



# Use-Case Analysis

# Use-Case Analysis

---

---

- What is it?
  - An informal, user-friendly, technique useful for *functional* requirements analysis and specification
- From where did it originate?
  - Ivar Jacobson, a Swedish software engineer at Ericsson, then Rational (now part of IBM), in a *method* called **OOSE** (Object-Oriented Software Engineering). Originally called "Usage cases".
- Now "part of" UML (Unified Modeling Language), an informal collection of development techniques.


Ivar Jacobson

Magnus Christerson Patrik Jonsson  
Gunnar Övergaard

COMPUTER LANGUAGE Productivity Award Winner

# Object-Oriented Software Engineering

A Use Case Driven Approach



REVISED PRINTING



ADDISON-WESLEY

# Definition of "Use Case"

---

---

"The specification of sequences of actions that a system, subsystem, or class can perform by interacting with outside actors"

*(UML Reference Manual, Rumbaugh, Jacobson, and Booch).*

# Purpose of a "Use Case"




---

---

- "to define a piece of behavior of a [system or subsystem or class] *without revealing the internal structure* of the [system]"

(UML Reference Manual, Rumbaugh, Jacobson, and Booch).

# UML References



# Importance of Use Cases

---

---

- At least one popular methodology (the Rational Unified Process, based in part on Ivar Jacobson's earlier OOSE) is said to be *Use-Case Driven*,
- meaning that most development activities are *traceable* back to the use cases as defined in agreement with the user or customer.

# Nonetheless

---

---




- Use cases alone do not constitute a *complete SRS*.
- For example, they focus on the **functional requirements exclusively**.
- Also, their language is **more specific and detailed** than requirements normally would be.

# Use-Case References

---

---

recommended:



# Other Implications

---

---

- Use cases could be used for *other* types of design, and system analysis, **not just software.**
- Once you know about them, it is hard to imagine an engineering project or business process of almost any kind starting without them.

# Characteristics of Use-Case Analysis

---

---

- **Use-cases:** The specific ways in which the system is used.
- Each use-case expresses a "complete thought" or end-to-end transaction.
- A "black-box" specification; does not deal with internal structure.

# Some Key Components of Use-Case Analysis

---

---

- **Actors:** Entities that communicate with the system; *typically* people, but could *also* be other *systems* or *devices* as long as they are outside the system being specified.
- **Scenarios:** A sequence of steps taken in the use case.
- **Relationships** between Actors or between Use-Cases

# Actors

---

---

- Actors are characterized **not by the identity** of the user or other external entity, but rather by the *role* played by the actor.
- One person can be several different actors in different roles.
- One actor can be played (at different times) by several different persons.
- An entire **committee** could be **one** actor.
- An actor need not be a living thing; it could be another subsystem.

# More on Actors

---

---

- Actors are **not part of the system** in question; they supply input to and receive output from, the system.
- In other words, actors collectively define the **environment** of the system.
- This does not preclude the possibility of an object in the system design **standing for** an actor. Design is a separate issue.

# Minimum Requirement for a Use Case

---

---

- Verbal description of scenario(s)

# Common Components of a Use Case

---

---

- Name
- Symbolic label
- List of actors
- Initiator (an actor)
- Verbal description

# Initiator

---

---

- The initiator of a use case is the actor that starts the flow of events.

# Brief Use-Case Description

---

---

- label for this use-case*
- name of this use-case*
- **OCI: Order from catalog**
    - **Actors:** customer, sales rep., shipping dept.
    - **Initiator:** customer
    - **Scenario:**

# Scenario

---

---

- An enumerated list of events, e.g.:
  - 1 Customer calls to order from catalog.
  - 2 Sales representative identifies item numbers.
  - 3 Sales representative verifies stock.
  - 4 Sales representative confirms order.
  - 5 Sales representative gives order number to Customer.
  - 6 Sales representative passes order to Shipping.

# Scenario descriptions could contain *iteration*

---

---

- An order could contain multiple items. In this case, the event flow should show something like:
  - *For each* item to be ordered:
    - Sales representative checks catalog number.
    - Sales representative verifies stock.
    - Sales representative records item.
- Similarly, flow of events could contain conditional (if-then-else) behaviors.
- Still, the scenarios are **not** the program.

