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What is this?

- A type of computation model and declarative programming language.

- Useful for:
  - Language understanding and translation
  - Databases
  - Knowledge representation
  - Artificial intelligence applications
Why study this?

- Expands expressiveness over what we have seen so far.

- A good language for learning about ideas of:
  - certain forms of logic
  - non-determinism
  - backtracking
Who uses Prolog?

- People who want to have a broad set of intellectual and problem-solving tools at their disposal.
Example: Midterm Problem 2

kid(Parent, Child, Graph) :-
    member([Parent, Child], Graph).

isDescendant(Desc, Asc, Graph) :-
    kid(Asc, Desc, Graph).

isDescendant(Desc, Asc, Graph) :-
    kid(Asc, Child, Graph),
    isDescendant(Desc, Child, Graph).

?- isDescendant(e, a, [[a,b], [b, c], [c,d], [b,e], [a,f]]).
Yes
More Bang for the Buck

?- isDescendant(X, a, [[a, b], [b, c], [c, d], [b, e], [a, f]]).
  X = b ;
  X = f ;
  X = c ;
  X = e ;
  X = d ;
  No

?- isDescendant(e, X, [[a, b], [b, c], [c, d], [b, e], [a, f]]).
  X = b ;
  X = a ;
  No
Lots More Bang for the Buck

?- setof([Y, X], isDescendent(Y, X, [[a,b], [b, c], [c,d], [b,e], [a,f]]), Z).
Z = [[b, a], [c, a], [c, b], [d, a], [d, b], [d, c], [e, a], [e|...], [...|...]]

Yes
Advantages of Succinctness

- Code moves closer to concepts (and farther from machine details).
- Easier to ascertain correctness.
- Easier to modify, for purposes of software evolution.
Prolog’s Origins
(see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prolog)

- Prolog was invented at the University of Montreal around 1970 by Alain Colmerauer, who since has been professor at the École Supérieure d'Ingénieurs de Luminy in Marseille, France.

- The original work was a grammar-based language for natural language translation.
Varieties of Logic

- **Proposition Logic:**
  - Propositions are symbols which may be assigned one of two values:
    - true
    - false
  - without regard to specific individuals.

- **Predicate Logic:**
  - Predicates can be viewed functions from a domain of individuals to \{true, false\}.
Comparison

- Propositions:
  - hmc_is_great
  - caltech_is_in_glendale

- Predicates (X and Y are variables)
  - is_great(X)  is_great(hmc)
  - is_in(X, Y)  is_in(caltech, glendale)
Logic of Implication
(Prolog Style)

- Logical rule:
  \[ Q : - P1, P2, P3. \]

  means that proposition or predicate application
  \[ Q \text{ is implied by the conjunction of } P1, P2, \text{ and } P3. \]
  If each of \( P1, P2, P3 \) are true, then \( Q \) is true.

  (However, \( Q \) could also be true even if one of \( P1, P2, P3 \) isn’t.)
Prolog Lingo

- This is a clause:

  \[ Q :\! :\! :\! :- \! P_1, P_2, P_3. \]

  - Q is called the **head**.
  - P1, P2, P3 is called the **body**.
  - Each of Q, P1, P2, P3 are individually called **goals**.
Example Clause

- prepared_for_exam :-
  read_book,
  worked_problems,
  attended_lectures.
Example Clause

preparing_for_exam :-
   knows_it_all.
Example Clause

prevented_for_exam :-
    tutored_by_someone_prepared.
Facts

- Facts are clauses with an empty body.

- They assert the truth of something without qualification.
Examples of Possible Facts

read_book.
worked_problems.
attended_lectures.
knows_it_all.
tutored_by_someone_prepared.

Depending on the facts present, a goal prepared_for_exam maybe inferred as true or not.
Success and Failure

- A goal is presented interactively to Prolog as:

  ```prolog
  ?- prepared_for_exam.
  ```

  Depending on the facts, this goal may **succeed** or **fail**.
Success and Failure

- A goal **succeeds** provided one of:
  - There is a **fact** that matches the goal.
  - There is a **clause**, the head of which matches the goal, and all goals in the body succeed.

- [Notice the first blue bullet is really a special case of the second.]

- If a goal doesn’t succeed, then it **fails**.
Success and Failure Examples

- If the facts are:
  
  read_book.
  worked_problems.
  attended_lectures.

  and there is a clause:

  
  prepared_for_exam :-
  read_book,
  worked_problems,
  attended_lectures.

  then the goal

  
  ?- prepared_for_exam.

  succeeds. If any of the facts is missing, the goal fails.
Success and Failure Examples

- If the facts are:
  
  ```
  read_book.
  attended_lectures.
  tutored_by_someone_prepared.
  ```

  and there is a clause:
  
  ```
  prepared_for_exam :-
  tutored_by_someone_prepared.
  ```

  then the goal
  
  ```
  ?- prepared_for_exam.
  ```

  succeeds.
How Prolog Works

- In general, there can be several goals, all of which need to succeed.
- Think of these goals as being kept in a stack.
- Success occurs when the stack is empty.
- The first goal is removed from the stack.
- Prolog searches for a clause having a matching head.
  - If none is found, then there is overall failure.
  - If a matching fact is found, then execution continues with the rest of the stack.
  - If a matching clause is found, then the clauses in the body of the clause are pushed onto the stack (with the leftmost goal now at the top) and execution continues with the new list.
Backtracking

- When a failure occurs, Prolog does not stop. It goes back to the previous clause it used, and restores the list to the way it was just before. (It “backtracks”.)

- It then tries any alternative clauses that follow that clause.

