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What is this?

- A type of computation model and declarative programming language.

- It accommodates a different mind-set from conventional languages.

- Uses:
  - Artificial intelligence applications
  - Language understanding and translation
  - Knowledge representation
  - Databases
Why study this?

- Expands expressiveness over what we have seen so far.

- A good language for learning about computational paradigms based on:
  - certain forms of logic
  - non-determinism
  - backtracking
Who uses Prolog?

- People who want to have a broad set of intellectual and problem-solving tools at their disposal.

- People who develop systems based on knowledge and reasoning.

- People who are not afraid of the unconventional.
Advantages of Logic-Programming Succinctness

- Code moves closer to concepts (and farther from machine details).
- Multiple purposes served by a single piece of code.
- Easier to ascertain correctness.
- Easier to modify, for purposes of software evolution.
Prolog evolved out of research on language translation at the University of Aix-Marseille back in the late 60's and early 70's.

Alain Colmerauer and Phillipe Roussel, both of University of Aix-Marseille, collaborated with Robert Kowalski of the University of Edinburgh to create the underlying design of Prolog as we know it today.

Kowalski contributed the theoretical framework on which Prolog is founded, while Colmerauer's research at that time provided means to formalize the Prolog language.
The ISO Prolog standard: ISO/IEC 13211-1 published in 1995, aims to standardize the existing practices of the many implementations of the core elements of Prolog. It has clarified aspects of the language that were previously ambiguous and leads to portable programs. The standard is maintained by the ISO X3J17 committee.

ISO = “International Standards Organization”
Logic vs. Functions

- Logic programming and functional programming are two types of declarative programming.

- In functional programming, a high-level solution function is realized by composing simpler functions.

- In logic programming, a predicate expressing a goal that the solution is expected to fulfill is decomposed into simpler predicates, using logic.
Predicate vs. Function Preview

- Function `append`:
  \[(append '(a b c) '(d e)) \Rightarrow '(a b c d e)\]

- Predicate `append`:
  \[append([a, b, c], [d, e], Z). \Rightarrow Z = [a, b, c, d, e]\]
But Predicates Offer More Options

- **Predicate append:**
  - \texttt{append([a, b, c], [d, e], Z).}
    \[\Rightarrow Z = [a, b, c, d, e]\]
  - \texttt{append([a, b, c], Y, [a, b, c, d, e]).}
    \[\Rightarrow Y = [d, e]\]
  - \texttt{append(X, Y, [a, b, c, d, e]).}
    \[\Rightarrow X = [], Y = [a, b, c, d, e]\]
    \[\Rightarrow X = [a], Y = [b, c, d, e]\]
    \[\Rightarrow X = [a, b], Y = [c, d, e]\]

  - **Tying these ideas together into a coherent logical framework** is the contribution of logic programming.
Varieties of Logic

- **Proposition Logic:**
  - Propositions are symbols which may be assigned one of two values:
    - true
    - false
  - without regard to specific individuals.

- **Predicate Logic:**
  - Predicates can be viewed functions from a **domain of individuals** to \{true, false\}.
  - The domains are, e.g. strings, numbers, lists, and various other structures.
Comparison

- **Propositions:**
  - hmc_is_great
  - caltech_is_in_glendale

- **Predicates (X and Y are variables)**
  - domain = \{caltech, glendale, hmc, ...\}
  - is_great(X)  
    - is_great(hmc)
  - is_in(X, Y)  
    - is_in(caltech, glendale)
Logic of Implication
(Prolog Style)

- **Logical rule:**
  
  $$Q :\neg P_1, P_2, P_3.$$ 
  
  means that proposition or predicate application

  **Q is implied by** the **conjunction** of $P_1, P_2,$ and $P_3$:

  If each of $P_1, P_2, P_3$ are true, then $Q$ is true.

  If one of $P_1, P_2, P_3$ is false, nothing is claimed.
This is a clause:

\[ Q :\neg P_1, P_2, P_3. \]

- \( Q \) is called the head.
- \( P_1, P_2, P_3 \) comprise the body.
- Each of \( Q, P_1, P_2, P_3 \) are individually called goals.
Example

- Things to do to prepare for an exam.
  - Attend all the lectures.
  - Read the book.
  - Do the problems.
  - Be tutored by someone.

- or, maybe you already know it all.
Example Clause

- prepared_for_exam :-
  read_book,
  worked_problems,
  attended_lectures.
Example Clause

- `prepared_for_exam :-
  knows_it_all.`
Example Clause

- prepared_for_exam :-
  tutored_by_someone_prepared.
Facts

- Facts are clauses with an empty body.
- They assert the truth of something without qualification.
Examples of Possible Facts

read_book.
worked_problems.
attended_lectures.
knows_it_all.
tutored_by_someone_prepared.

Depending on the facts present, a goal
prepared_for_exam
maybe inferred as true or not.
Success and Failure

- A goal is presented interactively to Prolog as:

  $?- \text{prepared\_for\_exam.}

Depending on the facts, this goal may succeed or fail.
Success and Failure

- A goal **succeeds** provided one of:
  - There is a **fact** that matches the goal, or
  - There is a **clause**,
    - the head of which matches the goal, and
    - all goals in the body **succeed**.

- [Notice the first blue bullet is really a special case of the second.]

- If a goal doesn’t succeed, then it **fails**.
Success and Failure Examples

- If the facts are:
  ```prolog
  attended_lectures.
  read_book.
  worked_problems.
  ```
  and there is just one clause:
  ```prolog
  prepared_for_exam :-
  read_book,
  worked_problems,
  attended_lectures.
  ```
  then the goal
  ```prolog
  ?- prepared_for_exam.
  ```
succeeds. If any of the facts is missing, the goal fails.
Success and Failure Examples

- If the facts are:
  
  read_book.
  attended_lectures.
  tutored_by_someone_prepared.

  and there is a clause:
  
  prepared_for_exam :-
  tutored_by_someone_prepared.

  then the goal
  
  ?- prepared_for_exam.

  succeeds.
Two Compatible Interpretations of Prolog Execution

- **Logical interpretation:**
  - Implications and facts, logical proof.

  prepared :- read, worked, attended.

