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Boosting

Learning Goals

- Describe boosting
  - How does boosting improve performance?
- Describe the AdaBoost algorithm
- Describe the loss function for AdaBoost

---

More Tutorials
Robert Schapire (one of the original authors of AdaBoost): [http://rob.schapire.net/papers/explaining-adaboost.pdf](http://rob.schapire.net/papers/explaining-adaboost.pdf)
Gentler overview: [http://mccormickml.com/2013/12/13/adaboost-tutorial/](http://mccormickml.com/2013/12/13/adaboost-tutorial/)
Ensemble Learning

Bagging reduces variance by averaging. Bias did not change.
Can we reduce bias and variance? Yes, boosting!

**Boosting**: Combine simple “weak” base learners into a more complex “strong” ensemble.

**Insight**
- Easy to find “rules of thumb” that are “often” correct
- Hard to find single highly accurate prediction rule

**Approach**
- Devise program for deriving rough rules of thumb
- Apply procedure to subset of examples, obtain rule of thumb
- Repeat previous step

Based on notes by Jenna Wiens and slides by Rob Schapire

Technical Details

Assume we are given a “weak” learning algorithm that can consistently find classifiers (“rules of thumb”) at least slightly better than random (accuracy > 50% in two-class setting).

Then given sufficient training data, a boosting algorithm can provably construct single classifier with very high accuracy.

Based on slide by Rob Schapire
**Strong and Weak Learnability**

Boosting’s roots are in “PAC” (probably approximately correct) learning model

“strong” learner
- Given polynomially many training examples (and polynomial time)
  - target error rate $\varepsilon$
  - failure probability $p$
- Produce classifier with arbitrarily small generalization error (error rate $< \varepsilon$) with high probability $(1 - p)$

“weak” learner
- Given polynomially many training examples (and polynomial time)
  - failure probability $p$
- Produce classifier that is slightly better than random guessing (error rate $< 0.5$) with high probability $(1 - p)$

Weak learners are much easier to create!
Combine weak learners into strong learner!

**Key Details**

How do we choose examples each round?
- Concentrate on “hardest examples”
  (those most often misclassified by previous rules)

How do we combine rules of thumb into single prediction rule?
- Take (weighted) majority vote of rules of thumb

How do we choose weak classifiers?
- Use decision stumps $h(x, \theta) = \text{sgn}(\theta^s_1 x_k + \theta^s_0)$
  where $\theta = ([\theta^s_0, \theta^s_1], k)$
  - encodes location
  - encodes direction of stump (positive, negative)
  - encodes coordinate $k$ that stump depends on

Based on slide by Rob Schapire
Boosting Overview

**Training**
- Start with equal example weights
- For some number of iterations
  - Learn weak classifiers and save
  - Change example weights

**Prediction**
- Get prediction from all weak classifiers
- Make weighted vote based on how well weak classifier did when it was trained

---

Based on slide by David Kauchak

---

**Adaboost** (adaptive boosting) **Algorithm**

Set $\tilde{W}_0^{(i)} = \frac{1}{n}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

For stage $t = 1, \ldots, m$, do

1. Fit classifier $h(x; \hat{\theta}_t)$ to weighted training set (weights $\tilde{W}_{t-1}$)
2. Compute weighted classification error
   $$\hat{e}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{W}_{t-1}^{(i)} \mathbb{I} \left[ y^{(i)} \neq h \left( x^{(i)}; \hat{\theta}_t \right) \right]$$
3. Compute “score” $\hat{\alpha}_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \hat{e}_t}{\hat{e}_t}$ (ln = natural log; new component is assigned vote based on error)
4. Update weights on all training examples
   $$\tilde{W}_{t}^{(i)} = c_t \tilde{W}_{t-1}^{(i)} \exp \left( -y^{(i)} \hat{\alpha}_t h \left( x^{(i)}; \hat{\theta}_t \right) \right)$$
   (where $c_t$ is normalization constant to ensure weights $\tilde{W}_t$ sum to 1)

Return $h_m(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} \hat{\alpha}_t h \left( x; \hat{\theta}_t \right)$
Understanding Adaboost

Set \( \tilde{W}_0^{(i)} = \frac{1}{n} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \)

For stage \( t = 1, \ldots, m \), do

Fit classifier \( h(x; \hat{\theta}_t) \) to weighted training set (weights \( \tilde{W}_{t-1}^{(i)} \))

Compute weighted classification error
\[
\hat{e}_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{W}_t^{(i)} \left[ \left| y^{(i)} \neq h \left( x^{(i)}; \hat{\theta}_t \right) \right| \right]
\]

