

Deeper 🚫

- What is the advantage of breaking a project down into tasks and sub-tasks?
Tasks are natural units of work, estimation, and assignment.
- What is the advantage of breaking down goals, over breaking down tasks?
We might not yet have defined enough tasks to achieve the goal. Breaking down goals is less likely to make this mistake.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

25

Deeper 🚫

- Give examples of a process-centric work breakdown?
inception, requirements, design, coding, unit testing, system testing, support, ...
- Give examples of a product-centric work breakdown?
web front-end, applets, database, help, installation, management, ...

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

26

Deeper 🚫

- What is the problem with top-down evolution of a hierarchical decomposition?
As with all top-down design, there may be details (discovered in lower levels) that change requirements/estimates and create new dependencies for other tasks.

A schedule is a living document!

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

27

Deeper 🟡

- Is there a better alternative to saying that design can start one month after architecture?
Enumerate sub-tasks within the architecture task, and predicate the design activities on the associated architectural sub-tasks.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

28

Deeper 🟡

- Why do we call this the critical path?
This is the longest path in the project, and the one that will most greatly limit the speed with which the project can be accomplished.
- This is also sometimes referred to as "the long pole in the tent". If you can't get this one up, the others don't matter.*

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

29

Deeper 🟡

- What can we do if the critical path takes too long to complete?
We must, somehow, remove tasks (or work) from the critical path.
 - *perhaps some of the work on the critical path is not actually required (for subsequent tasks on the critical path) and can be broken out into separate sub-tasks (off the critical path).*
 - *perhaps some of the task inter-dependencies can be resolved in other ways, permitting more tasks to proceed in parallel.*

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

30

Deeper ☺

- Give an example of non-specific or non-measurable milestones?
non-specific: system testing
non-measurable: satisfactory throughput
- Why are these characteristics important?
If we are not sure exactly what a milestone means, we may not be sure whether or not we have achieved it.
If we cannot measure a milestone, we may not have an objective basis to determine whether or not we have achieved it.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

31

Deeper ☺

- What is the difference between work and progress?
Work is related effort expended. Progress is related to goals achieved. All effort does not result in progress.
- Why is it important that milestones be tied to progress, rather than work?
Because our goal is not to do work, but rather to achieve goals.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

32

Deeper ☺

- Why is fine-grained progress tracking important?
So that problems can be identified and responded to quickly.
If trackable events are a month apart, we could be a month behind by the time we get our first warning of a problem.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

33

Deeper ☺

- Give examples of “classes of people with specific skills”.
U/I designers, C programmers, COM+ programmers, usability testers
- Are members of these classes really interchangeable?
No, but we can often plan as if they were.
If it makes a difference, call them distinct resources, and schedule them individually.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

34

Deeper ☺

- How would you define a critical resource?
One that forces the serialization of otherwise parallel tasks, and lengthens the critical path.
- How would we fix such a problem?
Get more of that resource.
Re-plan the work so we need less of it.
Schedule (and multiplex) that resource for maximum effectiveness, and watch it very carefully.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

35

Deeper ☺

- How does flat (vs. natural) staffing affect these schedule?
Early resources up front can't be used.
Insufficient resources at the peak stretch out the schedule.
Extra resources at the end, help make up for the work that couldn't be done earlier, but may turn into waste soon thereafter.
Plus ... the extra resource may be the wrong ones.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

36

Deeper

- Why is there a minimum time, below which it is impossible to deliver the project, no matter how much money we spend?

Adding resources can accelerate work when they improve productivity.

Adding people can accelerate work when there are multiple tasks to perform.

When a one-person task is performed as fast as possible, adding more people won't help.

Nine women cannot have a baby in one month.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

37

Deeper

- Why does the cost of the project rise so quickly as we try to accelerate it by adding more people?

The costs of training, communication and management all rise with the staff size.

Having many people work on a single logical task may be very inefficient.

Haste makes waste.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

38

Deeper

- Why does the cost/effort remain relatively flat in the linear range of the curve?

This is the range where there are enough independent tasks to reasonably employ more people ... so that the work could reasonably be done by 2 people in 4 weeks, or by 4 people in 2 weeks.

This is the range in which project planning tools can reasonably operate.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

39

Deeper

- Why does the total cost start going up again if we attempt to spread the project out over an even longer period?