  “If you’ve read, worked, and attended, then you’re prepared.”

- **Procedural interpretation:**
  - Goals, backtracking, etc.

  “To prepare, (you can) read, work, attend.”
How Prolog Works: Depth-First Search

- In general, there can be several goals, all of which need to succeed.

- Think of these goals as being kept in a stack.

- **Success** occurs when the stack is empty.

- The first goal is removed from the stack.

- Prolog searches for a clause having a matching head.
  - If none is found, then there is overall failure.
  - If a matching fact is found, then execution continues with the rest of the stack.
  - If a matching clause is found, then the clauses in the body of the clause are pushed onto the stack (with the leftmost goal now at the top) and execution continues with the new list.
Backtracking

- When a failure occurs, Prolog does not necessarily stop. It goes back to the previous clause it used, and restores the list to the way it was just before. (It “backtracks”.)

- It then tries any alternative clauses that follow that clause.

- Clauses are tried in the order listed in the program, until there are no more clauses left.

- For new each goal on the stack, searching starts afresh.
Backtracking Example

- Suppose the clauses and facts are:
  - prepared_for_exam :-
    read_book,
    worked_problems,
    attended_lectures.
  - prepared_for_exam :-
    tutored_by_someone_prepared.
  - read_book.
  - worked_problems.
  - tutored_by_someone_prepared.

- Consider the goal
  ?- prepared_for_exam.
Backtracking Example

- The initial goal stack is:
  - [prepared_for_exam]

- After a match with the first clause, the stack becomes:
  - [read_book, worked_problems, attended_lectures]

- then, as facts are matched away, the stack becomes:
  - [attended_lectures]

- but here there is no matching fact or clause. Backtracking occurs, eventually restoring the list to:
  - [prepared_for_exam]

- The next clause that matches changes the list to:
  - [tutored_by_someone_prepared]

- The first goal matches a fact, leaving:
  - []

- The original goal thus succeeds.

```
prepared_for_exam :-
    read_book,
    worked_problems,
    attended_lectures.

prepared_for_exam :-
    tutored_by_someone_prepared.
```

```
read_book.
worked_problems.
tutored_by_someone_prepared.
```
Prolog’s Version of Negation

- Facts can only be asserted in the positive sense.

- Negation can be tested, but not asserted.

- Negation is “negation as failure”:
  \(+Goal\)
  succeeds iff \(Goal\) fails.

- Etymology: In classical logic, \(\models G\) means “\(G\) is provable”.
  \(+\) is a “smiley” version of “is not provable”.
A Negation Example

- The clause

```prolog
prepared_for_exam :-
    read_book,
    worked_problems,
    attended_lectures,
    \+ slept_during_lectures.
```

will enable

```prolog
prepared_for_exam
```
to succeed by this clause only if

```prolog
slept_during_lectures
```
does not succeed.
Predicate vs. Propositional Goals

- The goals so far have been propositional:
  - Each is either invariably true (succeeds) or false (fails).

- Using predicates, success or failure depends on arguments.

- Each fact and clause can have one or more arguments.
Let’s say the class contains \{bob, fred, judy, sam\}, and the facts are:

- `read_book(fred)`.
- `read_book(judy)`.
- `worked_problems(judy)`.
- `attended_lectures(fred)`.
- `attended_lectures(judy)`.
- `tutored_by(fred, bob)`.
- `tutored_by(sam, judy)`.
Predicate Form of Exam Passing

\[
\text{prepared} \_\text{for}\_\text{exam}(X) :\sim
\begin{align*}
&\text{read} \_\text{book}(X), \\
&\text{worked} \_\text{problems}(X), \\
&\text{attended} \_\text{lectures}(X).
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{prepared} \_\text{for}\_\text{exam}(X) :\sim
\begin{align*}
&\text{tutored} \_\text{by}(X, Y), \\
&\text{prepared} \_\text{for}\_\text{exam}(Y).
\end{align*}
\]
Extreme Case-Sensitivity

In Prolog,

- Variables always start with upper-case or underscore ‘_’.
- Things that start with lower-case are:
  - Predicates, propositions
  - Data items (**atoms**):
    Similar to symbols in Scheme

- Data items can also start with upper-case **if singly-quoted**, e.g. ‘John Hancock’.

- Unlike Scheme, dash ‘-’ is **not** considered to be just another letter. (It is an infix “functor”.)
Case Sensitivity, Arity

- read_book(fred).
- prepared_for_exam(X) :-
  tutored_by(X, Y),
  prepared_for_exam(Y).

Variables:
- \( X, Y \)

Atoms:
- fred

Predicates:
- read_book/1, prepared_for_exam/1, tutored_by/2.

/N indicates the \textbf{arity} (number of arguments) of the predicate. Predicate names can be overloaded.
Matching = Unification

- **Unify** means: “make the same”.
  - Two **atoms** are unifiable iff identical.

- A **variable** can be unified with anything (even another variable), by substituting the latter thing for the variable.

