

DSLs Demo / Presentation Rubric

Project participant(s)

Criteria	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Comments
The domain is clear and well-motivated. The presenter(s) described problems faced by experts in this domain and how a language can help.						
The presenter(s) delineated the scope of the DSL. It is clear which elements from this domain are easily accessible to users, which elements are available (though not necessarily easily accessible), and which elements are inaccessible.						
The presenter(s) clearly described the computational model, i.e., what happens when a program executes.						
The presenter(s) clearly described the language implementation, especially the language architecture and how syntactic concepts map to semantics concepts.						
The presenter(s) clearly communicated insights into the design and implementation of a DSL for this domain. If someone else in the class were to implement a DSL for this domain, they would now have a couple of techniques / strategies / design decisions to help them do so.						
The presentation materials were organized and clear.						