- Clauses are tried in the order listed in the program, until there are no more clauses left.

- For new each goal, searching starts afresh.
Suppose the clauses and facts are:

- `prepared_for_exam :-
  read_book,
  worked_problems,
  attended_lectures.`

- `prepared_for_exam :-
  tutored_by_someone_prepared.`

- `read_book.`
- `worked_problems.`
- `tutored_by_someone_prepared.`

Consider the goal

?- `prepared_for_exam.`
Backtracking Example

- The initial goal stack is:
  - [prepared_for_exam]

- After a match with the first clause, the stack becomes:
  - [read_book, worked_problems, attended_lectures]

- then, as facts are matched, the stack becomes:
  - [attended_lectures]

- but here there is no matching fact or clause. Backtracking occurs, restoring the list to:
  - [prepared_for_exam]

- The next clause that matches changes the list to:
  - [tutored_by_someone_prepared]

- The first goal matches a fact, leaving:
  - []

- The original goal thus succeeds.
The Two Compatible Interpretations of Prolog Execution

- **Logical interpretation:**
  - Implications and facts.

- **Procedural interpretation:**
  - Goals, backtracking, etc.
Prolog’s Negation

- Facts are always asserted in the positive sense.
- Negation can be tested, but not asserted.
- Negation is “negation as failure“:
  \[ \neg \text{Goal} \]
succeeds iff \text{Goal} fails.
- In classical logic, \[ \models G \] means “\( G \) is provable“.
  \[ \neg \] is a representation of “is not provable“.
**Negation Example**

- The clause
  
  ```
  prepared_for_exam :-
  read_book,
  worked_problems,
  attended_lectures,
  \ + slept_during_lectures.
  ```

  will enable
  
  ```
  prepared_for_exam
  ```

  to succeed only if
  
  ```
  slept_during_lectures
  ```

  does **not** succeed.
Predicate vs. Propositional Goals

- The goals so far have been propositional:
  - Each is either invariably true (succeeds) or false (fails).

- Using predicates, success or failure depends on arguments.

- Each fact and clause can have one or more arguments.
Exam Passing for the Full Class

- read_book(fred).
- read_book(judy).
- worked_problems(judy).
- attended_lectures(fred).
- attended_lectures(judy).
- tutored_by(fred, bob).
- tutored_by(sam, judy).
Predicate Form of Exam Passing

prepared_for_exam(X) :-
    read_book(X),
    worked_problems(X),
    attended_lectures(X).

prepared_for_exam(X) :-
    tutored_by(X, Y),
    prepared_for_exam(Y).
Extreme Case-Sensitivity

- In Prolog,
  - Variables always start with upper-case or underscore.
  - Things that start with lower-case are:
    - Predicates, propositions
    - Data items (atoms):
      Similar to symbols in Scheme
  - Data items can also start with upper-case if singly-quoted, e.g. 'John Hancock'.
  - Unlike Scheme, '-' is not considered to be just another letter.
Case Sensitivity, Arity

- read_book(fred).
- prepared_for_exam(X) :-
  tutored_by(X, Y),
  prepared_for_exam(Y).

Variables:
  X, Y

Atoms:
  fred

Predicates:
  read_book/1, prepared_for_exam/1, tutored_by/2.

/N indicates the arity (number of arguments) of the predicate.
Predicate names can be overloaded.
Matching = Unification

- **Unify** means: “make the same”.
  - Two atoms are unifiable iff identical.

- A variable can be unified with anything (even another variable), by substituting the latter thing for the variable.

- Two predicate expressions can be unified, provided that:
  - The predicate names are identical.
  - The number of arguments is the same in both.
  - Each of the arguments can be pairwise-unified, by a common substitution.
## Prolog Unification Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term 1</th>
<th>Term 2</th>
<th>Unifiable?</th>
<th>Substitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fred</td>
<td>bob</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fred</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← fred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>bob</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← bob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(X, Y)</td>
<td>p(fred, bob)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← fred, Y ← bob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(X, bob)</td>
<td>p(fred, Y)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← fred, Y ← bob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(X, bob)</td>
<td>p(fred, X)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More Unification Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term 1</th>
<th>Term 2</th>
<th>Unifiable?</th>
<th>Substitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p(X, X)</td>
<td>p(fred, bob)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(X, f(Y))</td>
<td>p(Y, Z)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Z ← f(Y) (or)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y ← X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Z ← f(X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(a, f(Y))</td>
<td>p(Y, Z)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Y ← a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Z ← f(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p(g(Z), f(Y))</td>
<td>p(Y, Z)</td>
<td>Yes (but only in Prolog)</td>
<td>Y ← g(f(g(f(..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Z ← f(g(f(g(..</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Quiz 1: Complete the Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term 1</th>
<th>Term 2</th>
<th>Unifiable?</th>
<th>Substitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>p(X, fred)</code></td>
<td><code>p(fred, X)</code></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>p(X, Y)</code></td>
<td><code>p(Y, Z)</code></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>p(b, f(b))</code></td>
<td><code>p(f(X), b)</code></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>p(a, X)</code></td>
<td><code>p(a, f(a))</code></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>p(X, f(Z), Z)</code></td>
<td><code>p(a, X, a)</code></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>p(X, Y, Z)</code></td>
<td><code>p(f(Y), g(Z), a)</code></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use `= in Command` to Check Unifiability

?- p(a, f(Y)) = p(Y, Z).
  Y = a,
  Z = f(a)
  Yes

?- p(X, X) = p(fred, bob).
  No

?- p(g(Z), f(Y)) = p(Y, Z).
  Z = f(g(**)),
  Y = g(f(**))
  Yes
The variables of a clause are purely local to the clause. Variables do not connect one clause to another.