- Two predicate expressions can be unified, provided that:
  - The predicate names are identical.
  - The number of arguments is the same in both.
  - Each of the arguments can be pairwise-unified, by a **common substitution**.
### Prolog Unification Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term 1</th>
<th>Term 2</th>
<th>Unifiable?</th>
<th>Substitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fred</td>
<td>bob</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fred</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← fred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>bob</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← bob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>X ← Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| p(X, Y)  | p(fred, bob) | Yes    | X ← fred  
|          |          |            | Y ← bob       |
| p(X, bob)| p(fred, Y) | Yes        | X ← fred  
|          |          |            | Y ← bob       |
| p(X, bob)| p(fred, X) | No         |                |
## More Unification Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term 1</th>
<th>Term 2</th>
<th>Unifiable?</th>
<th>Substitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p(X, X)</td>
<td>p(fred, bob)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| p(X, f(Y))  | p(Y, Z)     | Yes        | X ← Y  
              Z ← f(Y) |
| p(a, f(Y))  | p(Y, Z)     | Yes        | Y ← a  
              Z ← f(a) |
| p(g(Z), f(Y)) | p(Y, Z)   | Yes (but only in Prolog) | Y ← g(f(g(f(f(..  
              Z ← f(g(f(g(.. |
## Quiz 1: Complete the Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term 1</th>
<th>Term 2</th>
<th>Unifiable?</th>
<th>Substitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p(X, \text{fred}) )</td>
<td>( p(\text{fred}, X) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(X, Y) )</td>
<td>( p(Y, Z) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(b, f(b)) )</td>
<td>( p(f(X), b) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(a, X) )</td>
<td>( p(a, f(a)) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(X, f(Z), Z) )</td>
<td>( p(a, X, a) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p(X, Y, Z) )</td>
<td>( p(f(Y), g(Z), a) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use = in Command to Check Unifiability

?- p(a, f(Y)) = p(Y, Z).
Y = a,
Z = f(a)
Yes

?- p(X, X) = p(fred, bob).
No

?- p(g(Z), f(Y)) = p(Y, Z).
Z = f(g(**)),
Y = g(f(**))
Yes
The variables of a clause are purely local to the clause. Variables do not connect one clause to another.

When searching for a match, the variables in a clause are first renamed uniformly across the clause so that they are distinct from any variables that might be in the goal.

Any substitution applied to a goal is applied to all remaining goals in the list.
Example

- **Clauses:**
  - `prepared_for_exam(bob).`
  - `tutored_by(fred, bob).`
  - `prepared_for_exam(X) :- tutored_by(X, Y), prepared_for_exam(Y).`

- **Goals:**
  - `[prepared_for_exam(fred)]`

- **Substitution:**
  - `X1 ← fred`

- **New goals:**
  - `[tutored_by(fred, Y1), prepared_for_exam(Y1)]`

- **Substitution:**
  - `Y1 ← bob`

- **New goals:**
  - `[prepared_for_exam(bob)]`
Recursive Example

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{child(Parent, Child, Graph)} & : - \\
& \text{member([Parent, Child], Graph).}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{isDescendant(Ancestor, Desc, Graph)} & : - \\
& \text{child(Ancestor, Desc, Graph).}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{isDescendant(Ancestor, Desc, Graph)} & : - \\
& \text{child(Ancestor, Child, Graph),} \\
& \text{isDescendant(Child, Desc, Graph).}
\end{align*}
\]

?- isDescendant(e, a, [[a,b], [b, c], [c,d], [b,e], [a,f]]).
Yes
Prolog’s Data Types

- Atoms: x, abc, y99, this_is_too
- Numbers: 789, 15.3e-27
- Terms: f(x, 789)
- Lists (special type of term):
  - [red, green, blue]
  - [[red, 10], [green, 20], [blue, 50]]
Throw-Away Variable

- Variables beginning with `_` (including `_` itself) are “throw away” or “don’t care”.

- They match anything.

- `_` by itself is extremely “wild”: it does not even need to unify with other instances of the same variable.
Data are stored as predicate facts (aka “relations”).

Queries are goals.

Substitutions (resulting from unifications) are results.
Relational Database Example

Three relations:

\[ \text{lives} \subseteq \text{names} \times \text{dorms} \] (as a set of pairs)

\[ \text{takes} \subseteq \text{names} \times \text{depts} \times \text{numbers} \] (as a set of 3-tuples)

\[ \text{tutors} \subseteq \text{names} \times \text{depts} \times \text{numbers} \]
Relational Database Example

Sample Queries:

Who lives in South dorm?
\[ \text{lives}(X, 'South') \]

Who lives in East dorm and takes CS 60?
\[ \text{lives}(X, 'East'), \text{takes}(X, 'CS', 60) \]

Who takes a CS course?
\[ \text{takes}(X, 'CS', _) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lives name</th>
<th>dorm</th>
<th>takes name</th>
<th>dept</th>
<th>number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Toshiko</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tutors name</th>
<th>dept</th>
<th>number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naima</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Express as Prolog Queries:

Who takes a CS course and tutors a Math course?

What tutors live in West dorm?

Who lives in East dorm that is not a tutor?
canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

% lives(N, D) means that person named N lives in dorm D
lives(john, east).
lives(naima, south).
lives(alice, west).
lives(toshiko, east).
lives(roy, north).
lives(albert, south).

% takes(N, D, C) means that person named N takes course C in department D
takes(john, cs, 60).
takes(naima, cs, 60).
takes(alice, cs, 60).
takes(toshiko, cs, 5).
takes(albert, cs, 60).
takes(roy, math, 55).
takes(naima, math, 55).
takes(alice, math, 70).
takes(toshiko, math, 80).
takes(albert, math, 55).

% tutors(N, D, C) means that person named N tutors course C in department D
tutors(john, cs, 5).
tutors(naima, cs, 5).
tutors(roy, math, 3).
tutors(alice, math, 55).
tutors(albert, math, 4).
Solving Goals with Variables

- Variables get **bound** during matching.

- They get **unbound** during backtracking, but never before.

- After backtracking, they may be **re-bound**.

- Amazingly, this all can be viewed declaratively.
Goal Succession:
Depth-First Execution in Prolog: Query 1

canTutor(alice, Y).

variable, since starts with upper-case
Goal Succession:
Depth-First Execution in Prolog: Chaining

canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

Yellow denotes instance of rule or fact in knowledge base.

Same variable in original goal.
canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

takes(Y, math, 55)

Yellow denotes instance of rule or fact in knowledge base.