# Use Case Diagrams

---


---

- For *visualization* of use case interactions; diagrams are not the use cases themselves.
- Don't tell the whole story
- Useful in brainstorming and documentation
- Used in software tools, such as:
  - Rational Rose
  - iLogix Rhapsody
  - MagicDraw

# Icon for an Actor

---

---




Note: Actors are typically drawn in this "anthropomorphic" way even when the actors aren't people.


# Examples of Actors

---

---



Customer




Shipping Dept.

# Alternate Actor Icons in UML

---

---



Customer

Visual Icon



Textual Stereotyping



Textual & Visual  
Stereotyping

# UML Use of "Stereotypes"

---


---

- The « ... » notation called "guillemets", (used for quotes in French, Italian, and Spanish).
- These usually indicate the name of a "stereotype", defined as an *informal extension* of basic UML concepts.

# Icon for a Use Case

---


---



# Noting the Initiator

---


---




# A simple use-case for a mail-order catalog business

---

---



# Symbology for a simple use-case



# Class Exercise

---

---

- Identify several other possible use-cases in the catalog-order enterprise.
- For each use case, indicate the actors, initiator, and flow of events.

# Steps in Use-Case Analysis

---

---

- Identify system boundaries
- Identify actors:
  - Recall: an actor is an entity playing a particular role with respect to the system.

# Steps in Use-Case Analysis (cont'd)

---

---

- Identify use cases themselves:
  - Every use case has at least one actor.
  - A specific actor initiates the use case.
  - The same actor may participate in multiple use cases, as initiator in some and not in others.
- Create the description, including scenarios
- Provide additional information (see later)

# Scenarios of a Use Case

---

---

- A "scenario" is a *single* path through the event flow. For example, if there is a conditional part, only one branch is taken in the scenario.
- Obviously we can't always **enumerate** all the scenarios; there might be an infinite set of them. If the use case involves iteration, only a finite number of iterations are used in the scenario.

# Scenarios (continued)

---

---

- Often there will be a “principal” scenario, and several secondary variations.
- Some variations may end with exceptions, others with normal completion.

# A Catalog Order Scenario (1 of 3)

---

---

(Alice is a typical customer, Bert a sales clerk.)

- Alice calls company.
- Bert answers the telephone.
- Alice indicates she wishes to place an order.
- Bert asks how the order will be paid.
- Alice indicates via credit card.
- Bert asks for the card number, billing address, and expiration date.
- Alice provides the above info.

# A Catalog Order Scenario (2 of 3)

---

---

- Bert asks for the first item.
- Alice responds with first item.
- Bert asks for quantity of first item.
- Alice responds with quantity of first item.
- Bert records first item with quantity.
- Bert asks for second item.
- Alice responds with second item.
- Bert indicates second item out of stock; does Alice wish it to be back ordered?
- Alice declines to order item.

# A Catalog Order Scenario (3 of 3)

---

---

- Bert asks for third item.
- Alice responds that there are no more items.
- Bert asks for shipping address.
- Alice indicates that it is the same as the billing address.
- Bert informs Alice of expected shipping date and provides order number.
- Bert thanks Alice.
- Alice hangs up.
- Bert transmits order to Ernie in the Shipping dept.

# Use-Case Advice


## (Larry Constantine and others)

---


---

- Write in the active voice.
- Pair responses with the events that invoke them.
- Identify **domain objects** that clearly are part of the application context (such as "catalog", "inventory", "fleet" (of automobiles)).  
[A domain dictionary or glossary could be used.]

# Sequence Diagram for a Scenario




# Sequence Diagram for an ATM Withdrawal Use Case



# Collaboration Diagram

(= "folded" sequence diagram with message numbers)



# Scenario Types (Bruegge)

---

---

- **Visionary scenario:** Describes future scenario
- **Evaluation scenarios:** Describe user tasks against which system is evaluated
- **Training scenarios:** Used for tutorial purposes
- **As-is scenarios:** Describe current situation (during reengineering)

# Structuring Sets of Use Cases

---

---

- Packages
- Relationships
  - Inclusion
  - Extension
- Actor Inheritance


# UML Package Notation

Sometimes used to Group Use Cases

---


---

Package name




Reservation

Use cases in package




# Car Rental Example

## How might the use cases be packaged?



# Car Rental Example with Two Packages



# Use of Packages

---

---

Packages may be used in the transition to a design, and ultimately coding.