When searching for a match, the variables in a clause are first renamed uniformly across the clause so that they are distinct from any variables that might be in the goal.

Any substitution applied to a goal is applied to all remaining goals in the list.
Example

- **Clauses:**
  - `prepared_for_exam(bob).`
  - `tutored_by(fred, bob).`
  - `prepared_for_exam(X) :- tutored_by(X, Y), prepared_for_exam(Y).`

- **Goals:**
  - `[prepared_for_exam(fred)]`

- **Substitution:**
  - `X1 ← fred`

- **New goals:**
  - `[tutored_by(fred, Y1), prepared_for_exam(Y1)]`

- **Substitution:**
  - `Y1 ← bob`

- **New goals:**
  - `[prepared_for_exam(bob)]`
Recursive Example

```prolog
kid(Parent, Child, Graph) :-
    member([Parent, Child], Graph).

isDescendant(Desc, Asc, Graph) :-
    kid(Asc, Desc, Graph).

isDescendant(Desc, Asc, Graph) :-
    kid(Asc, Child, Graph),
    isDescendant(Desc, Child, Graph).

?- isDescendant(e, a, [[a,b], [b, c], [c,d], [b,e], [a,f]]).
Yes
```
Prolog’s Data Types

- **Atoms**: x, abc, y99, this_is_too

- **Numbers**: 789, 15.3e-27

- **Terms**: f(x, 789)

- **Lists (special type of term)**:
  - [red, green, blue]
  - [[red, 10], [green, 20], [blue, 50]]
Throw-Away Variable

- Variables beginning with _ (including _ itself) are “throw away” or “don’t care”.

- They match anything.

- They do not need to unify with other instances of the same variable.
Database Applications

- Data are stored as predicate facts (aka “relations”).

- Queries are goals.

- Substitutions (resulting from unifications) are results.
**Relational Database Example**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>dorm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>dept</th>
<th>number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Three relations:**

- **lives** $\subseteq$ names $\times$ dorms
- **takes** $\subseteq$ names $\times$ depts $\times$ numbers
- **tutors** $\subseteq$ names $\times$ depts $\times$ numbers
Relational Database Example

Sample Queries:

Who lives in South dorm?
\[\text{lives}(X, \text{'South'})\]

Who lives in East dorm and takes CS 60?
\[\text{lives}(X, \text{'East'}), \text{takes}(X, \text{'CS'}, 60)\]

Who takes a CS course?
\[\text{takes}(X, \text{'CS'}, _)\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lives name</th>
<th>dorm</th>
<th>takes name</th>
<th>dept</th>
<th>number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tutors name</th>
<th>dept</th>
<th>number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Express as Prolog Queries:

Who takes a CS course and tutors a Math course?

What tutors live in West dorm?

Who lives in East dorm that is not a tutor?
canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

% lives(N, D) means that person named N lives in dorm D
lives(john, east).
lives(naima, south).
lives(alice, west).
lives(toshiko, east).
lives(roy, north).
lives(albert, south).

% takes(N, D, C) means that person named N takes course C in department D
takes(john, cs, 60).
takes(naima, cs, 60).
takes(alice, cs, 60).
takes(toshiko, cs, 5).
takes(albert, cs, 60).
takes(roy, math, 55).
takes(naima, math, 55).
takes(alice, math, 70).
takes(toshiko, math, 80).
takes(albert, math, 55).

% tutors(N, D, C) means that person named N tutors course C in department D
tutors(john, cs, 5).
tutors(naima, cs, 5).
tutors(roy, math, 3).
tutors(alice, math, 55).
tutors(albert, math, 4).
Solving Goals with Variables

- Variables get **bound** during matching.

- They get **unbound** during back-tracking, but never before.

- They may then be **re-bound**.

- Somehow this all can be viewed declaratively.
canTutor(alice, Y).

variable, since starts with upper-case
canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

Yellow denotes instance of rule or fact in knowledge base.

Same variable in original goal
Goal Succession:
Depth-First Execution in Prolog: Binding

canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
  tutors(X, Dept, Number),
  takes(Y, Dept, Number).

Yellow denotes instance of rule or fact in knowledge base.

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

Green denotes variable binding.
Dept = math
Number = 55

takes(Y, math, 55)
Goal Succession:
Depth-First Execution in Prolog: Result 1a

canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

takes(Y, math, 55).

takes(roy, math, 55).

Y = roy

(empty)
Goal Succession:
Undoing Binding on Failure

canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

takes(Y, math, 55).

takes(roy, math, 55).
Goal Succession: Retrying

canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
  tutors(X, Dept, Number),
  takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number),
  takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

takes(Y, math, 55).
canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

Dept = math
Number = 55

takes(Y, math, 55).

takes(naima, math, 55).

new binding

(empty)

Y = naima

result binding
Deeper Backtracking: Query 2, Result 2a

canTutor(X, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(X, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(john, cs, 5).

takes(Y, cs, 5).

takes(toshiko, cs, 5).

(EMPTY)

X = john
Dept = cs
Number = 5

X = john
Y = toshiko

result binding
Deeper Backtracking

canTutor(X, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(X, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).
tutors(naima, cs, 5).

takes(toshiko, cs, 5).

takes(Y, cs, 5).

X = naima
Dept = cs
Number = 5

(empty)

X = john
Y = toshkio

undo
former
binding;
try for
another
result

result
binding
canTutor(X, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(X, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(roy, math, 3).