Green denotes variable binding.
Dept = math
Number = 55
Goal Succession:
Depth-First Execution in Prolog: Result 1a

canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

takes(Y, math, 55).

takes(roy, math, 55).

Y = roy

(Empty)
Goal Succession: Undoing Binding on Failure

canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
  tutors(X, Dept, Number),
  takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

take(Y, math, 55).

takes(roy, math, 55).

Yellow denotes instance of rule or fact in knowledge base.

Red denotes variable binding
Dept = math
Number = 55

takes(Y, math, 55).

undo former binding; try for another result
Goal Succession: Retrying

canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

takes(Y, math, 55).
canTutor(alice, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

depth = math
Number = 55

takes(Y, math, 55).

takes(naima, math, 55).

new binding

(empty)

Y = naima

result binding
canTutor(X, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(X, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(john, cs, 5).

takes(Y, cs, 5).

takes(toshiko, cs, 5).

X = john
Dept = cs
Number = 5

(EMPTY)

X = john
Y = toshkio

result binding
Deeper Backtracking

canTutor(X, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
  tutors(X, Dept, Number),
  takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(X, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(naima, cs, 5).

takes(Y, cs, 5).

takes(toshiko, cs, 5).

X = naima
Dept = cs
Number = 5

X = john
Y = toshkio

(empty)
Deeper Backtracking

canTutor(X, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
    tutors(X, Dept, Number),
    takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(roy, math, 3).

fails

X = roy
Dept = math
Number = 3

takes(Y, math, 3).
canTutor(X, Y).

canTutor(X, Y) :-
tutors(X, Dept, Number),
takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(X, Dept, Number), takes(Y, Dept, Number).

tutors(alice, math, 55).

takes(Y, math, 55).

X = alice
Dept = math
Number = 55

etc.
Summary of Backtracking

- Given a goal, Prolog tries rules in order of occurrence (“top-to-bottom”), using the first rule, the consequent of which matches the goal.

- If the rule has sub-goals, the sub-goals are satisfied in order of occurrence (“left-to-right”), resulting in bindings at each stage.

- If a goal sub-goal fails completely, Prolog retries to satisfy it using the next available option (e.g. the next rule).
Rule and Sub-Goal Ordering

Suppose the goal is \( \text{knows(john, Y, R)} \).

This rule is tried first.

\[
\text{knows(X, Y, living) :- lives(X, Z), lives(Y, Z).}
\]

This sub-goal is satisfied first, binding \( Z \).

This sub-goal is satisfied next.

This rule is tried after the first rule is exhausted.

\[
\text{knows(X, Y, tutoring) :- canTutor(Y, X).}
\]

In effect, we have \textit{disjunction} (or) \textit{among} rules, and \textit{conjunction} (and) \textit{within} rules. Remember that Prolog execution is \textit{depth-first search}. 
And-Or Trees

- In AI, problem-solving trees are typically “And-Or” trees.
- This applies to Prolog’s goals.
“Logical Variables” in Prolog

- A variable in Prolog is like an object that can have one of two states:
  - unbound
  - bound, to some Prolog term, e.g. an individual

- Once the variable is bound, it only gets re-bound in backtracking, which results in removing the former binding first.
Lists in Prolog

- Unlike Scheme lists, Prolog lists use square brackets and require comma separators:
  - [a, b, 123]
  - [[foo, bar], []]

- There is no explicit cons, first, rest. Rather unification is used for this purpose:
  - [First | Rest] = [1, 2, 3, 4] unifies with First ← 1, Rest ← [2, 3, 4]
  - [First | Rest] does not unify with []
Lists in Prolog

- Second, third, etc. are also not necessary:

\[
[\text{First, Second, Third | More}] = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
\]

unifies with:

- First ← 1, Second ← 2, Third ← 2,
- More ← [4, 5]
% movie([Title, Year], Director, Categories), e.g.

movie(['Being John Malkovich', 1999], 'Spike Jonze', [comedy, fantasy]).

% actress(Name, [Birth City, State], Year), e.g.

actress('Drew Barrymore', ['Culver City', 'California'], 1975).

% actor(Name, [Birth City, State], Year), e.g.


% plays(Player, Part, [Title, Year]), e.g.

plays('Ben Affleck', 'Rafe', ['Pearl Harbor', 2001]).
Numeric Aspects

- Numbers can be compared like any other goal:
  - $2 < 3$ succeeds
  - $5 =< -5$ fails

- Numeric comparisons (caution):
  - <  >  =<  >=  =\=  =:=
Numeric Operators: Different!!

2+3 is not 5

It is an unevaluated term, effectively +\((2, 3)\)

The ‘is’ operator causes evaluation:
X is 2+3
binds X to 5.
Numeric relations will also cause evaluation

- $2 < 3+4$ ok as a goal.
  - *is* is not needed here; Evaluation is forced.

- Most numeric functions are *not reversible* as regular goals are.
  - $5 = X+4$ won't solve for $X$ if it isn't bound.

- Arguments to arithmetic functions must be already bound.
Non-deterministic Programming

- One interpretation of “non-deterministic”:
  - Find all solutions by finding one solution.
  - Solutions can here either be for the overall problem or a sub-problem.
Example of ND Programming

- member(X, [X | _]).
- member(X, [__ | L]) :- member(X, L).

We think about this as either:
- checking
- generating
Generating vs. Testing

Consider:

\[\text{member}(X, [X | _]).\]

\[\text{member}(X, [\_ | L]) :- \text{member}(X, L).\]

This predicate can be viewed as a member tester.

It can also be viewed as a member generator.
Generating vs. Testing

test

?- member(3, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]).
yes

?- member(6, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]).
no

generate

?- member(X, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]).
X = 1 ;
X = 2 ;
X = 3 ;
X = 4 ;
X = 5 ;
no
Exercise

- Extend member to have a 3rd argument: the residue left after the first element is removed from the list:

```prolog
?- member(X, [1, 2, 3, 4], R).

X = 1,
R = [2, 3, 4] ;

X = 2,
R = [1, 3, 4] ;

X = 3,
R = [1, 2, 4] ;

X = 4,
R = [1, 2, 3] ;

No
```
Generating with append

**functional**

?- append ([1, 2, 3], [4, 5], Z).