However, these connections would not normally be part of the initial discussion with the customer.

---


---

# Relations between Use Cases


# *Inclusion among use-cases*

---

---



# Extension among use-cases



# *extends vs. uses*

---

---


- «includes» means this use-case makes use of another use-case, as a kind of *subroutine*. This allows us to not have to repeat the included use-case in the description of the including use-case.
- «extends» means that this use-case is a *specialization* of another use case.

Note: «includes» was formerly called «uses».

# «extends» can be used to impart a hierarchical abstraction structure to use cases

---

---



# *Options of a use case*

---

---


- **Example:** During order processing, the sales representative offers to tell the customer about current specials.
- Such options should be mentioned as an annex to the other use case items.

# Actor Hierarchies

are possible, similar to extensions

---

---



# Caution about Structuring Use-Cases

---

---

- Use-case structuring is obviously *analogous* to structuring in object-oriented systems.
- However, one should *not* infer that use-case structure implies anything about *internal* structure of the system.

# Use-cases vs. Requirements

---

---

- A use-case describes one “unit” of functionality.
- A single informally-specified functional requirement could translate into **multiple use-cases**.
- A single use-case could also be involved in satisfying **multiple requirements**.


## Use-cases vs. Requirements (cont'd)

---


---

- **Collectively**, the use-cases ideally should account for all of the desired **functional** requirements.
- Non-functional requirements may *annotate* use-cases, but don't get represented as use-cases directly.

# Example of UC Automation: "Magic Draw" tool (commercial)



# Library Use-Case Diagram (Magic Draw)



---

---

# Further Possible Components of Use Cases

# Goals

---

---

- A goal describes the **purpose** of the execution of the use case.
- Goals are important in the Allistair Cockburn version of use cases.
- Example: Goal for catalog order: A customer wishes to order products from the company.

# Pre- and Post-Conditions

---

---

- Some use-cases are not meaningful at arbitrary times, but instead only when the system is in a state with certain properties. Such properties are called *pre-conditions*.
- Similarly, the use-case might leave the system in a state known to satisfy one or more *post-conditions*.

# Example:

---

---

- For the car-rental enterprise, the use case "checkin vehicle" has the **pre-condition**:  
*vehicle is rented to driver*  
and the **post-condition**:  
vehicle is on site  
& vehicle is not rented to a driver.
- For the use-case "checkout vehicle", these conditions are reversed.

# Invariants

---

---

- A condition that is a pre- and post-condition for all use cases is called an *invariant*.
- Example: Total vehicles =  
    vehicles rented  
    + vehicles available  
    + vehicles in repair  
    + vehicles in scrap.

# Optional Aspect: Trigger

---

---

- A *trigger* is an event that causes the use case to be run.
- Example: A catalog order is **triggered** by a phone call.
- This is similar to a pre-condition, but is a dynamic **event** rather than a **condition**.

# Exceptions

---

---

- If a use case cannot be completed as described, an *exception* is said to occur.
- The description can indicate aspects of the state and output in such cases.

# Alternative to Exceptions

---

---

- A use case may be allowed **explicit success and failure outcomes**, each with its own post condition.

# Precedence among Use-Cases

---

---


- When one use case is used to establish a pre-condition for another, the two may be linked by a «precedes» relationship.
- One use of precedence is to *factor* a use-case into sub-cases, to avoid repetition among different sub-cases.

# Precedence Example

---

---

Stock-trading example:



# Subset of "Top 10 Use-Case Pitfalls"

by Susan Lilly, Software Development Magazine, Jan. 2000

[http://www.cs.uwf.edu/~italbot/cen5990/lib/use\\_case\\_pitfalls.html](http://www.cs.uwf.edu/~italbot/cen5990/lib/use_case_pitfalls.html)

---

---

- The use cases aren't written so the customer can understand them.
- The system boundary is undefined or inconsistent.
- The use cases are written from the system's (not the actors') point of view.
- The actor names are inconsistent across use cases.
- The use cases don't correctly separate actors based on functional entitlement.
- The use-case specifications are too long or confusing.