Compute “score” \( \hat{\alpha}_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1-\hat{e}_t}{\hat{e}_t} \) (in = natural log; new component is assigned vote based on error)

Update weights on all training examples
\[
\tilde{W}_t^{(i)} = c_t \tilde{W}_{t-1}^{(i)} \exp \left( -y^{(i)} \hat{\alpha}_t h \left( x^{(i)}; \hat{\theta}_t \right) \right)
\]
(\( c_t \) is normalization constant to ensure weights \( \tilde{W}_t^{(i)} \) sum to 1)

Return \( h_{\text{final}}(x) = \sum_{t=1}^m \hat{\alpha}_t h \left( x; \hat{\theta}_t \right) \)

We need a classifier that can be trained with weighted examples.

The training algorithm must be fast (since a new classifier is trained at every stage).
Understanding Adaboost

Set $\hat{W}_0^{(i)} = \frac{1}{n}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

For stage $t = 1, \ldots, m$, do

Fit classifier $h(x; \hat{\theta}_t)$ to weighted training set (weights $\hat{W}_{t-1}^{(i)}$)

Compute weighted classification error

$$\hat{\epsilon}_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{W}_{t-1}^{(i)} \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} \neq h(x^{(i)}; \hat{\theta}_t)]$$

Error is a weighted sum of all misclassified examples. Error is between 0 (all examples correctly classified) and 1 (all examples incorrectly classified).

Compute “score” $\hat{\alpha}_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1-\hat{\epsilon}_t}{\hat{\epsilon}_t}$ (In = natural log; new component is assigned vote based on error)

$\hat{\alpha}_t$ measures the importance of $h(x^{(i)}; \hat{\theta}_t)$.

What does it look like (as a function of $\hat{\epsilon}_t$)?

If error < 0.5, then score > 0.
Better classifiers (lower error) are given more weight (higher score).

If error > 0.5, then score < 0.
Flip $h(x; \hat{\theta}_t)$’s predictions. Better “flipped” classifiers (higher error) are given more weight (higher abs score).

If error = 0.5 (no better than random guessing), then score = 0.

Return $h_m(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} \hat{\alpha}_t h(x; \hat{\theta}_t)$

Error is a weighted sum of all misclassified examples.
Understanding Adaboost

Set \( \tilde{W}_0^{(i)} = \frac{1}{n} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \)

For stage \( t = 1, \ldots, m \), do

- Fit classifier \( h(x; \hat{\theta}_t) \) to weighted training set (weights \( \tilde{W}_{t-1} \))
- Compute weighted classification error
  \[
  \hat{\epsilon}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{W}_{t-1}^{(i)} I \left[ y^{(i)} \neq h \left( x^{(i)}; \hat{\theta}_t \right) \right]
  \]
- Compute “score” \( \hat{\alpha}_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \hat{\epsilon}_t}{\hat{\epsilon}_t} \)
- Update weights on all training examples
  \[
  \tilde{W}_t^{(i)} = \frac{c_t \tilde{W}_{t-1}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{W}_{t-1}^{(i)}} \exp \left( -y^{(i)} \hat{\alpha}_t h \left( x^{(i)}; \hat{\theta}_t \right) \right)
  \]
- Predict using weighted vote of component classifiers. Remember, better classifiers (or flipped classifiers) are given more weight.

Return \( h_m(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{m} \hat{\alpha}_t h \left( x; \hat{\theta}_t \right) \)
Dynamic Behavior of Adaboost

If example is repeatedly misclassified
• Each time, increase its weight
• Eventually, it will be emphasized enough to generate ensemble hypothesis that correctly predicts it

Successive member hypotheses focus on hardest parts of instance space
Adaboost Example

Consider binary classification with 10 training examples
Determine a boosted combination of decision stumps that correctly classifies all points

Round 0 (initial)

weight distribution is uniform

\[ W_0^{(i)} = 1 \]
\[ \bar{W}_0^{(i)} = \frac{1}{10} \]
Adaboost Math

Adaboost minimize exponential loss.

\[ L(y, \hat{y}) = e^{-y\hat{y}} \]

(Proof? Office Hours)

Other boosting variants

- squared loss \( \Rightarrow \) L2-boosting
- absolute error / loss \( \Rightarrow \) gradient-boosting
- log loss \( \Rightarrow \) logit-boosting

Adaboost in Practice

Pros

- Fast and simple to program
- No parameters to tune (except \( m \))
- No assumptions on weak learner
- Versatile (has been extended to multiclass learning problems)
- Provably effective, provided can consistently find rough rules of thumb