Z = [1,2,3,4,5] ;

no

**relational**

?- append (X, Y, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5])

X = [ ],
Y = [1,2,3,4,5] ;

X = [1],
Y = [2,3,4,5] ;

X = [1,2],
Y = [3,4,5] ;

...

X = [1,2,3,4,5],
Y = [ ] ;

no
Using a Generator as a “for” loop

?- for(I, 5, 8).
I = 5 ;
I = 6 ;
I = 7 ;
I = 8 ;
No

Definition:
for(M, M, N) :- M =< N.
for(I, M, N) :-
    M < N,
    M1 is M+1,
    for(I, M1, N).

Caution: Won’t work in reverse, due to is.
Generating an Infinite Set

?- for(I, 5).
I = 5 ;
I = 6 ;
I = 7 ;
I = 8 ;
.
.
.

Definition:
for(M, M).
for(I, M) :-
    M1 is M+1,
    for(I, M1).

Caution: Won't work in reverse, due to is.
Exercise: Generate all Pairs in $N \times N$

?- pair(I, J).

I = 0
J = 0 ;

I = 0
J = 1 ;

I = 1
J = 0 ;

I = 0
J = 2 ;

I = 1
J = 1 ;
.
.
.

Example of ND Programming

- permutation(X, Y) is true if list Y is a permutation of list X.

- An attempt:
  permutation(X, Y) :- sort(X, Z), sort(Y, Z).

- This is logical, but doesn’t work; the built-in sort is uni-directional.
Permutation

- permutation([], []).  
- permutation(L, [A | M]) :- 
  member(A, L, Residue), 
  permutation(Residue, M).

generalized
member
% slowsort(X, Y) is true when Y is a sorted permutation of X.

slowsort(X, Y) :- permutation(X, Y), sorted(Y).

% sorted(Y) is true when Y is a list of elements in non-decreasing order

sorted([]).

sorted([_]).

sorted([A, B | X]) :- A @=\leq B, sorted([B | X]).
The “42” Game (homework problem)

- **Given:**
  - A set of positive integers: \([2, 4, 5, 7]\)
  - A set of reusable operators: \([+, -, *]\)
  - A target: 24

- **Construct an expression (as a syntax tree) showing how to make the target from the integers.**
Approach to 42: 
Non-Deterministic Programming

- If there is only one integer in the set:
  - There is no choice. Either it is the same as the goal or not.

- Otherwise:
  - Split the integers into two non-empty subsets.
  - Compute a tree that could be constructed from each of the subsets.
  - Choose an operator for the root joining the two trees.
  - Check to see whether the overall tree meets the given target.
Splitting a List

- Only concerned with lists of 2 or more elements (why?)

?- split([1, 2, 3, 4], X, Y).

X = [1, 2, 3]
Y = [4] ;

X = [1, 4]
Y = [2, 3]
Splitting a List

- The tricky part is making sure that you get every way of splitting.

- Ideally you get back each way of splitting only once.
Base Case

- A list of exactly two elements is easy to split.
How to split a list of $> 2$ elements

- Remove an element $E$ from the list (using an extended ‘member’ predicate).
- Recursively split the remaining elements into two.
- Add $E$ back to the first list.
- Exploit symmetry by using an auxiliary predicate and calling it twice from the interface predicate:
  
  - $\text{split}(X, L, R) :\text{-} \text{split2}(X, L, R)$.
  - $\text{split}(X, L, R) :\text{-} \text{split2}(X, R, L)$. 
Strong recommendations

- Don’t evaluate a tree until the final tree is built.

- Don’t try to optimize by evaluating sub-trees during the solution search (at least not for this assignment).
Evaluating a Tree

- Assume we are representing trees as lists:
  - A number is a tree
  - If T1 and T2 are trees, then [Op, T1, T2] is a tree.

- Example:
  - [+, 5, [+ , 6, [-, 7, 8]]]
A Tree Evaluator

- eval(Tree, Value).
- eval(N, N) :- number(N).
- eval([Op, T1, T2], N) :- ...
Expressions as Lists Not Strictly Necessary

- An alternate model (not used spring 2010) is to use the expressions themselves as trees.

- Expressions are not inherently evaluated in Prolog, so their parts can be recovered.
Prolog has a built-in an infix operator precedence parser:

- $3+4\times5$ is really:
  $$+(3, \times(4, 5))$$

How can you be sure? Try unifying:

?- $3+4\times5 = +(3, \times(4, 5))$.

Yes
Evaluating an Expression

The *is* operator will evaluate an expression. *=` (unification) will not:

?- X is 3+4*5.

X = 23

?- X is +(3, *(4, 5)).

X = 23

?- 23 = 3+4*5.

No

?- X = +(3, *(4, 5)), Y is X.

X = 3+4*5,
Y = 23
Composing/Decomposing an Expression

- Infix operator =.. (called “univ”) will build an expression from an operator and arguments, or take an expression apart:

  ?- X =.. [+, 3, 4]. % compose  
  X = 3+4

  ?- 3+4 =.. Y. % decompose  
  Y = [+, 3, 4]
Example for solve42 alternate

?- setof(Exp, solve42a([], [2, 3, 4, 5], 24, Exp), Ans).