X = roy
Dept = math
Number = 3

takes(Y, math, 3).

fails
canTutor(X, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

takes(Y, math, 55).

X = alice
Dept = math
Number = 55

etc.
Summary of Backtracking

- Given a goal, Prolog tries rules in order of occurrence ("top-to-bottom"), using the first rule, the consequent of which matches the goal.

- If the rule has sub-goals, the sub-goals are satisfied in order of occurrence ("left-to-right"), resulting in bindings at each stage.

- If a goal sub-goal fails completely, Prolog retries to satisfy it using the next available option (e.g. the next rule).
Rule and Sub-Goal Ordering

Suppose the goal is \texttt{knows(john, Y, R)}.

This rule is tried first.

\texttt{knows(X, Y, living) :-}
\texttt{lives(X, Z),}
\texttt{lives(Y, Z).}

This sub-goal is satisfied first, which binds Z.

This rule is tried after the first rule is exhausted.

\texttt{knows(X, Y, tutoring) :-}
\texttt{canTutor(Y, X).}

This sub-goal is satisfied next.

In effect, we have \textbf{disjunction (or) among rules}, and \textbf{conjunction (and) within rules}. Remember that Prolog execution is \textbf{depth-first search}.
And-Or Trees

- In AI, problem-solving trees are typically “And-Or” trees.
- This applies to Prolog’s goals.
“Logical Variables” in Prolog

- A variable in Prolog is like an object that can have one of two states:
  - unbound
  - bound, to some Prolog term, e.g. an individual

- Once the variable is bound, it only gets re-bound in backtracking, which results in removing the former binding first.
Lists in Prolog

- Unlike Scheme lists, Prolog lists use square brackets and require comma separators:
  - [a, b, 123]
  - [[foo, bar], []]

- There is no explicit cons, first, rest. Rather unification is used for this purpose:
  - [First | Rest] = [1, 2, 3, 4]
    unifies with First ← 1, Rest ← [2, 3, 4]

  - [First | Rest] does not unify with []
Lists in Prolog

- Second, third, etc. are also not necessary:

\[ [\text{First}, \text{Second}, \text{Third} \mid \text{More}] = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] \]

unifies with:

First ← 1, Second ← 2, Third ← 2,
More ← [4, 5]
Movie Database (used in hw8)

- % movie([Title, Year], Director, Categories), e.g.
  
movie(['Being John Malkovich', 1999], 'Spike Jonze', [comedy, fantasy]).

- % actress(Name, [Birth City, State], Year), e.g.
  
actress('Drew Barrymore', ['Culver City', 'California'], 1975).

- % actor(Name, [Birth City, State], Year), e.g.
  

- % plays(Player, Part, [Title, Year]), e.g.
  
plays('Ben Affleck', 'Rafe', ['Pearl Harbor', 2001]).
Numeric Aspects

- Numbers can be compared like any other goal:
  - $2 < 3$ succeeds
  - $5 \leq -5$ fails

- Numeric comparisons (caution):
  - $<$
  - $>$
  - $<=$
  - $>=$
  - $=\not=$
  - $=::=$
Numeric Operators: Different!!

- 2+3 is not 5
  It is an unevaluated term, effectively +(2, 3)

- The 'is' operator causes evaluation:
  X is 2+3
  binds X to 5.
Numeric relations will also cause evaluation

- $2 < 3+4$ ok as a goal.
  - *is* is not needed here; Evaluation is forced.

- Most numeric functions are *not reversible* as regular goals are.
  - $5 \text{ is } X+4$ *won't* solve for $X$ if it isn’t bound.

- Arguments to arithmetic functions must be already bound.
Generation vs. Testing

Consider:

\[
\text{member}(X, [X \mid _]).
\]

\[
\text{member}(X, [_ \mid L]) :- \text{member}(X, L).
\]

This predicate can be viewed as a member test.

It can also be viewed as a member generator.
## Generation vs. Testing

### test

?- member(3, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]).

yes

?- member(6, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]).

no

### generate

?- member(X, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]).

X = 1 ;
X = 2 ;
X = 3 ;
X = 4 ;
X = 5 ;

no
Exercise

- Extend member to have a 3rd argument: the residue left after the first element is removed from the list:

?- member(X, [1, 2, 3, 4], R).

X = 1,  
R = [2, 3, 4] ;

X = 2,  
R = [1, 3, 4] ;

X = 3,  
R = [1, 2, 4] ;

X = 4,  
R = [1, 2, 3] ;

No
Generating with append

**append ([], M, M).**

**append ([A | L], M, [A | N]) :-**
append (L, M, N).

---

**functional**

?- append ([1, 2, 3], [4, 5], Z).

Z = [1,2,3,4,5] ;

no

---

**relational**

?- append (X, Y, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5])

X = [ ],
Y = [1,2,3,4,5] ;

X = [1],
Y = [2,3,4,5] ;

X = [1,2],
Y = [3,4,5] ;
...

X = [1,2,3,4,5],
Y = [ ] ;

no
Using a Generator as a “for” loop

?- for(I, 5, 8).
I = 5 ;
I = 6 ;
I = 7 ;
I = 8 ;
No

Definition:

for(M, M, N) :- M =< N.
for(I, M, N) :- M < N, M1 is M+1, for(I, M1, N).

Caution: Won’t work in reverse, due to is.
Generating an Infinite Set

?- for(I, 5).
I = 5 ;
I = 6 ;
I = 7 ;
I = 8 ;
.
.
.

Definition:

for(M, M).
for(I, M) :- M1 is M+1, for(I, M1).