The project is under-staffed to the point where it adversely effects productivity:

- *team may not have experts in required areas*
- *team members cannot pace or help one another*
- *activities may be under-managed*
- *problems take longer to recognize and solve*
- *team may not feel a sense of purpose/urgency*

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

40

Deeper

- Why do we need to ask what tasks people are working on?

The work-breakdown and sub-task definitions, are (like estimates) imprecise.

They may be working on things that had not been included the plan ... in which case the plan may need updating.

They may have been distracted by an interruption ... in which case our priorities must be reassessed or reemphasized.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

41

Deeper

- Why do we ask for peoples' opinions on when they expect to be done?

So that we can project an expected completion date for these activities, and determine whether or not we are still on schedule.

So that we can identify problems and ensure that they are effectively addressed as soon as possible.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

42

Deeper

- What might we do if someone had encountered, and solved a problem?
See if it had an impact on the schedule, or if it suggests a change to our estimates and or plans.
- What might we do if someone had encountered a problem, and not gotten passed it?
*Get them some help.
Attempt to assess the impact to our schedule.
See if it suggests a change to our plans.*

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

43

Deeper

- Is the engineering being dishonest? Is there any reasonable sense in which the work might actually be $\frac{3}{4}$ done?
*It is quite possible that $\frac{3}{4}$ of the code is working.
The problem is that $\frac{3}{4}$ of the code does not represent $\frac{3}{4}$ of the work. This is the misunderstanding that needs to be resolved.*

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

44

Deeper

- Is there a second, completely independent problem in this scenario?
*Did the manager finally just notice that no progress has been made in six weeks?
If the work is proving to be harder than expected, the issues should have been raised and new plans devised six weeks ago.*

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

45

Deeper

- What does it mean for milestones to be “evenly spaced measures of work”?
Milestones should be achieved on a regular basis (e.g. daily or weekly).
- Why does this matter?
If it is a long way between milestones, it might take us a long time to realize that we are not making progress.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

46

Deeper

- Why are earning rules used? Why not just accrue value at task completion?
*If the task granularity is too coarse, earning events may be too infrequent to enable fine grained progress tracking.
Earning rules attempt to recognize that there is starting and progressing through a task does represent an increase in earned value.*

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

47

Deeper

- Suggest some reasonable progressive earning rules for a single component?
*% of planned test cases passed
% of planned routines implemented
all planned modules designed, reviewed, coded, unit-tested, integrated*

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

48

Deeper ☺

- What is the danger of progressive earning rules?

EVA is supposed to produce objective measures of progress. Completion of a task is (hopefully) measurable. Anything less is subjective, and the value of the progress is speculative. We could earn progress points and still never finish.

- Is there an alternative?

Further sub-divide the defined tasks into smaller tasks, with objectively ascertainable completions.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

49

Deeper ☺

- What happens to earned value progress measurement if our planned value chart greatly over-estimated our productivity?

Earned Value remains a valid measure of progress (what fraction of the work is complete) but it will show us to be both behind schedule and over budget.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

50

Deeper ☺

- Can earned value greatly mis-estimate our progress towards completion?

Only if we greatly mis-estimated the relative difficulties of tasks.

As long as we get the relative value (ratios) right, earned value will reasonably track our progress towards completion, and merely show us to be over or under (estimated) cost of construction.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

51

Deeper ☺

- What happens to earned value if the scope (and hence value) of the project increases?

Our percentage complete falls correspondingly.

Our rate of work completion measures are unaffected.

Our tracking vs. budget is also unaffected (assuming the budget is increased to handle the added work).

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

52

Deeper ☺

- Is there any fundamental reason that Earned Value must be computed in dollars?

Dollars are a natural unit, in that both work and products can usually be given a dollar value.

The methodology, however, is not tied to particular units. Any consistent unit that can be used to describe resource expenditures can be used (e.g. staff-months, lab-months, quatlu's, etc).

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

53

Deeper ☺

- Why can automated scheduling tools only be used within the linear range of the PNR effort/time curve?

The increased overheads in the over-staffed and under-staffed ranges result in reduced productivity, which would necessitate adjustments to the task size estimates.

12/5/2007

Project Scheduling and Tracking

54