Ans =

\[
\begin{align*}
2* (3+ (4+5)), \\
2* (3+ (5+4)), \\
2* (4+ (3+5)), \\
2* (4+ (5+3)), \\
2* (5+ (3+4)), \\
2* (5+ (4+3)), \\
2* (3+4+5), \\
2* (3+5+4), \\
2* (4+3+5), \\
2* (4+5+3), \\
\end{align*}
\]
Generator/Test Example: Map Coloring

A map

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
Map Coloring (2)

A map

Corresponding graph
Map Coloring (3)

Prolog Clause

map([A, B, C, D, E, F, G]) :-
    next(A, B),
    next(A, C),
    next(A, D),
    next(A, E),
    next(B, D),
    next(B, F),
    next(C, D),
    next(C, E),
    next(C, F),
    next(D, F),
    next(E, F),
    next(E, G),
    next(F, G).
Map Coloring (4): Color Constraints

next(X, Y) :- color(X), color(Y), X \( \neq \) Y.

color(red).
color(blue).
...

These and the preceding clause are the entire program.

means individuals are not equal
Version where the colors are presented as a list

- next(X, Y, Colors) :-
  member(X, Colors, Residue),
  member(Y, Residue).

- The generalized member ensures that the second color is not a duplicate (assuming no duplicates in the original list).
Version where the colors are presented as a list

- \text{map}([A,B,C,D,E,F,G], \text{Colors}) :-
  \text{next}(A, B, \text{Colors}),
  \text{next}(A, C, \text{Colors}),
  \ldots
Sudoku is basically a graph-coloring problem, except that we have a "hypergraph" rather than an undirected graph.

- Adjacency is no longer binary. Any squares in the same row, column, or sub-square are considered "adjacent".
Sudograph (pseudo-graph)

$G =$

- List of Nodes (logical variables)
- List of constraints
  - Each constraint is a list of variables
  - No two variables in the same constraint can have the same node values.
Sudograph setup

testSudograph(Nodes, Constraints, Colors):-
    Nodes = [A, B, C, D, E, F, G],
    Constraints = [[A, B, C],
                   [B, C, D],
                   [C, D, E],
                   [D, E, F],
                   [E, F, G],
                   [G, A, B]],
    Colors = [red, blue, green, yellow],
    sudographSolver(Nodes, Constraints, Colors).

?- testSudograph(Nodes, Constraints, Colors).
Colors: [red, blue, green, yellow]
Nodes:  [red, blue, green, red, blue, green, yellow]
Constraints:
    [red, blue, green]
    [blue, green, red]
    [green, red, blue]
    [red, blue, green]
    [blue, green, yellow]
    [yellow, red, blue]
The “Zebra” Problem
(aka “Einstein’s Riddle”?)

Five people of different nationalities, with different occupations, live in consecutive houses on a street. These houses are painted different colors. Each person has a different pet and a different favorite drink.

Given:

1. The English person lives in the red house.
2. The Spanish person owns a dog.
3. The green house is on the right side of the white house.
4. The Italian drinks tea.
5. The Norwegian lives in the first house on the left.
6. The photographer breeds snails.
7. The Norwegian’s house is next to the blue one.
8. The Japanese person is a painter.
9. The fox is in a house next to that of the physician.
10. The diplomat lives in the yellow house.
11. The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
12. The violinist drinks orange juice.
13. The horse is in a house next to that of the diplomat.
14. Milk is drunk in the middle house.

Determine: who owns the zebra?; who drinks water?.
There are 5 “houses”, which can be represented as a parenthesized structure:
- (Nationality, Colo, Occupation, Pet, Drink)

There is a list of 5 houses:
- $L = [\_, \_, \_, \_, \_\_\_]$

Note that order is important in the list (left-to-right).

Each clue places a constraint on the list.

The questions to be answered are:
- Find $X$ where $\text{member}((X, \_, \_, \text{zebra}, \_), L)$.
- Find $Y$ where $\text{member}((Y, \_, \_, \_, \text{water}), L)$.
Translating Clues

1. The English person lives in the red house.
   clue1(L) :- nationality(english, H), color(red, H), house(H, L).

2. The Spanish person owns a dog.
   clue2(L) :- nationality(spanish, H), pet(dog, H), house(H, L).

3. The green house is on the right side of the white house.
   clue3(L) :- color(green, G), color(white, W), rightof(G, W, L).

Helpers:
   house(X, L) :- member(X, L).
   rightof(X, Y, L) :- leftof(Y, X, L).
   leftof(X, Y, [X, Y | _]) :- leftof(X, Y, L). % assume “immediate” left of
   leftof(X, Y, [_ | L]) :- leftof(X, Y, L).
Unifying with House Structures

nationality( N, (N, _, _, _, _, _)).
color( C, (_, C, _, _, _)).
occupation( O, (_, _, O, _, _)).
pet( P, (_, _, _, P, _)).
drink( D, (_, _, _, _, D)).
Using the Clues Together:

clues(L) :-
    clue14(L),  % strategic placement
    clue1(L),
    clue2(L),
    clue3(L),
    clue4(L),
    clue5(L),
    clue6(L),
    clue7(L),
    clue8(L),
    clue9(L),
    clue10(L),
    clue11(L),
    clue12(L),
    clue13(L),
    true.

solution(Z, W, L) :-
    clues(L),
    pet(zebra, H1), nationality(Z, H1), house(H1, L),
    drink(water, H2), nationality(W, H2), house(H2, L).
Tester, with Uniqueness Test
(using if-then-else P -> Q; R)

testZebra :-
solution(Z, W, L)
    -> (solution(_, _, M), L \== M
        -> write('The solution is not unique.'), write('One solution is: '), nl, pprint(L), nl,
        write('Another solution is: '), nl, pprint(M)

        ; write('The solution is unique: '), nl,
        pprint(L), nl,
        write('The '), write(Z), write(' owns the zebra.'), nl,
        write('The '), write(W), write(' drinks water.'), nl
    )

    ; write('There is no solution'), nl.
1. The English person lives in the red house.
   clue1(L) :- nationality(english, H), color(red, H), house(H, L).

2. The Spanish person owns a dog.
   clue2(L) :- nationality(spanish, H), pet(dog, H), house(H, L).

3. The green house is on the right side of the white house.
   clue3(L) :- color(green, G), color(white, W), rightof(G, W, L).