Caution: Won't work in reverse, due to `is`.
Exercise: Generate all Pairs in N x N

?- pair(I, J).

I = 0
J = 0 ;

I = 0
J = 1 ;

I = 1
J = 0 ;

I = 0
J = 2 ;

I = 1
J = 1 ;
.
.
.
The "24" Game (homework problem)

- Given (Note: \ is integer division):
  - A set of positive integers: [2, 4, 5, 7]
  - A set of reusable operators: [+,-,*,//]
  - A target: 24

- Construct an expression (as a syntax tree) showing how to make the target from the integers.
Approach to 24: Non-Deterministic Programming

- If there is only one integer in the set:
  - There is no choice. Either it is the same as the goal or not.

- Otherwise:
  - Split the integers into two non-empty subsets.
  - Compute a tree that could be constructed from each of the subsets.
  - Choose an operator for the root joining the two trees.
  - Check to see whether the overall tree meets the given target.
Strong recommendations

- Don’t evaluate a tree until the final tree is built.

- Don’t try to optimize by evaluating sub-trees during the solution search (at least not for this assignment).
Prolog Uninterpreted Expressions

- Prolog has a built-in an infix operator precedence parser:
  - 3+4*5 is really:
    +(3, *(4, 5))

How can you be sure? Try unifying:

?- 3+4*5 = +(3, *(4, 5)).

Yes
Evaluating an Expression

- The *is* operator will evaluate an expression. *=(unification) will not:

  `?- X is 3+4*5.
  X = 23`

  `?- X is +(3, *(4, 5)).
  X = 23`

  `?- 23 = 3+4*5.
  No`

  `?- X = +(3, *(4, 5)), Y is X.
  X = 3+4*5,
  Y = 23`
Composing/Decomposing an Expression

- Infix operator =.. (called “univ”) will build an expression from an operator and arguments, or take an expression apart:

  \[ \text{?- } X =.. [+ , 3 , 4]. \quad \% \text{ compose} \]

  \[ X = 3+4 \]

  \[ \text{?- } 3+4 =.. Y. \quad \% \text{ decompose} \]

  \[ Y = [+ , 3 , 4] \]
Only concerned with lists of 2 or more elements (why?)

?- split([1, 2, 3, 4], X, Y).

X = [1, 2, 3]
Y = [4] ;
.
.
.
X = [1, 4]
Y = [2, 3]
Splitting a List

- The tricky part is making sure that you get every way of splitting.

- Ideally you get back each way of splitting only once.
Base Case

- A list of exactly two elements is easy to split.
How to split a list of > 2 elements

- Remove an element E from the list (using an extended 'member' predicate).
- Recursively split the remaining elements into two.
- Add E back to the first list.

Exploit symmetry by using an auxiliary predicate and calling it twice from the interface predicate:

- \texttt{split}(X, L, R) :- split2(X, L, R).
- \texttt{split}(X, L, R) :- split2(X, R, L).
The solve/4 predicate

- `solve(Ops, Values, Result, Exp) :- ...`
  - `Ops` is the set of operators.
  - `Values` is the list of values to be combined.
  - `Result` is the value of the expression, which will need to unify with the target if one is specified.
  - `Exp` is the expression itself.
Example

?- setof(Exp, solve([+, *, -], [2, 3, 4, 5], 24, Exp), Ans).

Ans =

\[
\begin{align*}
&[2 \times (3 + (4+5)), \\
&2 \times (3 + (5+4)), \\
&2 \times (4 + (3+5)), \\
&2 \times (4 + (5+3)), \\
&2 \times (5 + (3+4)), \\
&2 \times (5 + (4+3)), \\
&2 \times (3+4+5), \\
&2 \times (3+5+4), \\
&2 \times (4+3+5), \\
&2 \times (4+5+3), \\
&\ldots \\
&\ldots \\
&\ldots
\end{align*}
\]
The “Zebra” Problem
(aka “Einstein’s Riddle”?)

Five people of different nationalities, with different occupations, live in consecutive houses on a street. These houses are painted different colors. Each person has a different pet and a different favorite drink.

Given:

1. The English person lives in the red house.
2. The Spanish person owns a dog.
3. The green house is on the right side of the white house.
4. The Italian drinks tea.
5. The Norwegian lives in the first house on the left.
6. The photographer breeds snails.
7. The Norwegian’s house is next to the blue one.
8. The Japanese person is a painter.
9. The fox is in a house next to that of the physician.
10. The diplomat lives in the yellow house.
11. The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
12. The violinist drinks orange juice.
13. The horse is in a house next to that of the diplomat.
14. Milk is drunk in the middle house.

Determine: who owns the zebra?; who drinks water?.
Analysis

- There are 5 “houses”, which can be represented as a parenthesized structure:
  - (Nationality, Colo, Occupation, Pet, Drink)

- There is a list of houses:
  - \( L = [\_, \_, \_, \_, \_] \)

- Note that order is important in the list (left-to-right).

- Each clue places a constraint on the list.

- The questions to be answered are:
  - Find \( X \) where \( \text{member}((X, \_, \_, \_, \text{zebra}, \_), L) \).
  - Find \( Y \) where \( \text{member}((Y, \_, \_, \_, \_, \text{water}), L) \).
Translating Clues

1. The English person lives in the red house.
   clue1(L) :- nationality(english, H), color(red, H), house(H, L).

2. The Spanish person owns a dog.
   clue2(L) :- nationality(spanish, H), pet(dog, H), house(H, L).

3. The green house is on the right side of the white house.
   clue3(L) :- color(green, G), color(white, W), rightof(G, W, L).

