Deeper ●
- Why are requirements errors so expensive to fix later?
  Because they are the basis for the architecture, design, specifications, and code. Changing the requirements could necessitate redoing all of that other work.
- Beyond cost to fix, why are they more important than other types of errors? Because they are almost sure to translate into customer satisfaction issues.

Deeper ●
- Why are we talking about definitions of quality in the context of “requirements”?
  If we need a product to be of a particular quality, we must be able to define (and measure) the product characteristics that will give it that level of quality.

Deeper ●
- Are developer-facing characteristics legitimately sources of requirements?
  It is a requirement that the software be built, supported and maintained. Factors that bear on the ease of development and maintenance can make or break a product. It is common to require internal features that will enable future features or cost savings.

Deeper ●
- Are there conflicts between some of the elements of quality?
  Conflicts, while not inevitable, are common: efficiency can conflict with modularity; robustness can conflict with simplicity. McConnell table 20-1 shows such trade-offs.
- How to optimize multi dimensionl quality?
  Treat it like a linear programming problem:

\[ \text{Qual} = \sum_{i = \text{qual}} \text{weight} \times \text{qual} \]
Deeper

• Should all lower level requirements be traceable to higher level requirements?

Lower level requirements are mostly expansions of higher level requirements. We should not “make up” new requirements as we elaborate our plans and designs. But – many requirements do not come from the product concept (e.g. legal and standards compliance), and so may not have been mentioned in user level requirements gathering activities.

Deeper

• Why might an “interface specification” be considered a requirement, rather than a specification or design detail?

Internal interfaces usually are considered to be design details. External interfaces affect interoperability with other products and services, and interoperability constraints are clearly requirements.

Deeper

• Give examples of ambiguous or unbounded requirements.

Response time to trivial request must be good.
System must be scalable to large loads.
System must be robust to user errors.
All code to be thoroughly tested.
How can we fix them?
Often, by turning them into (non-arbitrary) quantitative statements.

Deeper

• Why must requirements be traceable to an underlying problem?

Requirements are often misunderstood. Being able to trace it back to the problem it is supposed to solve will help to ensure that we do solve the problem.
Why traceable to a particular person?
If we need to verify or seek clarification on a requirement, we need to know who to ask.

Deeper

• Why must requirements be prioritized?

When we are trying to reconcile conflicting goals, or impossible schedules, we need to be able to balance them against one another or to order our requirements so that we can still satisfy the most important ones.

Deeper

• Why do we say that requirements should say what, but not how?

This might over-constrain the problem, making it more difficult to reconcile competing requirements.
Product design is driven by many factors other than functional requirements. Most users do not understand the implementation technology and framework and so are not competent to design a viable implementation.
Deeper • Why might it make sense to specify design or implementation details in a set of design requirements?
The use (or reuse) of particular components or technology may be part of a strategic initiative or significantly lower engineering costs.
Organizational realities (like multi-site or outsourced development) could necessitate independence of selected components.
Such considerations often impose requirements on system and component designs.

Deeper • Why should all requirements be testable?
If it is a requirement, we should test it as part of our product validation. If it is not testable, how will we know if we have satisfied it?
In most cases, requirements are non-testable because they are vague. It is often difficult to clarify these issues, but the reward is a much clearer understanding of our goal, and much greater chance of achieving it.

Deeper • What could reasonably give rise to unachievable requirements?
Somebody could easily want something, that turned out to be beyond our technological capabilities.
Some managers confuse requirements with stretch goals. The former must be achieved. The latter, we would like to achieve (or at least get closer to).

Deeper • Why is it important that the implications of each requirements change be studied and understood before it is accepted?
An ill considered requirements change could greatly affect the amount of work involved, or even the feasibility of a project.
If the change is made late in the project, it could invalidate large amounts of work.
This doesn't mean no changes … but it does mean we should weigh each carefully.

Deeper • Why is it important to track the dependencies of requirements to specifications and other requirements?
This cross referencing will help us to more quickly and completely understand the implications of proposed requirements changes.
When a requirement changes, we know what specifications must change (and which groups must be consulted).

Deeper • Why is it important to identify the full range of likely stake-holders?
Any stake holder may be a source of key requirements. If we fail to talk to a stake holder, we may miss key requirements that they could have contributed.
Many stake holders will be only briefly involved in a project … but they may still offer valuable input during that time.
Deeper

• Why is the difference between a domain expert and a potential user?
  Domain experts might include consultants, sales people, and managers who know the domain but wouldn’t actually use the product. They are often more technically savvy.

• Why is it critical to talk to potential users?
  These are the people we must ultimately satisfy.

Deeper

• Why do we want to describing our product in too much detail?
  We don’t want to bias their thinking.

• Why do we want to avoid “selling” our product?
  The purpose and value of this meeting is gathering input about what these users need. Other discussions would shift attention or take time away from that goal.

Deeper

• Why is it important to start an interview with general and open-ended questions about what they do and how, and what problems they face?
  1. This is the context within which our product must operate.
  2. We are giving them room to tell us things that we didn’t know to ask about, and to describe problems we were not aware of.

Deeper

• What can we do when we seem to have conflicting or contradictory requirements?
  Start by understanding them, the underlying issues may not truly be in conflict. It may be possible to define a reasonable trade-off or to offer different options for different situations. Assess the likelihood of the respective situations and the importance of the associated requirements, and let priorities guide your decision.

Deeper

• How do we decide when we have gathered “enough” input?
  Do we have input from all "key" stake-holders?
  Do we have high quality input from representative samples of all major classes of stake-holders?
  Is the input we have been receiving starting to converge?

Deeper

• How do we know if the correct approach is more input, or re-prioritization?
  Understand the reasons why the proposal was not accepted. Typical problems are:
  1. Differing perceptions of relative priorities.
  2. Incomplete understanding of project constraints (on one or both sides).
  3. A key stake-holder who did not have adequate input.
Deeper

• Why is value/cost/risk the right way to decide what to keep in a proposed release?
  Value: because we want to deliver the greatest possible customer value.
  Cost: because price-performance helps us get the best return on invested effort.
  Risk: because a product that might not be buildable, or a badly missed schedule is a lose for everyone.

Deeper

• How does XP manage requirements?
  They are maintained, as user stories, on 3x5 cards. These stories evolve over time as they are refined through prototyping and user feedback.

Deeper

• Which of these steps would or would not make sense in an XP project?
  – Inception is still done
  – Concept development is still done
  – Gathering is done iteratively, feedback vs. elicitation
  – Evaluation/Prioritization is still done
  – Integration/Reconciliation is still done
  – Validation is by customer feedback

  The basic process does not greatly change.

Deeper

• What bottom lines do customer facing quality dimensions translate into?
  User productivity and Total Cost of Ownership.

• What bottom lines do producer facing quality dimensions translate into?
  Developer productivity, and total cost of development and support.

Deeper

• With so many dimensions of quality, how can we define the quality goals for a particular project?
  “Once you start identifying your users and what they find valuable, you can start to put coefficients on each type of quality, and come up with a mix that is good for this particular product and release.”