4. The Italian drinks tea.
5. The Norwegian lives in the first house on the left.
6. The photographer breeds snails.
7. The Norwegian’s house is next to the blue one.
8. The Japanese person is a painter.
9. The fox is in a house next to that of the physician.
10. The diplomat lives in the yellow house.
11. The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
12. The violinist drinks orange juice.
13. The horse is in a house next to that of the diplomat.
14. Milk is drunk in the middle house.
N-Queens Problem (NDP)

- Two queens on a chessboard are “attacking” if they are in a common row, column, or diagonal.

- Given a board size \( N \), find a solution (or all solutions) for placing \( N \) queens so that no two are attacking.
Example, N = 4

State representation:

[[[1, 3], [2, 1], [3, 4], [4, 2]]]
Solving Queens

- Given a list of columns and unoccupied rows:
  - If columns is empty, succeed.
  - For the first column:
    - If there is a row where the queen is not being attacked, place it and recurse.
    - If no such row, fail (backtrack).
Queens (1/3)

queens(N, S) :-
    range(1, N, Range),
    solve(Range, Range, [], Solution),
    reverse(Solution, S).

% solve(Rows, Cols, Acc, Solution)

solve([], _, Acc, Acc).

solve([Col | Cols], Rows, Acc, Sol) :-
    member(Row, Rows, Residue),
    noAttack([Col, Row], Acc),
    solve(Cols, Residue, [[Col, Row] | Acc], Sol).
noAttack(_, []).  
noAttack([Col1, Row1], [[Col2, Row2] | Pairs]) :-  
    Col1 =\= Col2,  
    Row1 =\= Row2,  
    abs(Col1-Col2) =\= abs(Row1-Row2),  
    noAttack([Col1, Row1], Pairs).
range(M, N, []) :- M > N.
range(M, N, [M | L]) :- M =< N, M1 is M+1, range(M1, N, L).

Often built-in:

reverse(L, M) :- reverse(L, [], M).
reverse([], M, M).
reverse([A | L], M, R) :- reverse(L, [A | M], R).
More on Predicate Logic:

Quantifiers
In addition to truth function operators of proposition logic, predicate logic introduces quantifiers for expressing variation over individuals:

\((\forall x) p(x)\) : for all \(x\), \(p(x)\)

universal quantifier

\((\exists x) p(x)\) : for some \(x\), \(p(x)\)

existential quantifier
Order of Quantifiers

- \((\forall x) (\exists y) \text{ knows}(x, y)\):
  Everyone knows someone.

- \((\exists x) (\forall y) \text{ knows}(x, y)\):
  Someone knows everyone.

- \((\exists x) (\forall y) \neg\text{ knows}(x, y)\):
  Someone knows no one.

- \((\exists x) (\exists y) \text{ knows}(x, y) \land x \neq y\):
  Someone knows someone other than him/herself.
Quantifiers in Prolog

- In most formulas, quantifiers are implicit:
  - If a variable appears in the head, it is for-all quantified in the rule.
  - If a variable appears in the body, but not the head, it is there-exists quantified.

- Examples:
  - \( p(X, Y) :- q(X), r(X, Y) \) says:
    \((\forall x) (\forall y) [\text{if } (q(x) \text{ and } r(X, Y)) \text{ then } p(X, Y)]\).

  - \( p(X) :- q(X), r(X, Y) \) says:
    \((\forall x) [\text{if } (\exists y) (q(x) \text{ and } r(X, Y)) \text{ then } p(X)]\).
Quantifiers in Prolog

The $\exists$ can be made explicit:

Examples:

- $p(X) :- q(X), r(X, Y)$ says:
  $(\forall x) [if (\exists y) (q(x) and r(X, Y)) then p(X)]$.

- $p(X) :- Y^\exists(q(X), r(X, Y))$ says the same thing.

- $^\exists$ is an “infix” version of $\exists$. 
Where it Really Matters: setof

- Consider
  - setof(X, p(X, Y), Z).

- How is \( Y \) quantified? If you want it to be \( \exists \), the usual case, use:
  
  \[
  \text{setof}(X, Y^p(X, Y), Z).
  \]

- If you leave it off, it is a free variable, and may become bound in solving, in which case all other solutions would use the same \( Y \).

- You won’t get all solutions for all \( Y \) in this case.

- Typical use of the unquantified version:
  - \( r(X, Z) :- \text{setof}(X, p(X, Y), Z). \)
  - Here there is a set of \( Z \) for each possible \( X \).
Special handling of `_` in Prolog

- The variable `_` is special.

- It is called a “throw-away” or “don’t care” variable.

- `_` unifies with anything, but different instances of `_` within the same clause are not unified, unlike other variables.
Other variables beginning with _

- A variable that occurs only once in a clause is called a “singleton variable”.

- Often singleton variables are the result of a typing error, and certain compilers will warn about them.

- To prevent the warning, when this is the intention, use a variable that begins with __, such as __Name rather than Name.
== in Prolog is not unification

- == is literal equality
- a == a succeeds
- a == b fails
- X == a fails if X is unbound (unlike =)
- X = a, X == a succeeds (X becomes bound)
- X == Y fails if either is unbound
\( \text{\textbackslash == in Prolog is literal inequality} \)

- \( \text{a \textbackslash == a fails} \)
- \( \text{a \textbackslash == b succeeds} \)
- \( \text{X \textbackslash == Y succeeds if either is unbound} \)

- \text{There is no \( \text{\textbackslash != (not-unifiable) operator.} \)
- \text{Instead use \textbackslash + X = Y (it is not the case that X = Y).} \)
Other comparison operators

- @<  compare arbitrary terms (e.g. lists)
- @>  in lexicographic order
- @=<
- @>=
Some Reversible Arithmetic can be Simulated with Lists

