CS 133: Databases

Fall 2019 Lec 6 – 09/24 Hash-based Indexes

Prof. Beth Trushkowsky

Goals for Today

- Learn how hash-based indexes are constructed
- Understand how operations work on static and dynamic hash indexes, and the impact on cost in I/Os
- Reason about the tradeoffs between approaches to dynamic hash indexes

Anatomy of an Index: Hash-based

- Apply a hash function to search key k to determine which data entries bucket

 N number of buckets, find bucket as hash(k) MOD N
 - Network like the second index entries and since in



Hashing Functions

- Hash function works on search key field(s) of record
- Desirable properties for hash function:
 - Uniform distribution: the same number of search key values map to each bucket, for all possible values
 - Random distribution: at any given point in time, each bucket has the same number of search key values
- In practice
 - Typically operate on a binary representation of the data
 - Can tune hash function to achieve desirable properties (e.g., cryptographic techniques)

We'll use integers in our examples, assume already hashed Bucket # = integer MOD N



Example: Insert 21*,19*, 15* Handling Inserts (before picture) 21 = 10101 • Use global depth to look up bucket in directory 19 = 10011 • If there's room, put data entry there. 15 = 01111 **LOCAL DEPTH** 2 Bucket A 4* 12* 32* 16* GLOBAL DEPTH • Else, if bucket is full, *split* it: 1 2 1* 5* ^{7*} 13* Bucket B - increment local depth of original page 00 01 - allocate new page with new local depth 2 10 - re-distribute records from original page Bucket C 10* 11 double directory if necessary (when local > global) add entry for the new page to the directory DIRECTORY DATA PAGES Insert 20* (10100): Causes Doubling Example: Insert 21*,19*, 15* (before picture) • 21 = 10101 LOCAL DEPTH • 19 = 10011 4* 12*32*16* Bucket A 2 • 15 = 01111 LOCAL DEPTH GLOBAL DEPTH 2 Bucket A 4* 12* 32*16* 2 2 GLOBAL DEPTH 1* 5*21*13* Bucket B 00 01 2 2 2 Bucket B 10

10*

2

15*7*19*

Bucket C

Bucket D

11

1* 5* 21*13*

Bucket C

Bucket D

2

10*

2

7* 19* 15* DATA PAGES

00

01

10

11

DIRECTORY



Extendible Hashing: Comments

- If directory fits in memory, equality search answered with one disk access; else two
- Avoids overflow pages (besides those needed for duplicates/collisions)

Delete:

- If removal of data entry makes bucket empty, can be merged with `split image'
- If each directory element points to same bucket as its split image, can halve directory.

Local vs. Global Depth



Linear Hashing – a Lazier Approach

- Issues with Extendible
 - Completion of an insertion can take a while if it caused a split... have to move data around
- Linear Hashing:
 - Idea: decouple *what is split* from the action that triggers a split
 - A dynamic hashing scheme that handles the problem of long overflow chains without using a directory

Linear Hashing Example

- Avoids directory by:
 - using temporary overflow pages and choosing the bucket that is split in a *round-robin* fashion.
 - For example, when *any* bucket overflows: split the bucket that is currently pointed to by the "Next" pointer and then increment that pointer to the next bucket.



Linear Hashing (Contd.)

- Algorithm proceeds in <u>rounds</u>. Current round number is <u>Level</u>
 - There are $N_{level} = N * 2^{level}$ buckets at the beginning of a round (so $N_0 = N$)
 - Round ends when all initial buckets in the round have been split (i.e., round ends after splitting bucket $Next = N_{level}$.
 - The level determines which hash function to use
- To start next round:





Linear Hashing – The Main Idea

- Use a family of functions h_0 , h_1 , h_2 , ... ٠
- $h_i = hashed key mod(2^iN)$
 - N = initial # buckets (a power of 2)
 - $-h_{i+1}$ doubles the range of h_i (similar to directory doubling in extendible hashing)
- *Note*: at a given time, could be "using" two functions: one function for buckets that have been split vs. ones that haven't



Linear Hashing Search Algorithm

To find bucket for data entry k, first find $h_{level}(k)$. Then:

If $\mathbf{h}_{level}(k) \ge \text{Next}$ (i.e., $\mathbf{h}_{level}(k)$ is a bucket that hasn't been split this round) then k belongs in that bucket for sure.

Else, k could belong to bucket $\mathbf{h}_{level}(k)$ or bucket $\mathbf{h}_{level}(k) + N_{level}$, must apply $\mathbf{h}_{level+1}(k)$ to find out





Extendible vs. Linear

- Extendible
 - Directory grows in spurts, and, if the distribution of hash values is skewed, directory can grow large
- Linear
 - Amount of storage space used could be lower than Extendible Hashing, since splits not concentrated on `dense' data areas
 - Can tune criterion for triggering splits to trade-off slightly longer chains for better space utilization

Exercise 5: Trees vs. Hashes

Relations:

Professors(<u>pid,</u> name, phone) Clubs(<u>name</u>, advisorId, motto)

JOIN algorithm:

for each page of Clubs for each tuple on that page

probe index on Professors.pid to find matching advisorId
// extract necessary fields, etc.

Query:

SELECT C.name, P.name, P.phone

FROM Clubs C, Professors P

WHERE C.advisorId = P.pid;

Which of these two possible indexes on Professors.*pid* would result in fewer I/Os when evaluating the JOIN?

(a). A **B+Tree index** with four levels. Only the root node stays in the buffer pool. Per Clubs tuple: 3 I/Os to get leaf page, another 1 I/O to fetch record

(b). An Extendible hash index. The directory fits in memory and there are no overflow pages. Per Clubs tuple: 1 I/O for bucket, 1 I/O for record