 Helpers:
   house(X, L) :- member(X, L).
   rightof(X, Y, L) :- leftof(Y, X, L).
   leftof(X, Y, [X, Y | _]). % assume “immediate” left of
   leftof(X, Y, [__ | L]) :- leftof(X, Y, L).
Unifying with House Structures

nationality( N, (N, _, _, _, _)).
color( C, (_, C, _, _, _)).
occupation( O, (_, _, O, _, _)).
pet( P, (_, _, _, P, _)).
drink( D, (_, _, _, _, D)).
Using the Clues Together:

clues(L) :-
    clue14(L), % strategic placement
    clue1(L),
    clue2(L),
    clue3(L),
    clue4(L),
    clue5(L),
    clue6(L),
    clue7(L),
    clue8(L),
    clue9(L),
    clue10(L),
    clue11(L),
    clue12(L),
    clue13(L),
    true.

solution(Z, W, L) :-
    clues(L),
    pet(zebra, H1), nationality(Z, H1), house(H1, L),
    drink(water, H2), nationality(W, H2), house(H2, L).
Tester, with Uniqueness Test
(using if-then-else P -> Q; R)

test :-
solution(Z, W, L)
  -> (solution(_, _, M), L \== M

  -> write('The solution is not unique.'),
     write('One solution is: '), nl, pprint(L), nl,
     write('Another solution is: '), nl, pprint(M)

  ; write('The solution is unique: '), nl,
     pprint(L), nl,
     write('The '), write(Z), write(' owns the zebra.'), nl,
     write('The '), write(W), write(' drinks water.'), nl

  )

; write('There is no solution'), nl.
Quiz 3: Translate the rest of the clues.

1. The English person lives in the red house.
   clue1(L) :- nationality(english, H), color(red, H), house(H, L).

2. The Spanish person owns a dog.
   clue2(L) :- nationality(spanish, H), pet(dog, H), house(H, L).

3. The green house is on the right side of the white house.
   clue3(L) :- color(green, G), color(white, W), rightof(G, W, L).

4. The Italian drinks tea.
5. The Norwegian lives in the first house on the left.
6. The photographer breeds snails.
7. The Norwegian’s house is next to the blue one.
8. The Japanese person is a painter.
9. The fox is in a house next to that of the physician.
10. The diplomat lives in the yellow house.
11. The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
12. The violinist drinks orange juice.
13. The horse is in a house next to that of the diplomat.
14. Milk is drunk in the middle house.
Generator/Test Example: 
Map Coloring

A map
Map Coloring (2)

A map

Corresponding graph
Map Coloring (3)

Prolog Clause

map([A, B, C, D, E, F, G]) :-
    next(A, B),
    next(A, C),
    next(A, D),
    next(A, E),
    next(B, D),
    next(B, F),
    next(C, D),
    next(C, E),
    next(C, F),
    next(D, F),
    next(E, F),
    next(E, G),
    next(F, G).

Graph
Map Coloring (4):
Color Constraints

next(X, Y) :- color(X), color(Y), X \(\neq\) Y.

color(red).
color(blue).

These and the preceding clause are the entire program.

means individuals are not equal

colors to be used
Sudoku

- Sudoku is basically a graph-coloring problem.

- Adjacency is no longer binary. Any two squares in the same row, column, or sub-square are considered “adjacent”. 
Sudoku is a special case of Sudograph (pseudo-graph?)

\[ G = \]

- List of Nodes (logical variables)
- List of constraints
  - Each constraint is a list of variables
  - No two variables in the same constraint can have the same node values.
Sudograph setup

test(3) :-
    Nodes = [A, B, C, D, E, F, G],
    Constraints = [[A, B, C],
                    [B, C, D],
                    [C, D, E],
                    [D, E, F],
                    [E, F, G],
                    [G, A, B]],
    Colors = [red, blue, green, yellow],
    test_sudograph(Nodes, Constraints, Colors). % calls sudograph solver

?- test(3).
Colors: [red, blue, green, yellow]
Nodes: [red, blue, green, red, blue, green, yellow]
Constraints:
    [red, blue, green]
    [blue, green, red]
    [green, red, blue]
    [red, blue, green]
    [blue, green, yellow]
    [yellow, red, blue]
More on Predicate Logic:

Quantifiers
In addition to truth function operators of proposition logic, predicate logic introduces **quantifiers** for expressing variation over individuals:

- \((\forall x) p(x)\) : for all \(x\), \(p(x)\)

  **universal quantifier**

- \((\exists x) p(x)\) : for some \(x\), \(p(x)\)

  **existential quantifier**
Order of Quantifiers

- $(\forall x) (\exists y) \text{knows}(x, y)$: Everyone knows someone.

- $(\exists x) (\forall y) \text{knows}(x, y)$: Someone knows everyone.

- $(\exists x) (\forall y) \lnot\text{knows}(x, y)$: Someone knows no one.

- $(\exists x) (\exists y) \text{knows}(x, y) \land x \neq y$ Someone knows someone other than him/herself.
In most formulas, quantifiers are implicit:

- If a variable appears in the head, it is for-all quantified in the rule.
- If a variable appears in the body, but not the head, it is there-exists quantified.

Examples:

- \( p(X, Y) :- q(X), r(X, Y) \) says:
  \[(\forall x) (\forall y) [\text{if} (q(x) \text{ and } r(X, Y)) \text{ then } p(X, Y)]\].