\( \Rightarrow \) Shift in mind set
  
  goal now is merely to find classifier barely better than random guessing

Cons

- Performance depends on weak learner
- Can fail if
  - Weak classifiers too complex \( \rightarrow \) overfitting
  - Weak classifiers too weak: insufficient data \( \rightarrow \) underfitting; low margins \( \rightarrow \) overfitting
- Empirically susceptible to uniform noise

Based on slide by Eric Eaton
Adaboost Application Example

Face detection

Rapid Object Detection using a Boosted Cascade of Simple Features

Paul Viola
viole@merl.com
Mitsubishi Electric Research Labs
201 Broadway, 8th FL
Cambridge, MA 02139

Michael Jones
mjones@ccs.dec.com
Compaq CRL
One Cambridge Center
Cambridge, MA 02142

Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features

To give you some context of importance...

The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual Web search engine
S. Brin, L. Page. Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, 1998. Elsevier... This is largely because they all have high PageRank... However, once the system was running smoothly, S. Brin, L. Page:Computer Networks and ISDN Systems 30... Google employs a number of techniques to improve search quality including page rank, anchor text, and proximity... Cited by [1370] Related articles All 349 versions Cite Save More+

"Weak" Learners

Detect light / dark rectangles in image

\[ h(x) = \alpha_1 h_1(x) + \alpha_2 h_2(x) + \ldots \]
\[ h_i(x) = 1 \text{ if } g_i(x) > \theta_i \text{ (threshold)} \]
\[ -1 \text{ otherwise} \]
\[ g(x) = \text{sum(white\_area)} - \text{sum(black\_area)} \]
Bagging vs Boosting

Bagging
• Generate random sets from training data
• Combine outputs of multiple classifiers to produce single output
• Decrease variance, bias unaffected

Boosting
• Combine simple “weak” base classifiers into more complex “strong” ensemble
• Decrease bias and variance
Adaboost Example

Consider binary classification with 10 training examples
Determine a boosted combination of decision stumps
that correctly classifies all points

Round 0 (initial)

weight distribution is uniform

\[
W_0^{(i)} = 1 \\
\tilde{W}_0^{(i)} = \frac{1}{10}
\]

Round 1

\[\hat{\epsilon}_1 = \frac{3}{10}\]
\[\hat{\alpha}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \frac{3}{10}}{\frac{3}{10}} = \ln \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} \approx 0.42\]

each circled point misclassified so upweighted [3 pts]

\[W_1^{(i)} = \frac{1}{10} \exp \left( \ln \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} \right) = \frac{1}{10} \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} \approx 0.15 \Rightarrow \tilde{W}_1^{(i)} = \frac{1}{6}\]

each non-circled point correctly classified point so downweighted [7 pts]

\[W_1^{(i)} = \frac{1}{10} \exp \left( - \ln \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} \right) = \frac{1}{10} \sqrt{\frac{7}{3}} \approx 0.07 \Rightarrow \tilde{W}_1^{(i)} = \frac{1}{14}\]

weights then renormalized to 1
Adaboost Example

Round 2

circled –: misclassified so upweighted [3 pts]
\[ W_2^{(i)} = \frac{1}{14} \exp \left( \ln \sqrt{\frac{11}{3}} \right) = \frac{1}{14} \sqrt{\frac{11}{3}} \Rightarrow \tilde{W}_2^{(i)} = \frac{1}{6} \]

large +: correctly classified so downweighted [3 pts]
\[ W_2^{(i)} = \frac{1}{6} \exp \left( -\ln \sqrt{\frac{11}{3}} \right) = \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\frac{11}{3}} \Rightarrow \tilde{W}_2^{(i)} = \frac{7}{66} \]

small + / –: correctly classified so downweighted [4 pts]
\[ W_2^{(i)} = \frac{1}{14} \exp \left( -\ln \sqrt{\frac{11}{3}} \right) = \frac{1}{14} \sqrt{\frac{3}{11}} \Rightarrow \tilde{W}_2^{(i)} = \frac{1}{22} \]

\[ \hat{\epsilon}_2 = 3 \left( \frac{1}{14} \right) = \frac{3}{14} \approx 0.21 \]
\[ \hat{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{3}{14} = \ln \sqrt{\frac{11}{3}} \approx 0.65 \]

decide to stop after round 3

Final

ensemble consists of 3 classifiers \( h_1, h_2, h_3 \)

final classifier is weighted linear combination of all classifiers

multiple weak, linear classifiers combined to give strong, nonlinear classifier