were properly contained in another sub-cube, then it could be **replaced** with that sub-cube.
- The replacement would have **fewer** variables, i.e. be simpler.

Maximality



This set of 2 sub-cubes covers the function.

Maximality



However the red sub-cube is not maximal.

It should be extended to the blue sub-cube as shown for greater simplification.

The resulting cover is simpler, even though one vertex is covered twice.

More-is-Less Principle



Making the sub-cubes as **large** as possible, makes the resulting product term as **small** as possible.

Einstein's Principle:

Explanations should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler.



Including x by itself will not work!

Non-Uniqueness of Simplest Cover



Non-Uniqueness of Simplest Cover



4-D Hypercube



Alternate 4-D hypercube representation
(= "shadow" of a Tesseract)



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Plotting Function on 4-D Hypercube



Hypercube Function-Plotting Applet

An applet conceived by R. Keller and implemented by Ian Weiner, HMC '01 as a CS60 project.

http://www.cs.hmc.edu/~keller/javaExamples/hypercube

Check out dimensions > 4.

Gray Code on a Hypercube



Path touches every node without retracing any arc (Hamiltonian path).

Other CS uses of Hypercubes

- Distributed parallel computer topologies
- High-speed sorting

Hypercubes occur wherever independent attributes are found: e.g. genetics, "complexity"





Figure 2.2 (*a*) Assignment of $K = 2$ epistatic inputs to each site. (*b*) Assignment of fitnes to each of the three genes with random values for each of the eight combinations of $K +$ bearing on genes 1, 2, and 3. These fitness values then assign a fitness to each of the $2^3 = 8$ genotypes as the mean value of the fitness contributions of the three genes, as given in Equa

Left: A 6-dimensional hypercube representing a genetic *sequence space*. From Manfred Eigen, *Steps toward life*, Oxford U. Press, 1992, p. 94.

Above: Hypercube representation of fitness landscape of mutants. From Stuart A. Kauffman, *The origins of order*, Oxford U. Press, 1993, p. 42.

Non-CS uses of Hypercubes: chemistry

Welcome to HyperCube, Inc.

New Release of **HyperChem** 8
now available



What's new

- Third-Party Interfaces
- Batch Capabilities
- Double Precision
- Undo and Redo
- Recent Files List
- Geometric Measurements
- Chemical Substituents
- Entropy and Free Energy
- Heat Capacities
- Zero-point Energies
- Rate Constants
- Equilibrium Constants
- MP2 additions
- Configuration Interaction
- Temperature
- LineWidths
- MM-QM
- Fixed Atoms
- Electric Fields
- New Visualization
- Vibrational Analysis
- Particle in a Box
- Multiple Unit Systems
- RM1 Semi-empirical Method

Plus all the thousands of things you always could do with **HyperChem**

Hypercube Projections

5-D



15-D



From: http://www.cs.reading.ac.uk/archive/hypercubes/

Rubik's Hypercube

http://www.plunk.org/~hatch/MagicCube4dApplet/



Karnaugh Maps

- Invented by Maurice Karnaugh, 1953, at Bell Labs
- A way to **visualize** hypercubes of up to 4 (and stretching to 5 or 6) dimensions
- Approach by "flattening" a hypercube
- A structured form of Venn Diagram

"3-D" Karnaugh Map



The Cube



The Map

Covering on a Karnaugh Map



Covering on a Karnaugh Map



Try this one



Answer



Using a Karnaugh Map to Prove Equalities

Prove that x(v+w) = xv + xw



Using a Karnaugh Map to Prove Equalities

Prove that x(v+w) = xv + xw



4-D Karnaugh Map



Implied connections on 4-D Karnaugh Map



Minterm Numbering on 4-D Karnaugh Map



pattern, horizontal and vertical

Usage: Given a function in the form {1, 5, 7, 15}, for example

Assorted Sub-Cubes on 4-D Karnaugh Maps








Try this one



Exercises, etc.

- Project: Translate the hypercube game into a Karnaugh-map game.

- Exercise: Why is a Gray code sometimes called a "snake-in-the-box" code?

Simplification with "Don't Care" Combinations

- For certain problems, certain combinations (truth-table rows) never arise in actual operation.
- These are called "don't care" combinations.
- Because their input combinations never occur, the function value can be either 0 or 1. This means we can ***elect*** which value to use at our discretion.
- Usually we elect whichever value achieves the best simplification of the result.

Example: mod 3 adder

xy \ uv	00	01	10
00	0	0	1
01	0	1	0
10	1	0	0

xy \ uv	00	01	10
00	0	1	0
01	1	0	0
10	0	0	1

Only 9 of 16 combinations actually occur, leaving 7 don't care ones. The don't cares are the same for both functions.

Plot Function on a K-Map



Plot Function on a K-Map

○ = don't care

xy \ uv	00	01	10
00	0	0	1
01	0	1	0
10	1	0	0



Final expression: vy + u'v'x + ux'y'

vs. original

u' v' w x' + u' v w ' x + u v' w x'

Do the other half.

○ = don't care

xy \ uv	00	01	10
00	0	1	0
01	1	0	0
10	0	0	1



The other half.

○ = don't care

xy \ uv	00	01	10
00	0	1	0
01	1	0	0
10	0	0	1



The other half.

○ = don't care

xy \ uv	00	01	10
00	0	1	0
01	1	0	0
10	0	0	1



Final expression: u'v'y + vx'y' + ux

vs. original

u' v' w' x + u' v w' x' + u v' w x'