- \( p(X) :- q(X), r(X, Y) \) says:
  \[(\forall x) [\text{if} (\exists y) (q(x) \text{ and } r(X, Y)) \text{ then } p(X)]\].
Quantifiers in Prolog

- The $\exists$ can be made explicit:

- Examples:
  - $p(X) :- q(X), r(X, Y)$ says:
    $$(\forall x) \ [\text{if } (\exists y) (q(x) \text{ and } r(X, Y)) \text{ then } p(X)].$$
  - $p(X) :- y^\exists (q(X), r(X, Y))$ says the same thing.
  - $^\exists$ is an “infix” version of $\exists$. 
Where it Really Matters: setof

- Consider
  - setof(X, p(X, Y), Z).

- How is Y quantified? If you want it to be \( \exists \), the usual case, use:
  
  setof(X, Y^\text{p}(X, Y), Z).

- If you leave it off, it is a free variable, and may become bound in solving, in which case all other solutions would use the same Y.

- You won’t get all solutions for all Y in this case.

- Typical use of the unquantified version:
  - r(X, Z) :- setof(X, p(X, Y), Z).
  - Here there is a set of Z for each possible X.
Special handling of _ in Prolog

- The variable _ is special.

- It is called a “throw-away” or “don’t care” variable.

- _ unifies with anything, but different instances of _ within the same clause are not unified, unlike other variables.
Other variables beginning with _

- A variable that occurs only once in a clause is called a “singleton variable”.

- Often singleton variables are the result of a typing error, and certain compilers will warn about them.

- To prevent the warning, when this is the intention, use a variable that begins with __, such as __Name rather than Name.
== in Prolog is not unification

- == is literal equality
- a == a succeeds
- a == b fails
- X == a fails if X is unbound (unlike =)
- X = a, X == a succeeds (X becomes bound)
- X == Y fails if either is unbound
\( \equiv \) in Prolog is literal inequality

- \( a \equiv a \) fails
- \( a \equiv b \) succeeds
- \( X \equiv Y \) succeeds if either is unbound

- There is no \( \neq \) (not-unifiable) operator.
- Instead use \( \not+ X = Y \) (it is not the case that \( X = Y \)).
Other comparison operators

- @< compare arbitrary terms (e.g. lists)
- @> in lexicographic order
- @=<
- @>=
Some Reversible Arithmetic can be Simulated with Lists

Number N is represented as a list of N 1’s

\[
\text{sum}([], Y, Y).
\]

\[
\text{sum}([1 \mid X], Y, [1 \mid Z]) :- \text{sum}(X, Y, Z).
\]

The following doesn’t quite work for all inverses. A problem arises in factoring 0.

\[
\text{prod}([], Y, []).
\]

\[
\text{prod}([1 \mid X], Y, Z) :- \text{prod}(X, Y, Z1),
\]
\[
\text{sum}(Z1, Y, Z).
\]
Example: Towers of Hanoi

Move only one disk at a time.
Never place a larger disk on a smaller one.
Solving Towers of Hanoi

- Some approaches:
  - Pre-programmed solution
    - Recursive solution is easy in most languages
  - Let prolog find solution using depth-first search
    - Trickier, but shows off Prolog’s capabilities
    - May not find shortest solution
  - Program breadth-first search in Prolog
    - Still trickier
  - Program iterative-deepening search
    - Easier than breadth-first
Pre-Programmed Towers of Hanoi (1)

- To move $N$ disks from stack $From$ to stack $To$: 
To move $N$ disks from stack $\textit{From}$ to stack $\textit{To}$:

- Move $N-1$ disks from stack $\textit{From}$ to stack $\textit{Other}$ (the stack other than $\textit{From}$ and $\textit{To}$).

A key point throughout is that the $N-1$ disk moves can be done without violating the constraint that a larger disk not be put atop a smaller one.
To move N disks from stack *From* to stack *To*:
- Move N-1 disks from stack *From* to stack *Other* (the stack other than *From* and *To*)
- Move 1 disk from stack *From* to stack *To*
To move N disks from stack **From** to stack **To**:
- Move N-1 disks from stack **From** to stack **Other** (the stack other than **From** and **To**)
- Move 1 disk from stack **From** to stack **To**
- Move N-1 disks from stack **Other** to stack **To**
Number the disks 1, 2, 3, . . . smallest to largest.

Use numeric value to detect size constraint.
% towers(N, From, To, Moves) means that Moves is the list of
% moves to move N disks from stack From to stack To

towers(N, From, To, Moves) :-
  towers(N, From, To, [ ], ReversedMoves),
  reverse(ReversedMoves, Moves).

% towers(N, From, To, Acc, Moves) means that Moves is the reverse of the
% of moves to move N disks from stack From to stack To, with
% Acc being the reverse of the accumulated moves going in (to avoid appe

% towers(N, From, To, Acc, Moves).

towers(0, _, _, Acc, Acc).

towers(N, From, To, Acc, Moves) :-
  other(From, To, Other),
  N1 is N - 1,
  towers(N1, From, Other, Acc, Moves1),
  towers(N1, Other, To, [ [From, To] | Moves1], Moves).
Depth-First
Towers of Hanoi
Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (1)
Does not require a human to solve the puzzle first

First characterize the possible moves.

