

CS181A 11: Miscellany

Digital Signatures

We describe a simple application of RSA for creating digital signatures. In a signature scheme, Alice would like to send a signed message to Bob. The message is signed so that Bob can be confident that the sender of the message is indeed Alice (and not Eve).

Assume that both Alice and Bob have their own RSA public and secret keys. We denote Alice's and Bob's public keys as e_A, e_B whereas their secret keys are d_A, d_B . When Alice needs to send a message m to Bob, she "decrypts" her message using her secret key d_A to get $m' = D_A(m)$. Then, she "encrypts" this using Bob's public keys and obtains $c = E_B(m')$. Bob recovers the *signed* message m' by decrypting c using $m' = D_B(c)$. To verify that the signed message is from Alice, Bob computes "encrypts" m' using Alice's public keys to get $m = E_A(m')$.

Hash functions

An alternative way of implementing a digital signature is to use a cryptographic hash function h which creates a signature $h(m)$ for a message m . The basic requirement on h is that it must be intractable to find a different m' with the same signature as m ; that is, $m \neq m'$ but $h(m) = h(m')$. This is called *existential forgery*.

We describe a simple and natural hash function based on the hardness of the Discrete Logarithm problem. This construction is due to Chaum, van Heijst and Pfitzmann.

Let $p = 2q + 1$ be a safe prime, where both p and q are primes. Suppose α and β are generators for \mathbb{Z}_p^* . Define the hash function $H : \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$:

$$H(x, y) = \alpha^x \beta^y \pmod{p}.$$

Claim 1. *If there is an efficient algorithm to find collision for H , then there is an efficient algorithm to compute $\log_\alpha \beta$ (the discrete logarithm base α of β).*

Proof. Suppose $H(x_1, x_2) = H(x_3, x_4)$, where $(x_1, x_2) \neq (x_3, x_4)$. Let $d = \gcd(x_4 - x_2, p - 1)$. Note $d \in \{1, 2, q, p - 1\}$.

1. Case $d = 1$:

So, there is an integer y so $(x_4 - x_2)y \equiv 1 \pmod{p - 1}$. Since $H(x_1, x_2) = H(x_3, x_4)$, we have

$$\alpha^{x_1 - x_3} \equiv \beta^{x_4 - x_2} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus, $\alpha^{(x_1 - x_3)y} \equiv \beta \pmod{p}$. This implies $\log_\alpha \beta = (x_1 - x_3)y$.

2. Case $d = 2$:

We must have $\gcd(x_4 - x_2, q) = 1$. Thus, there is an integer y so $(x_4 - x_2)y = kq + 1$, for some integer k . Then,

$$\alpha^{(x_1 - x_3)y} = \beta^{(x_4 - x_2)y} = \beta^{kq} \beta = (-1)^k \beta = \pm \beta \pmod{p}.$$

So, either $(x_1 - x_3)y$ or $q + (x_1 - x_3)y$ yields $\log_\alpha \beta$, since $\alpha^q \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

3. Case $d = q$:

Note $-(q - 1) \leq x_4 - x_2 \leq q - 1$ since $x_2, x_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_q = \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$. Thus, $d = q$ is impossible. If $x_4 - x_2 = 0$, then $d = 2q$ instead of $d = q$.

4. Case $d = p - 1 = 2q$:

Since $-(q - 1) \leq x_4 - x_2 \leq q - 1$, we must have since $x_4 - x_2 = 0$. This implies $x_1 = x_3$, which contradicts the assumption that $(x_1, x_2) \neq (x_3, x_4)$. \square

Birthday attack

Suppose we randomly (and uniformly) toss m balls into n bins. What is the probability that there is a bin which contains more than one ball? It is easier to work with the complementary event \mathcal{Z} which is that all n bins contain at most one ball. Let \mathcal{A}_j be the event that the first j balls are tossed into distinct bins and let \mathcal{B}_j be the event that the j -th ball is tossed into an empty bin. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_m] = \prod_{j=1}^m \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{B}_j | \mathcal{A}_{j-1}] = \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j\right),$$

since $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$. Thus, the probability that no bin contains more than one ball is at most $\exp(-m^2/n)$. This probability is a constant (bounded away from zero) if $m \geq \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.

References

- [1] D. Chaum, E. Van Heijst and B. Pfitzmann, “Cryptographically strong undeniable signatures, unconditionally secure for the signer,” in Proc. of *Advances in Cryptology (CRYPTO91)*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **576**, 470-484, Springer-Verlag, 1992.