Number N is represented as a list of N 1’s

\[
\text{sum}([\ ], Y, Y).
\]

\[
\text{sum}([1 \mid X], Y, [1 \mid Z]) :- \text{sum}(X, Y, Z).
\]

The following doesn’t quite work for all inverses. A problem arises in factoring 0.

\[
\text{prod}([\ ], Y, []). 
\]

\[
\text{prod}([1 \mid X], Y, Z) :- \text{prod}(X, Y, Z1), \\
\text{sum}(Z1, Y, Z).
\]
Example: Towers of Hanoi

Move only one disk at a time.
Never place a larger disk on a smaller one.
Solving Towers of Hanoi

- Some approaches:
  - Pre-programmed solution
    - Recursive solution is easy in most languages
  - Let prolog find solution using depth-first search
    - Trickier, but shows off Prolog’s capabilities
    - May not find shortest solution
  - Program breadth-first search in Prolog
    - Still trickier
  - Program iterative-deepening search
    - Easier than breadth-first
To move $N$ disks from stack *From* to stack *To*:
To move $N$ disks from stack \textit{From} to stack \textit{To}:

- Move $N-1$ disks from stack \textit{From} to stack \textit{Other} (the stack other than \textit{From} and \textit{To})

A key point throughout is that the $N-1$ disk moves can be done without violating the constraint that a larger disk not be put atop a smaller one.
To move N disks from stack From to stack To:
- Move N-1 disks from stack From to stack Other (the stack other than From and To)
- Move 1 disk from stack From to stack To
To move $N$ disks from stack \textit{From} to stack \textit{To}:

- Move $N-1$ disks from stack \textit{From} to stack \textit{Other} (the stack other than \textit{From} and \textit{To})
- Move 1 disk from stack \textit{From} to stack \textit{To}
- Move $N-1$ disks from stack \textit{Other} to stack \textit{To}
Data Representation

- Number the disks 1, 2, 3, ... smallest to largest.
- Use numeric value to detect size constraint.
Pre-Programmed Towers of Hanoi(5)

% towers(N, From, To, Moves) means that Moves is the list of
% moves to move N disks from stack From to stack To

towers(N, From, To, Moves) :-
    towers(N, From, To, [ ], ReversedMoves),
    reverse(ReversedMoves, Moves).

% towers(N, From, To, Acc, Moves) means that Moves is the reverse of the
% of moves to move N disks from stack From to stack To, with
% Acc being the reverse of the accumulated moves going in (to avoid appe

% towers(N, From, To, Acc, Moves).

towers(0, _, _, Acc, Acc).

towers(N, From, To, Acc, Moves) :-
    other(From, To, Other),
    N1 is N - 1,
    towers(N1, From, Other, Acc, Moves1),
    towers(N1, Other, To, [ [From, To] | Moves1], Moves).
Depth-First
Towers of Hanoi
First characterize the possible moves.

This is a move from stack 1 to stack 2:

\[
\text{move}([1, 2], [[F1 | R1], S2, S3], [R1, [F1 | S2], S3]) :- \text{ok(F1, S2)}.
\]

provided that it is ok to move disk F1 onto stack S2.
All the possible moves in six rules:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{move}([1, 2], [[F1 | R1], S2, S3], [R1, [F1 | S2], S3]) & :\text{ok}(F1, S2). \\
\text{move}([1, 3], [[F1 | R1], S2, S3], [R1, S2, [F1 | S3]]) & :\text{ok}(F1, S3). \\
\text{move}([2, 1], [S1, [F2 | R2], S3], [[F2 | S1], R2, S3]) & :\text{ok}(F2, S1). \\
\text{move}([2, 3], [S1, [F2 | R2], S3], [S1, R2, [F2 | S3]]) & :\text{ok}(F2, S3). \\
\text{move}([3, 1], [S1, S2, [F3 | R3]], [[F3 | S1], S2, R3]) & :\text{ok}(F3, S1). \\
\text{move}([3, 2], [S1, S2, [F3 | R3]], [S1, [F3 | S2], R3]) & :\text{ok}(F3, S2). \\
\end{align*}
\]
Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (3)

When is it ok to move a disk onto a stack?
Assume the disks are represented by numbers 1, 2, 3, ... with smaller numbers representing smaller disks.

ok(_, []).  
ok(A, [B | _]) :- smaller(A, B).

smaller(A, B) :- A < B.
Depth-First Towers of Hanoi (4)

towers([S1, S2, S3], Moves) will mean that Moves is a valid move sequence that results in S1 and S2 being empty (so all disks are on S3).

towers([S1, S2, S3], Seen, Moves) means the same, except that Seen will be a list of all previous states (to prevent infinite looping).

towers(InitialState, Moves) :- towers(InitialState, [], Moves).

towers([[], [], [], [], []]). % final state, no more moves

towers(Before, Seen, [Move | Moves]) :-
    nonMember(Before, Seen),
    move(Move, Before, After),
    towers(After, [Before | Seen], Moves).% only consider if Before not already seen
    towers(After, [Before | Seen], Moves).
    recurse
Auxiliary Predicates:

\[
\text{nonMember}(X, L) :- \neg \text{member}(X, L).
\]

\[
\text{member}(X, [X \mid \_]).
\]

\[
\text{member}(X, [_ \mid L]) :- \text{member}(X, L).
\]
Exercise

Reverse the pegs by moving peg “forward” or jumping forward over a peg of either color.

Work out a depth-first solution in Prolog.

(You don’t have to check for cycles, because there can’t be any.)
Prolog Perspective

- A complete programming language

- Not a complete logic language
  - Restricted to “Horn Clauses”
  - Restricted form of negation
  - Quantifiers not completely general
  - Builtin arithmetic not reversible

- More powerful logic systems exist, e.g.
  - Otter (see CS 80 or 151)
Contemporary Extensions of Prolog

- Constraint logic programming
- Inductive logic programming
- Lambda-prolog
- Goedel
- Parallel prologs
- Prolog++
- ... (The list is quite long.)