This is a move from stack 1 to stack 2:

\[
\text{move}([1, 2], [[F1 \mid R1], S2, S3], [R1, [F1 \mid S2], S3]) :
\]
\[
\text{ok}(F1, S2).
\]

provided that it is ok to move disk F1 onto stack S2
All the possible moves in six rules:

move([1, 2], [[F1 | R1], S2, S3], [R1, [F1 | S2], S3]) :- ok(F1, S2).
move([1, 3], [[F1 | R1], S2, S3], [R1, S2, [F1 | S3]]) :- ok(F1, S3).
move([2, 1], [S1, [F2 | R2], S3], [[F2 | S1], R2, S3]) :- ok(F2, S1).
move([2, 3], [S1, [F2 | R2], S3], [S1, R2, [F2 | S3]]) :- ok(F2, S3).
move([3, 1], [S1, S2, [F3 | R3]], [[F3 | S1], S2, R3]) :- ok(F3, S1).
move([3, 2], [S1, S2, [F3 | R3]], [S1, [F3 | S2], R3]) :- ok(F3, S2).

from / to state before state after condition
When is it ok to move a disk onto a stack? Assume the disks are represented by numbers 1, 2, 3, ... with smaller numbers representing smaller disks.

\[
\text{ok}(\_ , \ [ \ ]).
\]

\[
\text{ok}(\text{A}, \ [\text{B} \mid \_]) :- \text{smaller}(\text{A}, \text{B}).
\]

\[
\text{smaller}(\text{A}, \text{B}) :- \text{A} < \text{B}.
\]
Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (4)

towers([S1, S2, S3], Moves) will mean that Moves is a valid move sequence that results in S1 and S2 being empty (so all disks are on S3).

towers([S1, S2, S3], Seen, Moves) means the same, except that Seen will be a list of all previous states (to prevent infinite looping).

towers(InitialState, Moves) :- towers(InitialState, [], Moves).

towers([[ ], [ ], _], _, [ ]). % final state, no more moves

towers(Before, Seen, [Move | Moves]) :-
  nonMember(Before, Seen),
  move(Move, Before, After),
  towers(After, [Before | Seen], Moves).
  only consider if Before not already seen
  recurse
Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (5)

Auxiliary Predicates:

\[
\text{nonMember}(X, L) :- \neg \text{member}(X, L).
\]

\[
\text{member}(X, [X | _]) .
\]

\[
\text{member}(X, [_ | L]) :- \text{member}(X, L).
\]
Reverse the pegs by moving peg “forward” or jumping forward over a peg of either color.

Work out a depth-first solution in Prolog.
(You don’t have to check for cycles, because there can’t be any.)
One interpretation of “non-deterministic”:
- Find *all* solutions by finding one solution.
- Solutions can here be for the overall problem or a sub-problem.
Example of ND Programming

- `member(X, [X | _]).`
- `member(X, [_ | L]) :- member(X, L).`

- We think about this as generating or checking a solution.
- We can use it to generate all solutions.
Example of ND Programming

- permutation(X, Y) is true if list Y is a permutation of list X.

- An attempt:
  permutation(X, Y) :- sort(X, Z), sort(Y, Z).

- This is logical, but doesn’t work; the built-in sort is uni-directional.
Permutation

- permutation([], []).  
- permutation(L, [A | M]) :-  
  member(A, L, Residue),  
  permutation(Residue, M).

- member(A, [A | X], X).
- member(A, [B | X], [B | Y]) :- member(A, X, Y).
% slowsort(X, Y) is true when Y is a sorted permutation of X.

slowsort(X, Y) :- permutation(X, Y), sorted(Y).

% sorted(Y) is true when Y is a list of elements in non-decreasing order

sorted([]).

sorted([_]).

sorted([A, B | X]) :- A @=< B, sorted([B | X]).
N-Queens Problem (NDP)

- Two queens on a chessboard are "attacking" if they are in a common row, column, or diagonal.

- Given a board size N, find a solution (or all solutions) for placing N queens so that no two are attacking.
Example, $N = 4$

State representation:

$[[1, 3], [2, 1], [3, 4], [4, 2]]$
Solving Queens

- Given a list of columns and unoccupied rows:
  - If columns is empty, succeed.
  - For the first column:
    - If there is a row where the queen is not being attacked, place it and recurse.

  If no such row, fail (backtrack).
queens(N, S) :-
    range(1, N, Range),
    solve(Range, Range, [], Solution),
    reverse(Solution, S).

% solve(Rows, Cols, Acc, Solution)

solve([], _, Acc, Acc).

solve([Col | Cols], Rows, Acc, Sol) :-
    member(Row, Rows, Residue),
    noAttack([Col, Row], Acc),
    solve(Cols, Residue, [[Col, Row] | Acc], Sol).
% noAttack([Col, Row], Pairs) succeeds if pair [Col], Row] does not
% attack the other Pairs, assuming the other Pairs don't attack each o

noAttack(_, []). noAttack([Col1, Row1], [[Col2, Row2] | Pairs]) :-
  Col1 =\= Col2, Row1 =\= Row2, abs(Col1-Col2) =\= abs(Row1-Row2),
  noAttack([Col1, Row1], Pairs).
member(A, [A | X], X).
member(A, [B | X], [B | Y]) :- member(A, X, Y).

range(M, N, []) :- M > N.
range(M, N, [M | L]) :- M =< N, M1 is M+1, range(M1, N, L).

reverse(L, M) :- reverse(L, [], M).
reverse([], M, M).
reverse([], M, M).
reverse([A | L], M, R) :- reverse(L, [A | M], R).
Prolog Perspective

- A complete programming language

- Not a complete logic language
  - Restricted to “Horn Clauses”
  - Restricted form of negation
  - Quantifiers not completely general
  - Built-in arithmetic not reversible

- More powerful logic systems exist, e.g.
  - Otter (see CS 80 or 151)
Contemporary Extensions of Prolog

- Constraint logic programming
- Inductive logic programming
- Lambda-prolog
- Goedel
- Parallel prologs
- Prolog++
- ... (The list is quite long.)