# Use-Case Template

---

---

Possible template for use cases:

- Label
- Name
- Goal
- Actors
- Initiator
- Description
- Pre-conditions
- Post-conditions
- Options (if present)
- Scenarios

# Traceability Matrix

---

---

- One form of Traceability Matrix, lists each of the requirements here identifies the use cases that cover those requirements.

---

---

# Additional Points on Use Cases

# Do *Not* use Use-Cases to Fully Decompose into a Design

---

---

- Factoring should be used to *simplify the description* of use-cases.
- Avoid the temptation of making use-case decompositions into design.
- Use-cases are *customer language, not design language* or pseudo-code. They describe *what*, not *how*.
- There are other tools that are better-suited to the design phase.

# Uses of Use-Cases across Development Phases (Bruce Douglass, "Doing Hard Time")

---

---

- Analysis phase:
  - Suggest large-scale partitioning of the problem domain
  - Provide structuring of analysis objects (i.e. actors and sub-systems)
  - Clarify system and object responsibilities
  - Capture new features as they are added
  - Validate analysis model

## Uses of Use-Cases across Development Phases (Bruce Douglass) (cont'd)

---

---

- Design phase:
  - *Validate* the elaboration of analysis models in the presence of design objects
- Coding phase:
  - *Clarify* purpose and role of classes for coders
  - *Focus* coding efforts
- Testing phase:
  - Provide test scenarios for *validation*
- Deployment phase:
  - Suggest *iterative prototypes* for spiral development

# Levels of Use Cases

---

---

- These ideas are from *Use Cases: Requirements in Context*, Daryl Kulak and Eamonn Guiney, ACM Press, 2000.
- **Four iterative levels** for specifying use cases:
  - **Façade**: Outline and high-level descriptions
  - **Filled**: Broader and deeper descriptions
  - **Focused**: Narrowing and pruning
  - **Finished**: Touch-up and fine-tuning
- See the reference for example worked out at all levels.

# Façade Use-Case Components

---

---

- Name
- [Goal] (I added this.)
- Summary
- Basic course of events

# Filled Use-Case Components

---

---

- Name
- [Goal]
- Summary
- Basic course of events
- Alternative paths
- Exception paths

# Focused Use-Case Components

---

---

- Name
- [Goal]
- Summary
- Basic course of events
- Alternative paths
- Exception paths
- Extension [Option] points
- Trigger
- Assumptions
- Preconditions
- Postconditions
- Related *business rules*

# Business Rules

---

---

- *Business rules* are requirements that represent *constraints* on behaviors, rather than behaviors themselves.
- Examples:
  - All transactions are in U.S. Dollars.
  - Valid operators license is required in order to rent a car.
  - Late-fee is assessed for enrollment after the second week of the semester.

# Finished Use-Case Components

---

---

- Same components as in the *Focused* iteration, just more polished.

# UML Ways of Clarifying Complex Behaviors in Use Cases

---

---

- These are more technical and may be more appropriate in the *design* phase. However, sometimes they can clarify a use case:
  - **Sequence diagram:** shows messages between actors and sub-systems
  - **Collaboration diagram:** a sequence diagram organized as a directed graph rather than as a linear sequence of messages.
  - **State chart:** Elucidates behavior in terms of properties of state
  - **Timing diagram:** a sequence diagram with a time metric applied to the sequence dimension

# Related Earlier Idea

---


---

- **Function-point analysis**
- Function points are the set of specific features or operations in a software product.
- Function points are used more for cost analysis than for SRS as such.
- Promoted, IFPUG (International Function Point Users' Group)

# Function Point Books

---

---



# "Use Case" vs. "Function Point" Opinion

---

---

- "A use case is a function that returns an observable value to an actor (object outside its context), without revealing the design structure of that function.
- A use case is roughly the same as a function point—a cohesive piece of functionality of the system that is visible from outside."

*Doing Hard Time, Bruce Douglass.*